

# NTRU Prime

A field-based system  
that reduces (potential) attack surface,  
while still being fast and compact

Daniel J. Bernstein, Chitchanok Chuengsatiansup,  
Tanja Lange, and Christine van Vredendaal

29 June 2018

## NTRU History

- Introduced by Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman in 1998 paper.
- 1996 HPS handout already tried using lattices to attack system.
- 1997 Coppersmith–Shamir improved lattice attack.
- System parameters  $(p, q)$ ,  $p$  prime, integer  $q$ ,  $\gcd(3, q) = 1$ .
- All computations done in ring  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ .

## NTRU History

- Introduced by Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman in 1998 paper.
- 1996 HPS handout already tried using lattices to attack system.
- 1997 Coppersmith–Shamir improved lattice attack.
- System parameters  $(p, q)$ ,  $p$  prime, integer  $q$ ,  $\gcd(3, q) = 1$ .
- All computations done in ring  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ .
- Private key:  $f, g \in R$  fixed-weight with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .  
Additional requirement:  $f$  must be invertible in  $R$  modulo  $q$ .
- Public key  $h = 3g/f \bmod q$ .
- Can see this as lattice with basis matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} qI_p & 0 \\ H & I_p \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $H$  corresponds to multiplication by  $h/3$  modulo  $x^p - 1$ .

- $(g, f)$  is a short vector in the lattice as result of

$$(k, f)B = (kq + f \cdot h/3, f) = (g, f)$$

for some polynomial  $k$  (from  $fh/3 = g - kq$ ).

## Original NTRU

- System parameters  $(p, q)$ ,  $p$  prime, integer  $q$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ .
- All computations done in ring  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ , some use additional reduction modulo  $q$ , ring denoted by  $R_q$ .

## Original NTRU

- System parameters  $(p, q)$ ,  $p$  prime, integer  $q$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ .
- All computations done in ring  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ , some use additional reduction modulo  $q$ , ring denoted by  $R_q$ .
- Private key:  $f, g \in R$  with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ , specified number of nonzero coefficients. Additional requirement:  $f$  must be invertible in  $R$  modulo  $q$  and modulo 3.
- Public key  $h = 3g/f \bmod q$ .

# Original NTRU

- System parameters  $(p, q)$ ,  $p$  prime, integer  $q$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ .
- All computations done in ring  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^p - 1)$ , some use additional reduction modulo  $q$ , ring denoted by  $R_q$ .
- Private key:  $f, g \in R$  with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ , specified number of nonzero coefficients. Additional requirement:  $f$  must be invertible in  $R$  modulo  $q$  and modulo 3.
- Public key  $h = 3g/f \bmod q$ .
- Encryption of message  $m \in R$ , coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ :  
Pick random  $r \in R$ , same sample space as  $f$ ; compute:

$$c = r \cdot h + m \bmod q.$$

- Decryption of  $c \in R_q$ : Compute

$$a = f \cdot c = f(rh + m) \equiv f(3rg/f + m) \equiv 3rg + fm \bmod q,$$

move all coefficients to  $[-q/2, q/2]$ . If everything is small enough then  $a$  equals  $3rg + fm$  in  $R$  and  $m = a/f \bmod 3$ .

**Why we don't stick with original NTRU.**

## Reason 1: Decryption failures

- Decryption of  $c \in R_q$ : Compute

$$a = f \cdot c = f(rh + m) \equiv f(3rg/f + m) \equiv 3rg + fm \pmod{q},$$

move all coefficients to  $[-q/2, q/2]$ . **If everything is small enough** then  $a$  equals  $3rg + fm$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $m = a/f \pmod{3}$ .

## Reason 1: Decryption failures

- Decryption of  $c \in R_q$ : Compute

$$a = f \cdot c = f(rh + m) \equiv f(3rg/f + m) \equiv 3rg + fm \pmod{q},$$

move all coefficients to  $[-q/2, q/2]$ . **If everything is small enough** then  $a$  equals  $3rg + fm$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $m = a/f \pmod{3}$ .

- Let

$$L(d, t) = \{F \in \mathcal{R} \mid F \text{ has } d \text{ coefficients equal to } 1 \\ \text{and } t \text{ coefficients equal to } -1, \text{ all others } 0\}.$$

- Then  $f \in L(d_f, d_f - 1)$ ,  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$  with  $d_r < d_g$ .
- Then  $3rg + fm$  has coefficients of size at most

$$3 \cdot 2d_r + 2d_f - 1$$

## Reason 1: Decryption failures

- Decryption of  $c \in R_q$ : Compute

$$a = f \cdot c = f(rh + m) \equiv f(3rg/f + m) \equiv 3rg + fm \pmod{q},$$

move all coefficients to  $[-q/2, q/2]$ . **If everything is small enough** then  $a$  equals  $3rg + fm$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $m = a/f \pmod{3}$ .

