

Verifiably random secure curves

Daniel J. Bernstein
Tung Chou
Chitchanok Chuengsatiansup
Andreas Hülsing
Tanja Lange
Ruben Niederhagen
Christine van Vredendaal

May 13, 2014

NSA/NIST FUD

The NIST elliptic curves are behind the state of the art:

- ▶ Chosen by Jerry Solinas at **NSA**.

NSA/NIST FUD

The NIST elliptic curves are behind the state of the art:

- ▶ Chosen by Jerry Solinas at **NSA**.
- ▶ Coefficients produced from NSA's **SHA-1**.

NSA/NIST FUD

The NIST elliptic curves are behind the state of the art:

- ▶ Chosen by Jerry Solinas at **NSA**.
- ▶ Coefficients produced from NSA's **SHA-1**.
- ▶ NIST P-224 is **not twist-secure**.
- ▶ etc.

Let's make some new curves.

Verifiable randomness

Produce **verifiably random** numbers
using a **secure hash** so that nobody has to trust us.

- ▶ 2000: Certicom Research “Standards for Efficient Cryptography 2: Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters”, Version 1.0.
- ▶ 2000: IEEE Std 1363-2000 “IEEE Standard Specifications for Public-Key Cryptography”.
- ▶ 2001: ANSI X9.63 “Public Key Cryptography For The Financial Services Industry: Key Agreement and Key Transport Using Elliptic Curve Cryptography”.
- ▶ 2010: Certicom Research (Daniel R. L. Brown) “Standards for Efficient Cryptography 2: Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters”, Version 2.0.

On the importance of verifiable randomness

2014.01.13 Daniel R. L. Brown:

1. Pseudorandomness protects effectively (as possible for ECC) against the spectral weakness necessary to hypothesize a malicious NIST P256.
2. Rigidity protects against the spectral weakness only by invoking assumptions about spectral weakness (*).
3. Protecting against attacks, such as the hypothetical spectral weakness, is more important than (subsumes?) protecting against malicious generation.

On the importance of verifiable randomness

2014.01.13 Daniel R. L. Brown:

1. Pseudorandomness protects effectively (as possible for ECC) against the spectral weakness necessary to hypothesize a malicious NIST P256.
2. Rigidity protects against the spectral weakness only by invoking assumptions about spectral weakness (*).
3. Protecting against attacks, such as the hypothetical spectral weakness, is more important than (subsumes?) protecting against malicious generation.

Does anyone here know what “**spectral weakness**” means?



Picture credit: eyerayofthebeholder.blogspot.dk/2014/01/a-story-driven-weakness-for-allip.html

Freshly made from the best ingredients

Take the NIST P-256 prime $p = 2^{256} - 2^{224} + 2^{192} + 2^{96} - 1$.

Freshly made from the best ingredients

Take the NIST P-256 prime $p = 2^{256} - 2^{224} + 2^{192} + 2^{96} - 1$.

Generate random seeds s and hashes $B = H(s)$.

Hash function H :

Keccak with 256-bit output (i.e., keccakc512).

Freshly made from the best ingredients

Take the NIST P-256 prime $p = 2^{256} - 2^{224} + 2^{192} + 2^{96} - 1$.

Generate random seeds s and hashes $B = H(s)$.

Hash function H :

Keccak with 256-bit output (i.e., keccakc512).

If the elliptic curve $x^3 - 3x + B \pmod p$

does not meet standard security criteria **plus twist-security**,
start over. (This happens tens of thousands of times!)

Freshly made from the best ingredients

Take the NIST P-256 prime $p = 2^{256} - 2^{224} + 2^{192} + 2^{96} - 1$.

Generate random seeds s and hashes $B = H(s)$.

Hash function H :

Keccak with 256-bit output (i.e., keccakc512).

If the elliptic curve $x^3 - 3x + B \pmod p$

does not meet standard security criteria **plus twist-security**,
start over. (This happens tens of thousands of times!)

