

Counting points as a video game

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago

Want efficient computation of  
secure twist-secure genus-2  $C$   
**with very small coefficients**  
for fastest known Diffie–Hellman.  
Can't do that with CM.

This talk focuses on algorithms;  
does not report any computations.  
Need results today? Ask Gaudry.

But first an advertisement...

1985 H. Lange–Ruppert

“Complete systems of addition laws on abelian varieties” :

$A(\bar{k})$  has a complete system of addition laws, degree  $\leq (3, 3)$ .  
Symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  degree  $\leq (2, 2)$ .

“The proof is nonconstructive. . .

To determine explicitly a complete system of addition laws requires tedious computations already in the easiest case of an elliptic curve in Weierstrass normal form.”

1985 Lange–Ruppert:

Explicit complete system

of 3 addition laws

for short Weierstrass curves.

Reduce formulas to 53 monomials

by introducing extra variables

$$x_i y_j + x_j y_i, x_i y_j - x_j y_i.$$

I won't copy the formulas here.

1987 Lange–Ruppert

“Addition laws on elliptic curves

in arbitrary characteristics”:

Explicit complete system

of 3 addition laws

for long Weierstrass curves.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_3^{(2)} = & Y_1^2 Y_2^2 + a_1 X_2 Y_1^2 Y_2 + (a_1 a_2 - 3a_3) X_1 X_2^2 Y_1 \\
& + a_3 Y_1^2 Y_2 Z_2 - (a_2^2 - 3a_4) X_1^2 X_2^2 \\
& + (a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3)(2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) X_2 Y_1 \\
& + (a_1^2 a_4 - 2a_1 a_2 a_3 + 3a_3^2) X_1^2 X_2 Z_2 \\
& - (a_2 a_4 - 9a_6) X_1 X_2 (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + (3a_1 a_6 - a_3 a_4)(X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) Y_1 Z_2 \\
& + (3a_1^2 a_6 - 2a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3^2 + 3a_2 a_6 - a_4^2) X_1 Z_2 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) \\
& - (3a_2 a_6 - a_4^2)(X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1)(X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) \\
& + (a_1^3 a_6 - a_1^2 a_3 a_4 + a_1 a_2 a_3^2 - a_1 a_4^2 + 4a_1 a_2 a_6 - a_3^3 - 3a_3 a_6) Y_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + (a_1^4 a_6 - a_1^3 a_3 a_4 + 5a_1^2 a_2 a_6 + a_1^2 a_2 a_3^2 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 - a_1 a_3^3 - 3a_1 a_3 a_6 \\
& - a_1^2 a_4^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 - a_2 a_4^2 + 4a_2^2 a_6 - a_3^2 a_4 - 3a_4 a_6) X_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + (a_1^2 a_2 a_6 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 + 3a_1 a_3 a_6 + a_2^2 a_3^2 - a_2 a_4^2 \\
& + 4a_2^2 a_6 - 2a_3^2 a_4 - 3a_4 a_6) X_2 Z_1^2 Z_2 \\
& + (a_1^3 a_3 a_6 - a_1^2 a_3^2 a_4 + a_1^2 a_4 a_6 + a_1 a_2 a_3^3 \\
& + 4a_1 a_2 a_3 a_6 - 2a_1 a_3 a_4^2 + a_2 a_3^2 a_4 \\
& + 4a_2 a_4 a_6 - a_3^4 - 6a_3^2 a_6 - a_4^3 - 9a_6^2) Z_1^2 Z_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_3^{(2)} = & 3X_1 X_2 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1) + Y_1 Y_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) + 3a_1 X_1^2 X_2^2 \\
& + a_1 (2X_1 Y_2 + Y_1 X_2) Y_1 Z_2 + a_1^2 X_1 Z_2 (2X_2 Y_1 + X_1 Y_2) \\
& + a_2 X_1 X_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_2 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1)(X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_1^3 X_1^2 X_2 Z_2 + a_1 a_2 X_1 X_2 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + 3a_3 X_1 X_2^2 Z_1 + a_3 Y_1 Z_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + 2Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + 2a_1 a_3 X_1 Z_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + 2a_1 a_3 X_2 Y_1 Z_1 Z_2 + a_4 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1) Z_1 Z_2 \\
& + a_4 (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1)(Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + (a_1^2 a_3 + a_1 a_4) X_1 Z_2 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) + a_2 a_3 X_2 Z_1 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_3^2 Y_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 + (a_3^2 + 3a_6)(Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 \\
& + a_1 a_3^2 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 + 3a_1 a_6 X_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + a_3 a_4 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 + (a_3^3 + 3a_3 a_6) Z_1^2 Z_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

