

# COMPUTING LOGARITHM FLOORS IN ESSENTIALLY LINEAR TIME

DANIEL J. BERNSTEIN

ABSTRACT. This paper fills a gap in some incomplete algorithms stated in the literature, notably a recent algorithm for determining primality. What this paper presents are algorithms to compute  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor$ , given positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ . Here  $\log$  is the natural logarithm, and  $\lg$  is the base-2 logarithm. Baker's theorem on linear forms in logarithms implies that the algorithms take essentially linear time if  $\lg m \in (\lg n)^{o(1)}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As usual,  $\log$  is the natural logarithm, and  $\lg$  is the base-2 logarithm.

Section 2 of this paper presents an algorithm that, given a positive integer  $n$ , computes  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$  and  $\lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ . The algorithm takes time at most  $(\lg n)^{1+o(1)}$ .

Section 3 presents an algorithm that, given positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ , computes  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor$  and  $\lceil \sqrt{m} \lg n \rceil$ . The algorithm takes time at most  $(\lg n)^{1+o(1)}$  if  $\lg m \in (\lg n)^{o(1)}$ .

Previous authors have implicitly—and, I suspect, unintentionally—assumed the existence of polynomial-time algorithms for these two problems. See Section 4 for further discussion.

**Proving computability.** The usual way to compute  $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$  and  $\lceil \alpha \rceil$  is to compute high-precision bounds on  $\alpha$ . My paper [7] explains how to quickly compute high-precision bounds on logarithms. But this is not enough: what happens if  $\alpha$  is an integer?

Answer: Lindemann's theorem implies that  $(\log n)^2$  is not an integer unless it is an obvious integer, i.e., unless  $n = 1$ . The theorem states that an algebraic number outside  $\{0, 1\}$  never has an algebraic logarithm; in particular,  $\log n$  is not algebraic for  $n > 1$ . See [3, page 1].

Similarly, the Gelfond-Kuzmin theorem implies that  $\sqrt{m} \lg n$  is not an integer unless it is an obvious integer. The theorem states that  $(\log \alpha_1)/\log \alpha_2$  is never a quadratic irrational; here  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are algebraic numbers outside  $\{0, 1\}$ . This is a special case of the Gelfond-Schneider theorem, which states that  $(\log \alpha_1)/\log \alpha_2$  is never algebraic unless it is rational. See [3, pages 1–2].

---

Permanent document ID: 97bbdc1ce6aff974c789eab21b9cfba1.

Date: 2003.06.30.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11Y16; Secondary 11J86.

The author was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-0140542, and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

**Proving essentially-linear-time computability.** Even if  $\alpha$  is not an integer, what happens if  $\alpha$  is extremely close to an integer? Bounds on  $\alpha$  of increasingly high precision will eventually separate  $\alpha$  from that integer, but what happens if the required precision is, say,  $\exp \exp \exp b$ , where  $b$  is the number of bits of input?

Answer: Baker's theorem implies that  $b^{1+o(1)}$  bits of precision suffice. Explicit bounds appear in Sections 2 and 3. (Similar applications of transcendental number theory appear in [5] and [9].)

Beware that the bounds say nothing about real-world computations: they include extremely large constant factors. I have made no attempt to optimize those constant factors. The algorithms here are nevertheless reasonably fast in practice, because they start from low precision, using higher precision only if necessary.

## 2. FLOOR OF LOGARITHM SQUARED

Here is an algorithm that, given a positive integer  $n$ , computes  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$  and  $\lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ :

1. If  $n = 1$ : Print 0, 0 and stop.
2. Compute a precise interval  $[L, R]$  containing  $\log n$ , as explained in [7].
3. If  $[L^2, R^2]$  does not contain an integer, print  $\lfloor L^2 \rfloor, \lceil R^2 \rceil$  and stop.
4. Double the number of bits of precision. Go back to step 2.

This algorithm is parametrized by the starting precision. It is simplest to start with 1 bit of precision; it is fastest to start with slightly more than  $2 \lg \log n$  bits of precision.