Same with NIST P-224 prime $2^{224} - 2^{96} + 1$.

Freshly made from the best ingredients

Take the NIST P-256 prime $p = 2^{256} - 2^{224} + 2^{192} + 2^{96} - 1$.

Generate random seeds s and hashes $B = H(s)$.

Hash function H :

Keccak with 256-bit output (i.e., keccak512).

If the elliptic curve $x^3 - 3x + B \pmod p$

does not meet standard security criteria **plus twist-security**,
start over. (This happens tens of thousands of times!)

Same with NIST P-224 prime $2^{224} - 2^{96} + 1$.

Also with NIST P-384 prime $2^{384} - 2^{128} - 2^{96} + 2^{32} - 1$.

keccak512 is too small here so we switched to keccak768.

Random seeds for your verification pleasure

224: 3CC520E9434349DF680A8F4BCADDA648
D693B2907B216EE55CB4853DB68F9165

256: 3ADCC48E36F1D1926701417F101A75F0
00118A739D4686E77278325A825AA3C6

384: CA9EBD338A9EE0E6862FD329062ABC06
A793575A1C744F0EC24503A525F5D06E

The B values in $x^3 - 3x + B$

224: BADA55ECFD9CA54C0738B8A6FB8CF4CC
F84E916D83D6DA1B78B622351E11AB4E

256: BADA55ECD8BBEAD3ADD6C534F92197DE
B47FCEB9BE7E0E702A8D1DD56B5D0B0C

384: BADA55EC3BE2AD1F9EEEA5881ECF95BB
F3AC392526F01D4CD13E684C63A17CC4
D5F271642AD83899113817A61006413D

The B values in $x^3 - 3x + B$

224: **BADA55EC**FD9CA54C0738B8A6FB8CF4CC
F84E916D83D6DA1B78B622351E11AB4E

256: **BADA55EC**D8BBEAD3ADD6C534F92197DE
B47FCEB9BE7E0E702A8D1DD56B5D0B0C

384: **BADA55EC**3BE2AD1F9EEEA5881ECF95BB
F3AC392526F01D4CD13E684C63A17CC4
D5F271642AD83899113817A61006413D

1999 Michael Scott "Re: NIST announces set of Elliptic Curves":

Consider now the possibility that one in a million of all curves have an exploitable structure that "they" know about, but we don't.. Then "they" simply generate a million random seeds until they find one that generates one of "their" curves. Then they get us to use them. And remember the standard paranoia assumptions apply - "they" have computing power way beyond what we can muster. So maybe that could be 1 billion.

A much simpler approach would generate more trust. Simply select B as an integer formed from the maximum number of digits of pi that provide a number B which is less than p. Then keep incrementing B until the number of points on the curve is prime. Such a curve will be accepted as "random" as all would accept that the decimal digits of pi have no unfortunate interaction with elliptic curves. We would all accept that such a curve had not been specially "cooked".

So, sigh, why didn't they do it that way? Do they want to be distrusted?

Brainpool to the rescue

2005 “ECC Brainpool standard curves and curve generation”
generates deterministic seeds from π and e .

brainpoolP256r1:

p: A9FB57DBA1EEA9BC3E660A909D838D72
6E3BF623D52620282013481D1F6E5377

A: 7D5A0975FC2C3057EEF67530417AFFE7
FB8055C126DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9

B: 26DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9BBD77CBF
958416295CF7E1CE6BCCDC18FF8C07B6

Brainpool to the rescue (or maybe not)

2005 “ECC Brainpool standard curves and curve generation”
generates deterministic seeds from π and e .

brainpoolP256r1:

p: A9FB57DBA1EEA9BC3E660A909D838D72
6E3BF623D52620282013481D1F6E5377

A: 7D5A0975FC2C3057EEF67530417AFFE7
FB8055C1**26DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9**

B: **26DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9**BBD77CBF
958416295CF7E1CE6BCCDC18FF8C07B6

Brainpool to the rescue (or maybe not)

2005 “ECC Brainpool standard curves and curve generation”
generates deterministic seeds from π and e .

brainpoolP256r1:

p: A9FB57DBA1EEA9BC3E660A909D838D72
6E3BF623D52620282013481D1F6E5377

A: 7D5A0975FC2C3057EEF67530417AFFE7
FB8055C126DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9

B: 26DC5C6CE94A4B44F330B5D9BBD77CBF
958416295CF7E1CE6BCCDC18FF8C07B6

Screwed up data flow in hash inputs; still uses SHA-1;
not twist-secure.