1995 Bosma–Lenstra:  
Explicit complete system  
of 2 addition laws  
for long Weierstrass curves:  
explicit polynomials

$$X_3, Y_3, Z_3, X'_3, Y'_3, Z'_3$$

$$\in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6,$$

$$X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_2, Y_2, Y_2].$$

1995 Bosma–Lenstra:  
Explicit complete system  
of 2 addition laws  
for long Weierstrass curves:  
explicit polynomials

$$X_3, Y_3, Z_3, X'_3, Y'_3, Z'_3 \\ \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, \\ X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_2, Y_2, Y_2].$$

My previous slide in this talk:

Bosma–Lenstra  $Y'_3, Z'_3$ .

Not human-comprehensible.

1995 Bosma–Lenstra:  
Explicit complete system  
of 2 addition laws  
for long Weierstrass curves:  
explicit polynomials

$$X_3, Y_3, Z_3, X'_3, Y'_3, Z'_3 \\ \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, \\ X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_2, Y_2, Y_2].$$

My previous slide in this talk:

Bosma–Lenstra  $Y'_3, Z'_3$ .

Not human-comprehensible.

Actually, slide shows

Publish( $Y'_3$ ), Publish( $Z'_3$ ),

where Publish introduces typos.

What this means:

For all fields  $k$ ,

all  $\mathbf{P}^2$  Weierstrass curves

$$E/k : Y^2 Z + a_1 X Y Z + a_3 Y Z^2 = X^3 + a_2 X^2 Z + a_4 X Z^2 + a_6 Z^3,$$

all  $P_1 = (X_1 : Y_1 : Z_1) \in E(k)$ ,

all  $P_2 = (X_2 : Y_2 : Z_2) \in E(k)$ :

$(X_3 : Y_3 : Z_3)$

is  $P_1 + P_2$  or  $(0 : 0 : 0)$ ;

$(X'_3 : Y'_3 : Z'_3)$

is  $P_1 + P_2$  or  $(0 : 0 : 0)$ ;

at most one of these is  $(0 : 0 : 0)$ .



2009.11 Bernstein–T. Lange,  
[eprint.iacr.org/2009/580](http://eprint.iacr.org/2009/580):

For all fields  $k$  with  $2 \neq 0$ ,

all  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  Edwards curves  $E/k$  :

$$X^2T^2 + Y^2Z^2 = Z^2T^2 + dX^2Y^2,$$

all  $P_1, P_2 \in E(k)$ ,

$$P_1 = ((X_1 : Z_1), (Y_1 : T_1)),$$

$$P_2 = ((X_2 : Z_2), (Y_2 : T_2)):$$

$(X_3 : Z_3)$  is  $x(P_1 + P_2)$  or  $(0 : 0)$ ;

$(X'_3 : Z'_3)$  is  $x(P_1 + P_2)$  or  $(0 : 0)$ ;

$(Y_3 : T_3)$  is  $y(P_1 + P_2)$  or  $(0 : 0)$ ;

$(Y'_3 : T'_3)$  is  $y(P_1 + P_2)$  or  $(0 : 0)$ ;

at most one of these is  $(0 : 0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
X_3 &= X_1 Y_2 Z_2 T_1 + X_2 Y_1 Z_1 T_2, \\
Z_3 &= Z_1 Z_2 T_1 T_2 + d X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2, \\
Y_3 &= Y_1 Y_2 Z_1 Z_2 - X_1 X_2 T_1 T_2, \\
T_3 &= Z_1 Z_2 T_1 T_2 - d X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2, \\
X'_3 &= X_1 Y_1 Z_2 T_2 + X_2 Y_2 Z_1 T_1, \\
Z'_3 &= X_1 X_2 T_1 T_2 + Y_1 Y_2 Z_1 Z_2, \\
Y'_3 &= X_1 Y_1 Z_2 T_2 - X_2 Y_2 Z_1 T_1, \\
T'_3 &= X_1 Y_2 Z_2 T_1 - X_2 Y_1 Z_1 T_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Much, much, much simpler than  
 Lange–Ruppert, Bosma–Lenstra.  
 Also much easier to prove.