The following theorem states that the algorithm terminates once the precision reaches approximately  $3 \cdot 2^{1000} (\lg n) (\lg \lg n)^2$  bits, if not sooner. Thus the total time for the algorithm is at most  $(\lg n)^{1+o(1)}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 8$ . Define  $j = \lceil \lg n \rceil$  and  $k = 3 \cdot 2^{1000} j \lceil \lg j \rceil^2$ . Let  $L$  and  $R$  be real numbers such that  $L \leq \log n \leq R$  and  $|R - L| \leq 2^{-k}$ . Then  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor < L^2 \leq R^2 < \lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ .*

This is a typical application of Baker's theorem. Here is the general statement of Baker's theorem from [3, Theorem 1]: Assume that  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$  are elements of a number field of degree at most  $d$ ; that each  $\beta_i$  has height at most  $B \geq 4$ , where "height" means "maximum absolute value of coefficients in the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Z}$ "; that  $\alpha_i$  has height at most  $A_i \geq 4$ ; that  $\Lambda \neq 0$ , where  $\Lambda = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_\ell \log \alpha_\ell$ ; and that  $\Omega = (\log A_1) \cdots (\log A_\ell)$ . Then  $|\Lambda| > (B\Omega)^{-(16\ell d)^{200\ell} \Omega \log \Omega}$ . Baker actually states this bound with  $\log(\Omega/\log A_\ell)$  in place of  $\log \Omega$ , but that improvement is only for  $\ell \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* I will show that  $f < L^2$  if  $f = \lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$ , and that  $f > R^2$  if  $f = \lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ . Note that either choice of  $f$  satisfies  $4 \leq f \leq j^2$  since  $4 \leq (\log n)^2 \leq j^2$ .

By Lindemann's theorem,  $\log n \neq \sqrt{f}$ . Apply Baker's theorem with  $\ell = 1$ ,  $\beta_0 = \sqrt{f}$ ,  $\beta_1 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = n$ ,  $d = 2$ ,  $\Lambda = \sqrt{f} - \log n \neq 0$ ,  $B = f \geq 4$ ,  $A_1 = n \geq 4$ ,  $\Omega = \log n < j$ ,  $B\Omega < fj \leq j^3$ ,  $(16\ell d)^{200\ell} = 2^{1000}$ ,  $\Omega \log \Omega < j \lg j$ , and  $(16\ell d)^{200\ell} \Omega \log \Omega \lg B\Omega < 2^{1000} j \lg j \lg j^3 \leq k$  to see that  $|\sqrt{f} - \log n| > 2^{-k}$ .

In particular, if  $f = \lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$ , then  $\sqrt{f} < \log n$ , so  $\sqrt{f} < \log n - 2^{-k} \leq R - 2^{-k} \leq L$ ; i.e.,  $f < L^2$  as claimed. Similarly, if  $f = \lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ , then  $\sqrt{f} > \log n$ , so  $\sqrt{f} > \log n + 2^{-k} \geq L + 2^{-k} \geq R$ ; i.e.,  $f > R^2$  as claimed.  $\square$

### 3. FLOOR OF SQUARE ROOT TIMES LOGARITHM

Here is an algorithm that, given positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ , computes  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor$  and  $\lceil \sqrt{m} \lg n \rceil$ :

1. If  $n = 1$ : Print 0, 0 and stop.
2. If  $n$  is a power of 2 and  $m$  is a square: Print  $\sqrt{m} \lg n, \sqrt{m} \lg n$  and stop.
3. Compute a precise interval  $[L, R]$  containing  $\sqrt{m} \lg n$ , as explained in [7].
4. If  $[L, R]$  does not contain an integer, print  $\lfloor L \rfloor, \lceil R \rceil$  and stop.
5. Double the number of bits of precision. Go back to step 3.

For theoretical purposes, it is simplest to start with 1 bit of precision, as in Section 2. See [5] for square-testing algorithms.

The following theorem states that the algorithm terminates once the precision reaches approximately  $2^{2401} \lg n \lg \lg n \lg(m \lg n)$  bits, if not sooner. In particular, the required precision is at most  $(\lg n)^{1+o(1)}$  if  $\lg m \in (\lg n)^{o(1)}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers. Assume that  $n \geq 2$ , and that  $n$  is not a power of 2 if  $m$  is a square. Define  $j = \lceil \lg 2n \rceil$  and  $k = 2^{2401} j \lceil \lg j \rceil \lceil \lg 2mj \rceil$ . Let  $L$  and  $R$  be real numbers such that  $L \leq \sqrt{m} \lg n \leq R$  and  $|R - L| \leq 2^{-k}$ . Then  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor < L \leq R < \lceil \sqrt{m} \lg n \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* I will show that  $f < L$  if  $f = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor$ , and that  $f > R$  if  $f = \lceil \sqrt{m} \lg n \rceil$ . Note that either choice of  $f$  satisfies  $1 \leq f \leq mj$  since  $1 \leq \sqrt{m} \lg n \leq mj$ .

If  $m$  is a square then  $n$  is not a power of 2 so  $n^{\sqrt{m}} \neq 2^f$ . If  $m$  is not a square then, by the Gelfond-Kuzmin theorem, the quadratic irrational  $f/\sqrt{m}$  does not equal  $(\log n)/\log 2$ . Either way,  $\sqrt{m} \log n - f \log 2 \neq 0$ .