- Let

$$L(d, t) = \{F \in \mathcal{R} \mid F \text{ has } d \text{ coefficients equal to } 1 \\ \text{and } t \text{ coefficients equal to } -1, \text{ all others } 0\}.$$

- Then  $f \in L(d_f, d_f - 1)$ ,  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$  with  $d_r < d_g$ .
- Then  $3rg + fm$  has coefficients of size at most

$$3 \cdot 2d_r + 2d_f - 1$$

which is larger than  $q/2$  for typical parameters. Such large coefficients are highly unlikely – but annoying for applications and guarantees.

## Reason 1: Decryption failures

- Decryption of  $c \in R_q$ : Compute

$$a = f \cdot c = f(rh + m) \equiv f(3rg/f + m) \equiv 3rg + fm \pmod{q},$$

move all coefficients to  $[-q/2, q/2]$ . **If everything is small enough** then  $a$  equals  $3rg + fm$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $m = a/f \pmod{3}$ .

- Let

$$L(d, t) = \{F \in \mathcal{R} \mid F \text{ has } d \text{ coefficients equal to } 1 \\ \text{and } t \text{ coefficients equal to } -1, \text{ all others } 0\}.$$

- Then  $f \in L(d_f, d_f - 1)$ ,  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$  with  $d_r < d_g$ .
- Then  $3rg + fm$  has coefficients of size at most

$$3 \cdot 2d_r + 2d_f - 1$$

which is larger than  $q/2$  for typical parameters. Such large coefficients are highly unlikely – but annoying for applications and guarantees.

- Security decreases with large  $q$ ; reduction is important.

## Reason 2: Evaluation-at-1 attack

- Ciphertext equals  $c = rh + m$  and  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , so  $r(1) = 0$  and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$ , so  $h(1) = g(1)/f(1) = 0$ .
- This implies

$$c(1) = r(1)h(1) + m(1) = m(1)$$

which gives information about  $m$ , in particular if  $|m(1)|$  is large.

## Reason 2: Evaluation-at-1 attack

- Ciphertext equals  $c = rh + m$  and  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , so  $r(1) = 0$  and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$ , so  $h(1) = g(1)/f(1) = 0$ .
- This implies

$$c(1) = r(1)h(1) + m(1) = m(1)$$

which gives information about  $m$ , in particular if  $|m(1)|$  is large.

- For other choices of  $r$  and  $h$ , such as  $L(d_r, d_r - 1)$  or such, one knows  $r(1)$  and  $h$  is public, so evaluation at 1 leaks  $m(1)$ .

## Reason 2: Evaluation-at-1 attack

- Ciphertext equals  $c = rh + m$  and  $r \in L(d_r, d_r)$ , so  $r(1) = 0$  and  $g \in L(d_g, d_g)$ , so  $h(1) = g(1)/f(1) = 0$ .
- This implies

$$c(1) = r(1)h(1) + m(1) = m(1)$$

which gives information about  $m$ , in particular if  $|m(1)|$  is large.

- For other choices of  $r$  and  $h$ , such as  $L(d_r, d_r - 1)$  or such, one knows  $r(1)$  and  $h$  is public, so evaluation at 1 leaks  $m(1)$ .
- Original NTRU rejects extreme messages – this is dealt with by randomizing  $m$  via a padding (not mentioned so far).
- Could also replace  $x^p - 1$  by  $\Phi_p = (x^p - 1)/(x - 1)$  to avoid attack.

## Reason 3: Mappings to subrings

- Consider  $R_q = (\mathbf{Z}/q)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ .
- Can possibly get more information on  $m$  from homomorphism  $\psi : R_q \rightarrow T$ , for some ring  $T$ .
- Typical choice in original NTRU:  $q = 2048$  leads to natural ring maps from  $(\mathbf{Z}/2048)[x]/(x^P - 1)$  to
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/4)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/8)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ , etc.