Also useful for computations.

Geometrically, all elliptic curves.  
 (Handle  $2 = 0$  separately.)

## 5. EXPLICIT FORMULAE

From [5, Chapter III, 2.3] it follows that  $f = m^*(X/Z)$  and  $g = m^*(Y/Z)$  are given by

$$f = \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda - \frac{X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1}{Z_1 Z_2} - a_2, \quad g = -(\lambda + a_1)f - v - a_3,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{Y_1 Z_2 - Y_2 Z_1}{X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad v = -\frac{Y_1 X_2 - Y_2 X_1}{X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1}.$$

Applying the automorphism of  $E \times E$  mapping  $(P_1, P_2)$  to  $(P_1, -P_2)$  we find that

$$s^*(X/Z) = \kappa^2 + a_1 \kappa - \frac{X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1}{Z_1 Z_2} - a_2$$

and

$$s^*(Y/Z) = -(\kappa + a_1)s^*(X/Z) - \mu - a_3,$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1 + a_1 X_2 Z_1 + a_3 Z_1 Z_2}{X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1}$$

and

$$\mu = -\frac{Y_1 X_2 + Y_2 X_1 + a_1 X_1 X_2 + a_3 X_1 Z_2}{X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1}.$$

The bijection of Theorem 2 maps  $(0:0:1)$  to the addition law given by  $X_3^{(1)} = fZ_0$ ,  $Y_3^{(1)} = gZ_0$ ,  $Z_3^{(1)} = Z_0$ , which in explicit terms is found to be given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_3^{(1)} = & (X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1)(Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) + (X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) Y_1 Y_2 \\ & + a_1 X_1 X_2 (Y_1 Z_2 - Y_2 Z_1) + a_1 (X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1)(X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\ & - a_2 X_1 X_2 (X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) + a_3 (X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1) Z_1 Z_2 \\ & + a_3 (X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1)(Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\ & - a_4 (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1)(X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) \\ & - 3a_6 (X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_3^{(1)} = & -3X_1X_2(X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1) \\
 & - Y_1Y_2(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1) - 2a_1(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1)Y_1Y_2 \\
 & + (a_1^2 + 3a_2)X_1X_2(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1) \\
 & - (a_1^2 + a_2)(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1)(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) \\
 & + (a_1a_2 - 3a_3)X_1X_2(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) \\
 & - (2a_1a_3 + a_4)(X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & + a_4(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1)(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1) \\
 & + (a_1a_4 - a_2a_3)(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1)(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) \\
 & + (a_3^2 + 3a_6)(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & + (3a_1a_6 - a_3a_4)(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_3^{(1)} = & 3X_1X_2(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) - (Y_1Z_2 + Y_2Z_1)(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1) \\
 & + a_1(X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1)Z_1Z_2 - a_1(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1)(Y_1Z_2 + Y_2Z_1) \\
 & + a_2(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1)(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) - a_3(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & + a_4(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding exceptional divisor is  $3 \cdot \Delta$ , so a pair of points  $P_1, P_2$  on  $E$  is exceptional for this addition law if and only if  $P_1 = P_2$ .

