

Signature sizes:

a call to action

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago &

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

RSA signatures are big.

RSA signatures are big.

1990 Schnorr signatures
are much smaller:

$3n$ bits for security 2^n .

Often misquoted as $4n$ bits;
e.g., 2009 Neven–Smart–
Warinschi claims to improve
Schnorr from $4n$ to $3n$
(“saving twenty-five percent
in signature size”).

RSA signatures are big.

1990 Schnorr signatures
are much smaller:

$3n$ bits for security 2^n .

Often misquoted as $4n$ bits;
e.g., 2009 Neven–Smart–
Warinschi claims to improve
Schnorr from $4n$ to $3n$
(“saving twenty-five percent
in signature size”).

2001 Boneh–Lynn–Shacham
pairing-based “short signatures”:
 $2n$ bits.

1996 Patarin “HFEv-”,
2001 Patarin–Courtois–Goubin
“Quartz”: n bits.

“Very short
asymmetric signatures”.

Also achieved by many other
MQ signature schemes,
often with smaller keys;
but HFEv- has a long history
and inspires confidence.

Further save, e.g., 10 bits
at expense of multiplying
verification cost by $\leq 2^{10}$.

“Message recovery” :

signature conveys message.

Measure “signature overhead” :

signature size — message size.

Often $4n$ or $3n$, sometimes $2n$.

Many papers/standards:

message recovery for RSA.

1993 Nyberg–Rueppel,

2000 Pintsov–Vanstone,

2001 Naccache–Stern:

message recovery for ECDSA.

Deployment stopped by patents.

Latest message-recovery paper:
2012 Kiltz–Pietrzak–Szegedy
“Digital signatures with
minimal overhead”. Rumor:
will appear at Crypto 2013.

“Our main contribution is to
revisit the question if there
exists a digital signature
scheme with message recovery
that has minimal ($\approx n$ bits)
overhead. . . . The best
previous constructions
required an overhead of $2n$.”

Conclusions:

1. Many people care about signature size.

Conclusions:

1. Many people care about signature size.
2. Many people are shockingly ignorant of short MQ signatures.

Conclusions:

1. Many people care about signature size.
2. Many people are shockingly ignorant of short MQ signatures.
3. Need to raise awareness of MQ capabilities.
e.g. add Quartz to eBATS.
<http://bench.cr.yp.to>