## Reason 3: Mappings to subrings

- Consider  $R_q = (\mathbf{Z}/q)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ .
- Can possibly get more information on  $m$  from homomorphism  $\psi : R_q \rightarrow T$ , for some ring  $T$ .
- Typical choice in original NTRU:  $q = 2048$  leads to natural ring maps from  $(\mathbf{Z}/2048)[x]/(x^P - 1)$  to
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/2)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/4)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{Z}/8)[x]/(x^P - 1)$ , etc.
- Unclear whether these can be exploited to get information on  $m$ .
- Maybe, complicated. [Silverman-Smart-Vercauteren '04]
- If you pick bad rings, then yes. [Eisenträger-Hallgren-Lauter '14, Elias-Lauter-Ozman-Stange '15, Chen-Lauter-Stange '16, Castryck-Iliashenko-Vercauteren '16]

## Reasons 4 and 5

- Rings of original NTRU also have
  - ▶ a large proper subfield (used in attack by [Bauch-Bernstein-De Valence-Lange-van Vredendaal '17], attack by [Cheon-Jeong-Lee '16], attack by [Albrecht-Bai-Ducas '16], and attack in Bernstein's 2014 [blogpost](#)).
  - ▶ many easily computable automorphisms (usable to find a fundamental basis of short units which is used in [Campbell-Groves-Shepherd '14] and subsequently [Cramer-Ducas-Peikert-Regev '15], [Cramer-Ducas-Wesolowski '17]).

## Reasons 4 and 5

- Rings of original NTRU also have
  - ▶ a large proper subfield (used in attack by [Bauch-Bernstein-De Valence-Lange-van Vredendaal '17], attack by [Cheon-Jeong-Lee '16], attack by [Albrecht-Bai-Ducas '16], and attack in Bernstein's 2014 [blogpost](#)).
  - ▶ many easily computable automorphisms (usable to find a fundamental basis of short units which is used in [Campbell-Groves-Shepherd '14] and subsequently [Cramer-Ducas-Peikert-Regev '15], [Cramer-Ducas-Wesolowski '17]).
- Whether [paranoia](#), or valid [panic](#); what can we do about it?

# NTRU Prime ring

- Differences from original NTRU:  
prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.

# NTRU Prime ring

- Differences from original NTRU:  
prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.
- Choose monic irreducible polynomial  $P \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ .
- Choose prime  $q$  such that  $P$  is irreducible modulo  $q$ ; this means that  $q$  is inert in  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{Z}[x]/P$  and  $(\mathbf{Z}/q)[x]/P$  is a field.

# NTRU Prime ring

- Differences from original NTRU:  
prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.
- Choose monic irreducible polynomial  $P \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ .
- Choose prime  $q$  such that  $P$  is irreducible modulo  $q$ ; this means that  $q$  is inert in  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{Z}[x]/P$  and  $(\mathbf{Z}/q)[x]/P$  is a field.
- Further choose  $P$  of prime degree  $p$  with large Galois group.
- Specifically, set  $P = x^p - x - 1$ .  
This has Galois group  $S_p$  of size  $p!$ .
- NTRU Prime works over the NTRU Prime *field*

$$\mathcal{R}/q = (\mathbf{Z}/q)[x]/(x^p - x - 1).$$

# NTRU Prime: added defenses

Prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.

## NTRU Prime: added defenses

Prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.

- Only subfields of  $\mathbf{Q}[x]/P$  are itself and  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Avoids structures used by, e.g., multiquad attack.
- Large Galois group means no easy to compute automorphisms. Roots of  $P$  live in degree- $p!$  extension. Avoids structures used by Campbell–Groves–Shepherd attack (obtaining short unit basis). No hopping between units, so no easy way to extend from some small unit to a fundamental system of short units.
- No ring homomorphism to smaller nonzero rings. Avoids structures used by Chen–Lauter–Stange attack.

## NTRU Prime: added defenses

Prime degree, large Galois group, inert modulus.

- Only subfields of  $\mathbf{Q}[x]/P$  are itself and  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Avoids structures used by, e.g., multiquad attack.
- Large Galois group means no easy to compute automorphisms. Roots of  $P$  live in degree- $p!$  extension. Avoids structures used by Campbell–Groves–Shepherd attack (obtaining short unit basis). No hopping between units, so no easy way to extend from some small unit to a fundamental system of short units.
- No ring homomorphism to smaller nonzero rings. Avoids structures used by Chen–Lauter–Stange attack.

Irreducibility also avoids the evaluation-at-1 attack which simplifies padding.