Multiplying the addition law just given by  $s^*(Y/Z)$  we obtain the addition law corresponding to  $(0:1:0)$ . It reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_3^{(2)} = & Y_1Y_2(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1) + a_1(2X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1)X_2Y_1 + a_1^2X_1X_2^2Y_1 \\
 & - a_2X_1X_2(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1) - a_1a_2X_1^2X_2^2 + a_3X_2Y_1(Y_1Z_2 + 2Y_2Z_1) \\
 & + a_1a_3X_1X_2(Y_1Z_2 - Y_2Z_1) - a_1a_3(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1)(X_1Z_2 - X_2Z_1) \\
 & - a_4X_1X_2(Y_1Z_2 + Y_2Z_1) - a_4(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1)(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1) \\
 & - a_1^2a_3X_1^2X_2Z_2 - a_1a_4X_1X_2(2X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1) \\
 & - a_2a_3X_1X_2^2Z_1 - a_3^2X_1Z_2(2Y_2Z_1 + Y_1Z_2) \\
 & - 3a_6(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & - 3a_6(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1)(Y_1Z_2 + Y_2Z_1) - a_1a_3^2X_1Z_2(X_1Z_2 + 2X_2Z_1) \\
 & - 3a_1a_6X_1Z_2(X_1Z_2 + 2X_2Z_1) + a_3a_4(X_1Z_2 - 2X_2Z_1)X_2Z_1 \\
 & - (a_1^2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 + 4a_2a_6 - a_4^2)(Y_1Z_2 + Y_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & - (a_1^3a_6 - a_1^2a_3a_4 + a_1a_2a_3^2 + 4a_1a_2a_6 - a_1a_4^2)X_1Z_1Z_2^2 \\
 & - a_3^3(X_1Z_2 + X_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2 - 3a_3a_6(X_1Z_2 + 2X_2Z_1)Z_1Z_2 \\
 & - (a_1^2a_3a_6 - a_1a_3^2a_4 + a_2a_3^3 + 4a_2a_3a_6 - a_3a_4^2)Z_1^2Z_2^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_3^{(2)} = & Y_1^2 Y_2^2 + a_1 X_2 Y_1^2 Y_2 + (a_1 a_2 - 3a_3) X_1 X_2^2 Y_1 \\
& + a_3 Y_1^2 Y_2 Z_2 - (a_2^2 - 3a_4) X_1^2 X_2^2 \\
& + (a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3)(2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) X_2 Y_1 \\
& + (a_1^2 a_4 - 2a_1 a_2 a_3 + 3a_3^2) X_1^2 X_2 Z_2 \\
& - (a_2 a_4 - 9a_6) X_1 X_2 (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + (3a_1 a_6 - a_3 a_4)(X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) Y_1 Z_2 \\
& + (3a_1^2 a_6 - 2a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3^2 + 3a_2 a_6 - a_4^2) X_1 Z_2 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) \\
& - (3a_2 a_6 - a_4^2)(X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1)(X_1 Z_2 - X_2 Z_1) \\
& + (a_1^3 a_6 - a_1^2 a_3 a_4 + a_1 a_2 a_3^2 - a_1 a_4^2 + 4a_1 a_2 a_6 - a_3^3 - 3a_3 a_6) Y_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + (a_1^4 a_6 - a_1^3 a_3 a_4 + 5a_1^2 a_2 a_6 + a_1^2 a_2 a_3^2 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 - a_1 a_3^3 - 3a_1 a_3 a_6 \\
& - a_1^2 a_4^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 - a_2 a_4^2 + 4a_2^2 a_6 - a_3^2 a_4 - 3a_4 a_6) X_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + (a_1^2 a_2 a_6 - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 + 3a_1 a_3 a_6 + a_2^2 a_3^2 - a_2 a_4^2 \\
& + 4a_2^2 a_6 - 2a_3^2 a_4 - 3a_4 a_6) X_2 Z_1^2 Z_2 \\
& + (a_1^3 a_3 a_6 - a_1^2 a_3^2 a_4 + a_1^2 a_4 a_6 + a_1 a_2 a_3^3 \\
& + 4a_1 a_2 a_3 a_6 - 2a_1 a_3 a_4^2 + a_2 a_3^2 a_4 \\
& + 4a_2 a_4 a_6 - a_3^4 - 6a_3^2 a_6 - a_4^3 - 9a_6^2) Z_1^2 Z_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_3^{(2)} = & 3X_1 X_2 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1) + Y_1 Y_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) + 3a_1 X_1^2 X_2^2 \\
& + a_1 (2X_1 Y_2 + Y_1 X_2) Y_1 Z_2 + a_1^2 X_1 Z_2 (2X_2 Y_1 + X_1 Y_2) \\
& + a_2 X_1 X_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_2 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1) (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_1^3 X_1^2 X_2 Z_2 + a_1 a_2 X_1 X_2 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + 3a_3 X_1 X_2^2 Z_1 + a_3 Y_1 Z_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + 2Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + 2a_1 a_3 X_1 Z_2 (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + 2a_1 a_3 X_2 Y_1 Z_1 Z_2 + a_4 (X_1 Y_2 + X_2 Y_1) Z_1 Z_2 \\
& + a_4 (X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) \\
& + (a_1^2 a_3 + a_1 a_4) X_1 Z_2 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) + a_2 a_3 X_2 Z_1 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) \\
& + a_3^2 Y_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 + (a_3^2 + 3a_6) (Y_1 Z_2 + Y_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 \\
& + a_1 a_3^2 (2X_1 Z_2 + X_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 + 3a_1 a_6 X_1 Z_1 Z_2^2 \\
& + a_3 a_4 (X_1 Z_2 + 2X_2 Z_1) Z_1 Z_2 + (a_3^3 + 3a_3 a_6) Z_1^2 Z_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