Apply Baker's theorem with  $\ell = 2$ ,  $\beta_0 = 0$ ,  $\beta_1 = \sqrt{m}$ ,  $\beta_2 = -f$ ,  $\alpha_1 = n$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $d = 2$ ,  $\Lambda = \sqrt{m} \log n - f \log 2 \neq 0$ ,  $B = 4mf \geq 4$ ,  $A_1 = 2n \geq 4$ ,  $A_2 = 4$ ,  $\Omega = (\log 2n) \log 4 < j$ ,  $B\Omega < 4mfj \leq (2mj)^2$ ,  $(16\ell d)^{200\ell} = 2^{2400}$ ,  $\Omega \log \Omega < j \lg j$ , and  $(16\ell d)^{200\ell} \Omega \log \Omega \lg B\Omega < 2^{2400} j \lg j \lg((2mj)^2) \leq k$  to see that  $|\sqrt{m} \lg n - f| > |\sqrt{m} \log n - f \log 2| > 2^{-k}$ .

In particular, if  $f = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \lg n \rfloor$ , then  $f < R - 2^{-k} \leq L$ . Similarly, if  $f = \lceil \sqrt{m} \lg n \rceil$ , then  $f > L + 2^{-k} \geq R$ .  $\square$

### 4. APPLICATIONS

I wrote this paper to retroactively justify the claim that two algorithms in the literature take polynomial time:

- Bach and Shallit in [2, page 268] state an algorithm that performs an inner loop “for  $a \leftarrow 2$  to  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$ .” They claim that the time for the algorithm is “clearly” dominated by the time for the inner loop, which in turn is  $o((\lg n)^6)$ . However, they neglect to prove that  $\lfloor (\log n)^2 \rfloor$  is computable from  $n$  in time  $o((\lg n)^6)$ .
- Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena in [1, page 3] state an algorithm (repeated on the front cover of the May 2003 Notices of the AMS) that performs an inner loop for each integer  $a$  from 1 through “ $\lfloor 2\sqrt{\phi(r)} \log n \rfloor$ ,” where “log” means  $\lg$ . They claim that this algorithm takes polynomial time. However, they neglect to prove that  $\lfloor \sqrt{4\phi(r)} \lg n \rfloor$  is computable from  $\phi(r)$  and  $n$  in polynomial time.

One could, in both cases, use a wider range of integers  $a$ ; perhaps the authors actually meant  $\lfloor (31487/65536) \lceil \lg n \rceil^2 \rfloor$  and  $2 \lceil \sqrt{\phi(r)} \rceil \lceil \lg n \rceil$ , both of which are

easily computable without the techniques in this paper. That is, however, not what they wrote.

In my own presentations of the Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena idea, such as [6, Theorem 2.1], I used a smaller range of integers  $a$ , terminated by an easily computable binomial-coefficient condition, which is also the condition that naturally arises in the Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena proof. I am happy to sacrifice short formulas in favor of simple, fast programs and straightforward proofs. I realize, however, that many authors take the opposite view. This paper provides subroutines for those authors to use.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal, Nitin Saxena, *PRIMES is in P (revised)* (2003).
- [2] Eric Bach, Jeffrey Shallit, *Algorithmic number theory, volume 1: efficient algorithms*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1996. ISBN 0-262-02405-5. MR 97e:11157. Available from <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~shallit/ant.html>.
- [3] Alan Baker, *The theory of linear forms in logarithms*, in [4] (1977), 1–27. MR 58:16543.
- [4] Alan Baker, David W. Masser (editors), *Transcendence theory: advances and applications: proceedings of a conference held at the University of Cambridge, Cambridge, January–February, 1976*, Academic Press, London, 1977. ISBN 0-12-074350-7. MR 56:15573.
- [5] Daniel J. Bernstein, *Detecting perfect powers in essentially linear time*, *Mathematics of Computation* **67** (1998), 1253–1283. ISSN 0025-5718. MR 98j:11121. Available from <http://cr.yp.to/papers.html>.
- [6] Daniel J. Bernstein, *Proving primality in essentially quartic random time*.
- [7] Daniel J. Bernstein, *Computing logarithm intervals in essentially linear time with the AGM iteration*.
- [8] Krzysztof Diks, Wojciech Ritter (editors), *Mathematical foundations of computer science 2002: 27th international symposium, MFCS 2002, Warsaw, Poland, 26–30.08.2002: proceedings*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2420, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [9] Mika Hirvensalo, Juhani Karhumäki, *Computing partial information out of intractable one—the first digit of  $2^n$  at base 3 as an example*, in [8] (2002), 319–327.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE (M/C 249), THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045  
*Email address:* `djb@cr.yp.to`