Let's make an **NSA-free** replacement with **sensible data flow**.
And let's stick to the NIST primes.

Nothing up our sleeves

Constants already used: $\sin 1$; $\pi/4 = \arctan 1$; $e = \exp 1$.

Start from $\cos 1$.

Nothing up our sleeves

Constants already used: $\sin 1$; $\pi/4 = \arctan 1$; $e = \exp 1$.
Start from $\cos 1$.

Generate the full 160-bit seed
as 32-bit counter followed by $\cos 1$.

(16-bit counter would have been unsafe:
more than $1/1000$ chance of failing to find secure curve.)

Nothing up our sleeves

Constants already used: $\sin 1$; $\pi/4 = \arctan 1$; $e = \exp 1$.
Start from $\cos 1$.

Generate the full 160-bit seed
as 32-bit counter followed by $\cos 1$.

(16-bit counter would have been unsafe:
more than 1/1000 chance of failing to find secure curve.)

To avoid the Brainpool problems:

- ▶ Don't concatenate SHA-1 outputs.
Use maximum-security full-length SHA-3-512.
- ▶ Generate B seed as complement of A seed.
Guaranteed to be different.

Sage computer-algebra system computing 128 bits of $\cos 1$:

```
sage -c 'print RealField(128)(cos(1)).str(16)[2:34]'  
8a51407da8345c91c2466d976871bd2a
```

We started computations recently for the NIST P-224 prime and already found a secure twist-secure curve from seed 000000B8 8A51407DA8345C91C2466D976871BD2A.

Here are A, B (please verify with your own SHA-3 software):

```
7144BA12CE8A0C3BEFA053EDBADA555A  
42391FC64F052376E041C7D4AF23195E  
BD8D83625321D452E8A0C3BB0A048A26  
115704E45DCEB346A9F4BD9741D14D49,  
5C32EC7FC48CE1802D9B70DBC3FA574E  
AF015FCE4E99B43EBE3468D6EFB2276B  
A3669AFF6FFC0F4C6AE4AE2E5D74C3C0  
AF97DCE17147688DDA89E734B56944A2
```

Sage computer-algebra system computing 128 bits of $\cos 1$:

```
sage -c 'print RealField(128)(cos(1)).str(16)[2:34]'  
8a51407da8345c91c2466d976871bd2a
```

We started computations recently for the NIST P-224 prime and already found a secure twist-secure curve from seed 000000B8 8A51407DA8345C91C2466D976871BD2A.

Here are A, B (please verify with your own SHA-3 software):

```
7144BA12CE8A0C3BEFA053EDBADA555A  
42391FC64F052376E041C7D4AF23195E  
BD8D83625321D452E8A0C3BB0A048A26  
115704E45DCEB346A9F4BD9741D14D49,  
5C32EC7FC48CE1802D9B70DBC3FA574E  
AF015FCE4E99B43EBE3468D6EFB2276B  
A3669AFF6FFC0F4C6AE4AE2E5D74C3C0  
AF97DCE17147688DDA89E734B56944A2
```

Lessons and credits

“Verifiably random” curves,
even with “deterministic” seeds,
do not stop the attacker
from generating a curve
with a one-in-a-million weakness.

safecurves.cr.jp.to/bada55.html

Computation credits:

Saber cluster at Technische Universiteit Eindhoven;
ISF K10 cluster at University of Haifa.