History of these addition laws:

1761 Euler, 1866 Gauss:

Beautiful addition law

for  $x^2 + y^2 = 1 - x^2y^2$ ,

the “lemniscatic elliptic curve.”

$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_3, y_3)$  with

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1y_2 + x_2y_1}{1 - x_1x_2y_1y_2},$$

$$y_3 = \frac{y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{1 + x_1x_2y_1y_2}.$$

1986 Chudnovsky–Chudnovsky  
factorization-speed study begins  
with  $\mathbf{G}_a$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_m$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_2$ , lemniscate;  
but focuses on curve *families*.

2007 Edwards:

Obtain all elliptic curves over  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$

by generalizing to curve

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1 + dx^2y^2.$$

$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_3, y_3)$  with

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1y_2 + x_2y_1}{1 + dx_1x_2y_1y_2},$$

$$y_3 = \frac{y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{1 - dx_1x_2y_1y_2}.$$

Edwards actually used  $d = c^4$ .

Scaling:  $x^2 + y^2 = c^2(1 + x^2y^2)$ .

But  $x^2 + y^2 = 1 + dx^2y^2$  lowers

$j$  degree; includes lemniscate;

simplifies degeneration to clock.

Embed  $E$  into  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  
as recommended by Edwards.

$$\left(\infty, \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{d}}\right), \left(\frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \in E(k(\sqrt{d})).$$

Edwards commented that  
the addition law works for

$$(x_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) = \left(\frac{1}{y_1 \sqrt{d}}, \frac{-1}{x_1 \sqrt{d}}\right).$$

Can easily use this to obtain  
a dual addition law:

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2}{x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2},$$

$$y_3 = \frac{x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2}{x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1}.$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Here's how: } & (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \\ = & (x_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\ & + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Here's how: } & (\mathbf{x}_1, y_1) + (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{y_1\sqrt{d}}, \frac{-1}{x_1\sqrt{d}}\right) + (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Here's how: } (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \\
&= (x_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&\quad + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{y_1\sqrt{d}}, \frac{-1}{x_1\sqrt{d}}\right) + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left( \frac{\frac{y_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 - \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}}, \frac{\frac{-y_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 + \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Here's how: } (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \\
&= (x_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&\quad + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{y_1\sqrt{d}}, \frac{-1}{x_1\sqrt{d}}\right) + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left( \frac{\frac{y_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 - \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}}, \frac{\frac{-y_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 + \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left( \frac{\frac{x_1y_2 - x_2y_1}{\sqrt{d}}}{x_1y_1 - x_2y_2}, \frac{\frac{-y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{\sqrt{d}}}{x_1y_1 + x_2y_2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Here's how: } (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \\
&= (x_1, y_1) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&\quad + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{y_1\sqrt{d}}, \frac{-1}{x_1\sqrt{d}}\right) + (x_2, y_2) - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\frac{y_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 - \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}}, \frac{\frac{-y_2}{x_1\sqrt{d}} - \frac{x_2}{y_1\sqrt{d}}}{1 + \frac{dx_2y_2}{dx_1y_1}}\right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\frac{x_1y_2 - x_2y_1}{\sqrt{d}}}{x_1y_1 - x_2y_2}, \frac{\frac{-y_1y_2 - x_1x_2}{\sqrt{d}}}{x_1y_1 + x_2y_2}\right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \infty\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{x_1y_1 + x_2y_2}{x_1x_2 + y_1y_2}, \frac{x_1y_1 - x_2y_2}{x_1y_2 - x_2y_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

