Constant-time square-and-multiply

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago; Ruhr University Bochum

def pow256bit(x,e):

for i in reversed(range(256)):

$$y = y * y$$

y = y * x

return y

This code uses 256 squarings, plus 1 extra multiplication for each bit set in *e*.

Problem when *e* is secret: time leaks number of bits set in *e*.

This code uses 256 squarings, plus 1 extra multiplication for each bit set in *e*.

Problem when *e* is secret: time leaks number of bits set in *e*.

"I'll choose secret 256-bit *e* with exactly 128 bits set. There are enough of these *e*, and then there are no more leaks." This code uses 256 squarings, plus 1 extra multiplication for each bit set in *e*.

Problem when *e* is secret: time leaks number of bits set in *e*.

"I'll choose secret 256-bit *e* with exactly 128 bits set. There are enough of these *e*, and then there are no more leaks."

— Time still depends on e, even if each multiplication takes time independent of inputs. Hardware reality: Accessing RAM is inherently expensive.

CPU designers try to reduce cost.

Hardware reality: Accessing RAM is inherently expensive.

CPU designers try to reduce cost.

Example: "L1 cache" typically has 32KB of recently used data.

This cache inspects RAM addresses, performs various computations on addresses to try to save time. Hardware reality: Accessing RAM is inherently expensive.

CPU designers try to reduce cost.

Example: "L1 cache" typically has 32KB of recently used data.

This cache inspects RAM addresses, performs various computations on addresses to try to save time.

... so time is a function of RAM addresses. Avoid all data flow from secrets to RAM addresses. Example: Avoid all data flow from secrets to branch conditions.

Often described as a separate rule for software, but comes from the same hardware reality. Example: Avoid all data flow from secrets to branch conditions.

Often described as a separate rule for software, but comes from the same hardware reality.

How CPU runs a program (example of "code = data"):

```
while True:
```

```
insn = RAM[state.ip]
```

state = execute(state,insn)

ip ("instruction pointer" or "program counter"): address in RAM of next instruction. Standard square-and-multiply fix to follow these data-flow rules: Square and always multiply.

def pow256bit(x,e):

y = 1
for i in reversed(range(256)):
 y = y*y
 yx = y*x
 bit = 1&(e>>i)
 y = y+(yx-y)*bit
return y

If bit is 0 then yx computation is an unused "dummy operation".

Another approach, not well known:

```
def pow256bit(x,e):
  y,i,j = 1,255,0
  while i >= 0:
    if j == 0:
      y = y*y
       if 1&(e>>i):
         j = 1
      else:
         i = i - 1
    else:
      y = y * x
       i, j = i - 1, 0
  return y
```

This is like CPU's perspective on original square-and-multiply.

7

- *j* is "instruction pointer":
- 0 if at top of loop,
- 1 if in middle of loop.

Each "instruction" here includes exactly one multiply.

This is like CPU's perspective on original square-and-multiply.

- *j* is "instruction pointer":
- 0 if at top of loop,
- 1 if in middle of loop.

Each "instruction" here includes exactly one multiply.

Try to choose instruction set with big useful operations, avoiding control overhead.

Analogous to designing CPU.

Following data-flow rules, assuming all arithmetic (including *i* shifts etc.) is constant-time, assuming *e* weight exactly 128:

def pow256bit(x,e): y,i,j = 1,255,0while i >= 0: z = y+(x-y)*jy = y * zbit = 1&(e>>i)i = i - (j | (1 - bit))j = bit&(1-j)return y

Allowing any weight \leq 128:

def pow256bitweightle128(x,e): y,i,j = 1,255,0for loop in range(384): z = y+(x-y)*jz = z + (1-z) * (i < 0)y = y * zbit = 1&(e > max(i, 0))i = i - (j | (1 - bit))j = bit&(1-j)assert i < 0 return y

Allowing any weight \leq 128:

def pow256bitweightle128(x,e): y,i,j = 1,255,0for loop in range(384): z = y+(x-y)*jz = z + (1-z) * (i < 0)y = y * zbit = 1&(e > max(i, 0))i = i - (j | (1 - bit))j = bit&(1-j)assert i < 0 return y

Exercise: constant-time ECC scalar mult with sliding windows.