## Streamlined NTRU Prime: private and public key

- System parameters  $(p, q, t)$ ,  $p, q$  prime,  $q \geq 32t + 1$ .
- Pick  $g$  small in  $\mathcal{R}$

$$g = g_0 + \cdots + g_{p-1}x^{p-1} \text{ with } g_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

No weight restriction on  $g$ , only size restriction on coefficients;  
 $g$  required to be invertible in  $\mathcal{R}/3$ .

- Pick  $t$ -small  $f \in \mathcal{R}$

$$f = f_0 + \cdots + f_{p-1}x^{p-1} \text{ with } f_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } \sum |f_i| = 2t$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}/q$  is a field,  $f$  is invertible.

- Compute public key  $h = g/(3f)$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ .
- Private key is  $f$  and  $1/g \in \mathcal{R}/3$ .

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: private and public key

- System parameters  $(p, q, t)$ ,  $p, q$  prime,  $q \geq 32t + 1$ .
- Pick  $g$  small in  $\mathcal{R}$

$$g = g_0 + \cdots + g_{p-1}x^{p-1} \text{ with } g_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

No weight restriction on  $g$ , only size restriction on coefficients;  
 $g$  required to be invertible in  $\mathcal{R}/3$ .

- Pick  $t$ -small  $f \in \mathcal{R}$

$$f = f_0 + \cdots + f_{p-1}x^{p-1} \text{ with } f_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } \sum |f_i| = 2t$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}/q$  is a field,  $f$  is invertible.

- Compute public key  $h = g/(3f)$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ .
- Private key is  $f$  and  $1/g \in \mathcal{R}/3$ .
- Difference from original NTRU: more key options, 3 in denominator.

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: KEM/DEM

- Streamlined NTRU Prime is a Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM).
- Combine with Data Encapsulation Mechanism (DEM) to send messages.

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: KEM/DEM

- Streamlined NTRU Prime is a Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM).
- Combine with Data Encapsulation Mechanism (DEM) to send messages.

KEM:

- Alice looks up Bob's public key  $h$ .
- Picks  $t$ -small  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  (i.e.,  $r_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $\sum |r_i| = 2t$ ).
- Computes  $hr$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ , lifts coefficients to  $\mathbf{Z} \cap [-(q-1)/2, (q-1)/2]$ .

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: KEM/DEM

- Streamlined NTRU Prime is a Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM).
- Combine with Data Encapsulation Mechanism (DEM) to send messages.

KEM:

- Alice looks up Bob's public key  $h$ .
- Picks  $t$ -small  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  (i.e.,  $r_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $\sum |r_i| = 2t$ ).
- Computes  $hr$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ , lifts coefficients to  $\mathbf{Z} \cap [-(q-1)/2, (q-1)/2]$ .
- Rounds each coefficient to the nearest multiple of 3 to get  $c$ .
- Computes  $\text{hash}(r) = (C|K)$ .
- Sends  $(C|c)$ , uses session key  $K$  for DEM.

Rounding  $hr$  saves bandwidth and adds same entropy as adding ternary  $m$ .  
(Published May 2016, six months before Lizard patent application.)

## Streamlined NTRU Prime: decapsulation

Bob decrypts  $(C|c)$ :

- Reminder  $h = g/(3f)$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ .
- Computes  $3fc = 3f(hr + m) = gr + 3fm$  in  $\mathcal{R}/q$ , lifts coefficients to  $\mathbf{Z} \cap [-(q-1)/2, (q-1)/2]$ .
- Reduces the coefficients modulo 3 to get  $a = gr \in \mathcal{R}/3$ .
- Computes  $r' = a/g \in \mathcal{R}/3$ , lifts  $r'$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- Computes  $\text{hash}(r') = (C'|K')$  and  $c'$  as rounding of  $hr'$ .
- Verifies that  $c' = c$  and  $C' = C$ .

If all checks verify,  $K = K'$  is the session key between Alice and Bob and can be used in a data encapsulation mechanism (DEM).

Choosing  $q \geq 32t + 1$  means no decryption failures, so  $r = r'$  and verification works unless  $(C|c)$  was incorrectly generated or tampered with.