2007 Bernstein–Lange:

Edwards addition law gives speed records for ECM, ECC, etc.

2008 Hisil–Wong–Carter–Dawson:

First publication of dual addition law; new speed records. (Completely different derivation.)

2009.11 Bernstein–Lange:

Addition law and dual form a complete system.

Elementary, computational proof, giving elementary, computational

*definition* of the group  $E(k)$

using these formulas.

1987 Lenstra “Elliptic curves and number-theoretic algorithms” :

Use Lange–Ruppert complete system of addition laws to give computational definition of the Weierstrass group  $E(R)$  for more general rings  $R$ .

Define  $\mathbf{P}^2(R) = \{(X : Y : Z) : X, Y, Z \in R; XR + YR + ZR = R\}$  where  $(X : Y : Z)$  is the module  $\{(\lambda X, \lambda Y, \lambda Z) : \lambda \in R\}$ .

Define  $E(R) = \{(X : Y : Z) \in \mathbf{P}^2(R) : Y^2 Z = X^3 + a_4 X Z^2 + a_6 Z^3\}$ .

To define (and compute) sum  
 $(X_1 : Y_1 : Z_1) + (X_2 : Y_2 : Z_2)$ :

Consider (and compute)

Lange–Ruppert  $(X_3 : Y_3 : Z_3)$ ,  
 $(X'_3 : Y'_3 : Z'_3)$ ,  $(X''_3 : Y''_3 : Z''_3)$ .

Add these  $R$ -modules:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(\lambda X_3, \lambda Y_3, \lambda Z_3) \\ &+ (\lambda' X'_3, \lambda' Y'_3, \lambda' Z'_3) \\ &+ (\lambda'' X''_3, \lambda'' Y''_3, \lambda'' Z''_3) : \\ &\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in R \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Allow any ring  $R$

having trivial class group.

Then this sum of modules can be  
expressed as  $(X : Y : Z)$ .



## Counting points: Schoof etc.

Input: prime  $\ell$ ; abelian variety  $A/\mathbf{F}_q$ , usually  $\text{Jac}(\text{genus-}g \text{ curve})$ .

Write down generic point  $P \in A$  with  $\ell P = 0$ .

Specifically: express  $\ell P = 0$

as system of equations

on coordinates of  $P$ ;

extend  $\mathbf{F}_q$  to ring

$R = \mathbf{F}_q[\text{coords}]/\text{equations}$ ;

note that  $\ell P = 0$  in  $A(R)$ .

Genus 1:  $\#R \approx q^{\ell^2}$ .

Genus 2:  $\#R \approx q^{\ell^4}$ .

Much larger computations.

True often enough to be useful:

Genus 1: Unique linear equation

$$\varphi^2(P) - s_1\varphi(P) + qP = 0 \text{ for}$$

$$q\text{th-power } \varphi : A(R) \rightarrow A(R)$$

with  $s_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ .

Then  $1 - s_1 + q - \#A(\mathbf{F}_q) \in \ell\mathbf{Z}$ .

Genus 2: Unique linear equation

$$\varphi^4(P) - s_1\varphi^3(P) + s_2\varphi^2(P)$$

$$- qs_1\varphi(P) + q^2P = 0$$

with  $s_1, s_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ .

Then  $1 - s_1 + s_2 - qs_1 + q^2$

$$- \#A(\mathbf{F}_q) \in \ell\mathbf{Z}.$$

Try many  $\ell$ ; deduce  $\#A(\mathbf{F}_q)$ .

