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Rabin’s public-key signature system

Message $m$

Signed message $m, r, s$

Verify $s^2 \equiv H(r, m) \pmod{pq}$

Secret $p, q$

Public key $pq$
The Internet

Web-browsing procedure:

1. Figure out web page’s URL.
2. Figure out server’s IP address.
3. Figure out server’s public key.
4. Retrieve page.

Similar procedure for mail et al.

Need to protect each step against forgery.
(And against denial of service.)
Assuming URL is protected:

Why not put IP address into URL? Protects IP address for free.

Answer: IP addresses often change. Want old links to keep working.

Why not put public key into URL? Protects public key for free.

Will come back to this.
This talk focuses on step 2: given web-page URL, find server’s IP address.

E.g. if URL is http://www.sun.com/downloads then need to find IP address of www.sun.com.
The Domain Name System

**Browser** at panic.mil

“The web server
www.sun.com
has IP address
64.124.140.181.”

**Administrator** at sun.com
Many DNS software security holes: BIND libresolv buffer overflow, Microsoft cache promiscuity, BIND 8 TSIG buffer overflow, BIND 9 dig promiscuity, etc.

Fix: Use better DNS software.

But what about protocol holes?
Attacker can forge DNS packets.

Blind attacker must guess cookie; 32 bits in best current software. Could make cookie larger by extending or abusing protocol.

Sniffing attacker succeeds easily, no matter how big cookie is.

Solution: public-key signatures.
Paul Vixie, June 1995:

This sounds simple but it has deep reaching consequences in both the protocol and the implementation—which is why it’s taken more than a year to choose a security model and design a solution. We expect it to be another year before DNSSEC is in wide use on the leading edge, and at least a year after that before its use is commonplace on the Internet.

BIND 8.2 blurb, March 1999:

[Top feature:] Preliminary DNSSEC.

BIND 9 blurb, September 2000:

[Top feature:] DNSSEC.
Paul Vixie, November 2002:

We are still doing basic research on what kind of data model will work for DNS security. After three or four times of saying “NOW we’ve got it, THIS TIME for sure” there’s finally some humility in the picture . . . “Wonder if THIS’ll work?” . . .

It’s impossible to know how many more flag days we’ll have before it’s safe to burn ROMs . . . It sure isn’t plain old SIG+KEY, and it sure isn’t DS as currently specified. When will it be? We don’t know. . . .

2535 is already dead and buried. There is no installed base. We’re starting from scratch.
DNS in more detail
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"The web server www.sun.com has IP address 64.124.140.181."

at sun.com
DNS cache learns location of .sun.com DNS server from .com DNS server:

at panic.mil

“The DNS server for .sun.com is ns7 with IP address 192.18.42.12.”

at sun.com

Administrator
Packets to/from DNS cache

God sayeth unto the DNS cache:

“DNS Root K.Heaven 193.0.14.129”

“Web www.sun.com?”

193.0.14.129 \rightarrow DNS cache

“DNS .com jgtld 192.48.79.30”

“Web www.sun.com?”

192.48.79.30 \rightarrow DNS cache

“DNS .sun.com ns7 192.18.42.12”

“Web www.sun.com?”

192.18.42.12 \rightarrow DNS cache

“Web www.sun.com 64.124.140.181”
Making DNS secure

Many popular ways to authenticate cache → browser: e.g., IPSEC, or put cache on same box as browser. Same for other local communication.

Limited risk for God → cache: data set is small, stable, widespread. Keep safe local copy of result. Can also keep copies of data from root server.
Many popular ways to authenticate Sun admin → .com: e.g., SSL-encrypted passwords.
Be careful: In January 2001, someone fooled Internet HQ into accepting fake Microsoft data.
Want to use public-key signatures for .com server → cache and .sun.com server → cache.
Who should check signatures?

Caches have responsibility for verifying signatures.

Could check in browser instead, but caches are easier than browsers to upgrade and redeploy.

(Also, without cache support, can’t stop denial of service.)
How does the cache obtain keys?

Sun administrator signs www.sun.com information under .sun.com public key. Cache needs safe copy of that key.

Old DNSSEC approach:
.sun.com server sends its key, signed by .com key, to the cache.
Current DNSSEC approach:
.com server sends second Sun key to cache, signed by .com key;
.sun.com server sends first Sun key to cache, signed by second key.

New software for DNS servers, .com database to store keys, and .sun.com database.
No reason to change software!

.com server has to sign

“.sun.com ns7 192.18.42.12”

anyway. Embed Sun key $k$

into ns7 field as $k.m_1$

where $m_1$ is a magic number.

Cache sees $m_1$, extracts $k$, rejects data not signed by $k$. 
Another solution:
Put public keys into URLs.
Use www.k.m$_2$.sun.com

Cache sees m$_2$, extracts k,
rejects data not signed by k.

Doesn’t need HQ cooperation.
In fact, secure against HQ!
(But HQ can still deny service.)
How does cache obtain signatures?

How are signatures encoded in DNS responses?

DNSSEC: Servers are responsible for volunteering signatures in a new signature format. (Sometimes cache has to go track down signatures; makes denial of service easier.)

New software for DNS servers.
No reason to change software!
Put signed data into existing servers.

Cache wants \texttt{ab.cd.sun.com}
data from \texttt{.sun.com}
with signature under key \texttt{k}.
Instead requests data for
\texttt{r.m3.ab.cd.k.m3.sun.com}
where \texttt{r} is a cookie.
Rejects unsigned results.

(Cookie stops blind attacks.)
Simplified example in BIND format:

.sun.com server has


TXT "A 64.124.140.181 ..."

where ... is a signature of

www A 64.124.140.181

under Sun’s key 8675309.

.com server has


TXT "sun NS

8675309.789 192.18.42.12 ...".
Cache wants data for
www.sun.com or

Asks .com server about
237.123.www.sun
 .3141592.123.com.

Checks signature under key 3141592.

Asks .sun.com server about

Checks signature under key 8675309.
Packet space limitations

DNS packets over UDP are limited to 512 bytes. DNS packets over TCP are much more costly. (And allow much easier denial of service.)

DNSSEC uses RSA keys too small for comfort, and changes servers to use larger packets; still has to fall back to TCP frequently.
Could use signature systems with slower verification, but that could overload caches (and help denial of service).

Better: Compress Rabin keys by prespecifying top half.
Then replace keys with hashes.
Use lattice techniques to compress signatures.

Helps dramatically to use only one signature per packet.
Precomputation hassles

Many DNS servers receive several thousand queries per second. Can’t keep up without precomputing some signatures.

To avoid changing server (and to prevent denial of service), need to precompute all signatures.

Can’t use client’s fresh cookie in precomputation, so need secure global clocks for freshness.
Can’t precompute signatures for all possible responses:
.sun.com controls
quizno357.sun.com etc.

DNSSEC approach: Sign wildcards such as “there are no names between quaalude.sun.com and quizzical.sun.com.”
Saves time for snoops.

Better: Skip it. Users don’t care.
Handle SRV silliness separately.
The .com database is \( \approx 2 \text{GB} \).
With signatures, several times larger; won’t fit into memory.
(VM allows easy denial of service.)

DNSSEC approach: “opt-in.”
Useless signatures such as “This is a signature for any data you might receive for x.com through y.com.”

Better: Buy enough memory!
What’s next?

Next release of dnscache will check 1536-bit signatures, using mechanisms $m_1, m_2, m_3$. Limited wildcard support.

Accompanying tool will create public key and precompute signatures.

Guerrilla marketing:
Hire FBI to forge DNS records.