# Family picture

send  $m + hr$  for small  $m, r$  and public  $h$  in ring  $\mathcal{R}$  ("NTRU")

cyclotomic,  
power-of-2 index,  
split modulus  
("NTRU NTT")

cyclotomic,  
prime index,  
power-of-2 modulus  
("NTRU Classic")

large Galois group,  
prime degree,  
inert modulus  
("NTRU Prime")

round  $hr$  to  $m + hr$   
("Rounded  
NTRU Prime")

random  $m$

random  $m$

random  $m$

key  $h = d + aG$   
for small  $a, d$ ,  
public  $G$   
("Noisy Product  
NTRU NTT")

key  $h = g/f$   
for small  $f, g$   
("Noisy Quotient  
NTRU Classic")

key  $h = d + aG$   
for small  $a, d$ ,  
public  $G$   
("Rounded  
Product  
NTRU Prime")

key  $h = g/f$   
for small  $f, g$   
("Rounded  
Quotient  
NTRU Prime")

Lyubashevsky-  
Peikert-Regev  
cryptosystem

original NTRU  
cryptosystem

"NTRU LPrime"

"Streamlined  
NTRU Prime"

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: Security

- What we know so far:

	<b>Original NTRU</b>	<b>Common R-LWE</b>	<b>Streamlined NTRU Prime</b>
Polynomial $P$	$x^p - 1$	$x^p + 1$	$x^p - x - 1$
Degree $p$	prime	power of 2	prime
Modulus $q$	$2^d$	prime	prime
# factors of $P$ in $\mathcal{R}/q$	$> 1$	$p$	1
# proper subfields	$> 1$	many	1
Every $m$ encryptable	✗	✓	✓
No decryption failures	✗	✗	✓

# Streamlined NTRU Prime: Security

- What we know so far:

	<b>Original NTRU</b>	<b>Common R-LWE</b>	<b>Streamlined NTRU Prime</b>
Polynomial $P$	$x^p - 1$	$x^p + 1$	$x^p - x - 1$
Degree $p$	prime	power of 2	prime
Modulus $q$	$2^d$	prime	prime
# factors of $P$ in $\mathcal{R}/q$	$> 1$	$p$	1
# proper subfields	$> 1$	many	1
Every $m$ encryptable	✗	✓	✓
No decryption failures	✗	✗	✓

- Because of the last 2 ✓'s the analysis is simpler than that of original NTRU.

## Streamlined NTRU Prime Security: parameters

- We investigated security against the strongest known attacks; meet-in-the-middle (mitm), hybrid attack of BKZ and mitm, algebraic attacks, and sieving.
- Streamlined NTRU Prime  $4591^{761}$  and NTRU LPRime  $4591^{761}$  both use  $p = 761$  and  $q = 4591$ .
- The resulting sizes and Haswell speeds show that reducing the attack surface has very low cost:

<b>Metric</b>	<b>Streamlined NTRU Prime <math>4591^{761}</math></b>	<b>NTRU LPRime <math>4591^{761}</math></b>
Public-key size	1218 bytes	1047 bytes
Ciphertext size	1047 bytes	1175 bytes
Encapsulation time	59456 cycles	94508 cycles
Decapsulation time	97684 cycles	128316 cycles
Pre-quantum security	$\geq 248$ bits	$\geq 225$ bits

- Quantum computers will speed up attacks by less than squareroot.

# Position in NIST post-quantum competition

20 lattice-based encryption submissions:

- Broken: Compact LWE.
- Not secure against chosen-ciphertext attacks: Ding; HILA5.
- Power-of-2 cyclotomics: EMBLEM R options; KCL; KINDI; Kyber; LAC; LIMA power-of-2 options; Lizard R options; NewHope; Round2 RLWR options; SABER.
- Non-power-of-2 cyclotomics: LIMA “safe prime” options such as  $\Phi_{1019}$ , “more conservative choice of field”; NTRU-HRSS-KEM✓ using  $\Phi_{701}$ ; NTRUEncrypt using, e.g.,  $\Phi_{743}$ .
- Non-cyclotomic: EMBLEM non-R options; Frodo; Lizard non-R options; LOTUS; NTRU Prime✓; Odd Manhattan✓; Round2 LWR options; Titanium.

“✓” means no decryption failures.

## What's left if cyclotomics are broken?

8 lattice-based encryption submissions have non-cyclotomic options.

One example from each submission, public-key size + ciphertext size:

- Streamlined NTRU Prime 4591<sup>761</sup>: 1218 bytes + 1047 bytes.
- LOTUS 128: 658944 bytes + 1144 bytes.
- Titanium CCA lite: 14720 bytes + 3008 bytes.
- Round2 n1 l1: 3455 bytes + 4837 bytes.
- Frodo 640: 9616 bytes + 9736 bytes.
- EMBLEM II.c: 10016 bytes + 14792 bytes.
- Lizard N663: 1390592 bytes + 10896 bytes.
- Odd Manhattan 128: 1626240 bytes + 180224 bytes.