Silly name: “ $\ell$ -adic method.”

Which coords to choose for  
 $A = \text{Jac}(C)$  when  $C$  has genus 2?

2000 Gaudry–Harley,

2004 Gaudry–Schost,

2009 Gaudry–Schost:

Use Mumford coordinates for  $A$ ,

and write  $P = P_1 - P_2$

with  $P_i = (x_i, y_i) \in C \rightarrow A$ .

$R = \mathbf{F}_q[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2]/($

$(x_1, y_1) \in C;$

$(x_2, y_2) \in C;$

$\ell(x_1, y_1) = \ell(x_2, y_2)$

$).$

$$\ell(x_1, y_1) = \ell(x_2, y_2)$$

gives two equations in  $x_1, x_2$   
of degree  $\ell^{2+o(1)}$ . Eliminate  $x_2$ ,  
obtaining equation in  $x_1$ .

Elimination time  $(\ell^6 \lg q)^{1+o(1)}$   
using fast-arithmetic techniques.

Equation in  $x_1$ : degree  $\ell^{4+o(1)}$ .

Computing  $\varphi(P)$  etc.:  
time  $(\ell^4 (\lg q)^2)^{1+o(1)}$ .

Total time  $(\lg q)^{8+o(1)}$   
to handle all  $\ell \leq (\lg q)^{1+o(1)}$ .

2004 Gaudry–Schost: symmetrize;  
constant-factor speedup.

2000 Gaudry–Harley et al.  
don't actually use  $A(R)$ .  
They map  $R$  to a field,  
allegedly saving time.

2000 Gaudry–Harley et al.  
don't actually use  $A(R)$ .  
They map  $R$  to a field,  
allegedly saving time.

But factorization is slow!

Latest factorization algorithm,  
2008 Kedlaya–Umans,  
takes time  $(\lg q)^{7+o(1)}$   
to factor the  $x_1$  equation.  
Sum over  $\ell$ :  $(\lg q)^{8+o(1)}$ .

Closer analysis of  $o(1)$   
shows that factorization  
still loses time here,  
except for “free” factors.

Can save time in genus 1  
by building a smaller  $R$   
that defines a  $\varphi$ -stable  
subgroup of  $\ell$ -torsion.

(1991 Elkies; 1992 Atkin)

Fastest such techniques reported  
for genus 2: time  $\ell^{12+o(1)}$ .

Use for  $\ell \leq (\lg q)^{2/3+o(1)}$ .

Asymptotic speedup  $1 + o(1)$ .

Also “kangaroos” / “cockroaches” :

Asymptotic speedup  $1 + o(1)$ .

Also  $\#A \bmod 2^2$  etc.:

Asymptotic speedup  $1 + o(1)$ .

## Video games

Millions of people buy PCs to “play video games” :  
i.e., to participate in applied physics simulations, often highly networked.

Society adjusts ultracomputer to improve these simulations.

Algorithm designers obtain much better results by paying attention to the ultracomputer architecture!

Most important fact:

$\#ALUs \in \Theta(\#bits \text{ of RAM})$ .



My university has just spent  
<\$20000 on a cluster for me.

8 CPUs; 15 GTX 295 cards.

Each GTX 295 has 2 “GPUs.”

My university has just spent  
<\$20000 on a cluster for me.

8 CPUs; 15 GTX 295 cards.

Each GTX 295 has 2 “GPUs.”

Each GPU has 30 cores.

My university has just spent  
<\$20000 on a cluster for me.

8 CPUs; 15 GTX 295 cards.

Each GTX 295 has 2 “GPUs.”

Each GPU has 30 cores.

Each core has 8 ALUs

and <100KB of fast RAM.

Each ALU performs a 32-bit

operation every cycle @1.242GHz.

My university has just spent  
<\$20000 on a cluster for me.

8 CPUs; 15 GTX 295 cards.

Each GTX 295 has 2 “GPUs.”

Each GPU has 30 cores.

Each core has 8 ALUs

and <100KB of fast RAM.

