Constant-time square-and-multiply
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def pow256bit(x,e):
$y=1$
for i in reversed(range(256)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y * y \\
& \text { if } 1 \&(e \gg i): \\
& y=y * x
\end{aligned}
$$

return y

This code uses 256 squarings, plus 1 extra multiplication for each bit set in e.
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- Time still depends on e, even if each multiplication takes time independent of inputs.
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Often described as a separate rule for software, but comes from the same hardware reality.

How CPU runs a program (example of "code = data"):
while True:
ins $=$ RAM[state.ip]
state $=$ execute (state,insn)
ip ("instruction pointer" or "program counter"): address in RAM of next instruction.

Standard square-and-multiply fix to follow these data-flow rules:
Square and always multiply.
def pow256bit(x,e):
$y=1$
for i in reversed(range(256)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y * y \\
& y x=y * x \\
& b i t=1 \&(e \gg i) \\
& y=y+(y x-y) * b i t
\end{aligned}
$$

return y
If bit is 0 then ax computation is an unused "dummy operation".

## Another approach, not well known:

def pow256bit(x,e):

$$
\begin{gathered}
y, i, j=1,255,0 \\
\text { while i >= } 0 \\
\text { if } j==0: \\
y=y * y \\
\text { if } 1 \&(e \gg i): \\
j=1 \\
\text { else: } \\
i=i-1 \\
\text { else: } \\
y=y * x \\
i, j=i-1,0
\end{gathered}
$$

return y

This is like CPU's perspective on original square-and-multiply.
$j$ is "instruction pointer": 0 if at top of loop,
1 if in middle of loop.
Each "instruction" here
includes exactly one multiply.
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Try to choose instruction set with big useful operations, avoiding control overhead.

Analogous to designing CPU.

Following data-flow rules, assuming all arithmetic (including $i$ shifts etc.) is constant-time, assuming e weight exactly 128 :
def pow256bit(x,e):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y, i, j=1,255,0 \\
& \text { while } i=0: \\
& z=y+(x-y) * j \\
& y=y * z \\
& b i t=1 \&(e \gg i) \\
& i=i-(j \mid(1-b i t)) \\
& j=b i t \&(1-j)
\end{aligned}
$$

return y

Allowing any weight $\leq 128$ :
def pow256bitweightle128(x,e):
$y, i, j=1,255,0$
for loop in range (384):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=y+(x-y) * j \\
& z=z+(1-z) *(i<0) \\
& y=y * z \\
& \text { bit }=1 \&(e \gg \max (i, 0)) \\
& i=i-(j \mid(1-b i t)) \\
& j=b i t \&(1-j)
\end{aligned}
$$

assert i < 0
return y

Allowing any weight $\leq 128$ :
def pow256bitweightle128(x,e):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y, i, j=1,255,0 \\
& \text { for loop in range (384): } \\
& z=y+(x-y) * j \\
& z=z+(1-z) *(i<0) \\
& y=y * z \\
& \text { bit }=1 \&(e \gg \max (i, 0)) \\
& i=i-(j \mid(1-b i t)) \\
& j=b i t \&(1-j)
\end{aligned}
$$

assert i < 0

## return y

Exercise: constant-time ECC scalar mult with sliding windows.