Each ALU performs a 32-bit  
operation every cycle @1.242GHz.

I also have accounts

on several “TeraGrid” clusters.

Right now I’m using 448 GPUs;

13440 cores; 107520 32-bit ALUs.

GPU cores can communicate  
through slow “global” RAM.  
 $\leq 3$  bits per ALU per cycle.

GPU cores can communicate through slow “global” RAM.

$\leq 3$  bits per ALU per cycle.

Cluster nodes can communicate through a slow network.

$\leq 0.003$  bits per ALU per cycle.

GPU cores can communicate through slow “global” RAM.

$\leq 3$  bits per ALU per cycle.

Cluster nodes can communicate through a slow network.

$\leq 0.003$  bits per ALU per cycle.

Algorithm-analysis students are taught to count algorithm “operations.”

RAM access: 1 operation.

Resulting algorithms are poorly optimized for the real world.

Gap grows with cluster size.

Much better model  
developed 30 years ago:  
Computation is carried out  
on a 2-dimensional circuit.  
Measure circuit area, time.

e.g. 1981 Brent–Kung:  
multiply  $n$ -bit integers  
in time  $n^{0.5+o(1)}$   
using circuit area  $n^{1+o(1)}$ .

Scalability in this model  
is fairly close to scalability  
of real-world computations.



Many other “buildable” models.

Time to sort  $n$  small integers  
on machine of size  $n^{1+o(1)}$ :

$n^{2.0+o(1)}$ : 1-tape Turing machine.

$n^{1.5+o(1)}$ : 2-dimensional RAM.

$n^{1.0+o(1)}$ : pipelined RAM.

$n^{0.5+o(1)}$ : 2-dimensional circuit.

Why does anyone say that  
sorting time is  $n^{1+o(1)}$ ?

Why choose third machine?

Silly! Once  $n$  is large enough,  
fourth machine is better.

Let's see what this means  
for genus-2 point-counting.

Machine cost:  $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$ .

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs.

Let's see what this means  
for genus-2 point-counting.

Machine cost:  $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$ .  
 $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs.

Multiplying two univariate  
polynomials of degree  $(\lg q)^{2+o(1)}$ :  
 $(\lg q)^{3+o(1)}$  ALUs;  
time  $(\lg q)^{1.5+o(1)}$ .

Let's see what this means  
for genus-2 point-counting.

Machine cost:  $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$ .  
 $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs.

Multiplying two univariate  
polynomials of degree  $(\lg q)^{2+o(1)}$ :  
 $(\lg q)^{3+o(1)}$  ALUs;  
time  $(\lg q)^{1.5+o(1)}$ .

$(\lg q)^{4+o(1)}$  resultants:  
 $(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;  
time  $(\lg q)^{3.5+o(1)}$ .

Multiplying mod  $x_1$  equation:

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;

time  $(\lg q)^{2.5+o(1)}$ .

Multiplying mod  $x_1$  equation:

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;

time  $(\lg q)^{2.5+o(1)}$ .

Computing  $\varphi(P)$  etc.:

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;

time  $(\lg q)^{3.5+o(1)}$ .

Total time  $(\lg q)^{4.5+o(1)}$ .

Multiplying mod  $x_1$  equation:

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;

time  $(\lg q)^{2.5+o(1)}$ .

Computing  $\varphi(P)$  etc.:

$(\lg q)^{5+o(1)}$  ALUs;

time  $(\lg q)^{3.5+o(1)}$ .

Total time  $(\lg q)^{4.5+o(1)}$ .

In oversimplified RAM model,  
 $\lg q$  exponent was dominated  
solely by the resultants.

No longer true here.

Most important computation:  
big multiplication on GPUs,  
and then on network of GPUs.

First steps: 2009 Emeliyanenko,  
“Efficient multiplication of  
polynomials on graphics  
hardware.” Uses algorithm ideas  
developed for FFT on tape,  
 $\pi$  computation on disk, etc.

Recent tool development: 2010  
Bernstein–Chen–Cheng–Lange–  
Niederhagen–Schwabe–Yang,  
“Usable assembly language for  
GPUs: a success story.”