

Security dangers of the NIST curves

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago &
Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

Joint work with:

Tanja Lange

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

The NIST curves were designed
to make DLP difficult.

Or were they?

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves
and Curve Generation version
1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice
of the seeds from which the curve
parameters have been derived is
not motivated leaving an essential
part of the security analysis open.”

Security dangers of the NIST curves

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago &
Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

Joint work with:

Tanja Lange

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

The NIST curves were designed
to make DLP difficult.

Or were they?

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves
and Curve Generation version
1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice
of the seeds from which the curve
parameters have been derived is
not motivated leaving an essential
part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA
surveillance: A guide to
staying secure”, The Guardian,
2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional
discrete-log-based systems over
elliptic-curve systems; the latter
have constants that the NSA
influences when they can.”

dangers

NIST curves

Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago &

Radboud University Eindhoven

work with:

van

Radboud University Eindhoven

NIST curves were designed

to make DLP difficult.

Why not

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure”, The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that

As far as

NIST-cu

s

is at Chicago &
siteit Eindhoven

siteit Eindhoven

were designed
cult.

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves
and Curve Generation version
1.0” , 2005.10.19: “The choice
of the seeds from which the curve
parameters have been derived is
not motivated leaving an essential
part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA
surveillance: A guide to
staying secure” , The Guardian,
2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional
discrete-log-based systems over
elliptic-curve systems; the latter
have constants that the NSA
influences when they can.”

But that’s not our
As far as we know
NIST-curve DLP i

ago &
hoven

hoven

ned

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0” , 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure” , The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that’s not our main point.
As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure”, The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that’s not our main point. As far as we know today, NIST-curve DLP is secure.

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure”, The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that’s not our main point. As far as we know today, NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here’s our main point:

NIST-curve ECC is much less secure than NIST-curve DLP.

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure”, The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that’s not our main point. As far as we know today, NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here’s our main point:

NIST-curve ECC is much less secure than NIST-curve DLP.

If you use the NIST curves, you’re probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect results for some rare curve points; leaks secret data when the input isn’t a curve point; leaks secret data through cache timing; etc.

rainpool Standard Curves
ve Generation version
05.10.19: “The choice
eds from which the curve
ers have been derived is
ivated leaving an essential
the security analysis open.”

chneier, “NSA
nce: A guide to
secure”, The Guardian,
06: “Prefer conventional
log-based systems over
curve systems; the latter
stants that the NSA
es when they can.”

But that’s not our main point.
As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here’s our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

If you use the NIST curves,
you’re probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect
results for some rare curve points;
leaks secret data when the input
isn’t a curve point; leaks secret
data through cache timing; etc.

These pr
exploitab

These at
real prot

DLP is r
compute

reveals c

Real pro

handle a

have fail

reveal ti

Attacker

standard Curves
tion version
“The choice
which the curve
een derived is
ving an essential
y analysis open.”

NSA

ide to

he Guardian,
er conventional
systems over
ms; the latter
at the NSA
ey can.”

But that’s not our main point.
As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here’s our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

If you use the NIST curves,
you’re probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect
results for some rare curve points;
leaks secret data when the input
isn’t a curve point; leaks secret
data through cache timing; etc.

These problems are
exploitable by atta

These attacks are
real protocols, not

DLP is non-interac
computes nP corr
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-co
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits t

But that's not our main point.

As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here's our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

If you use the NIST curves,
you're probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect
results for some rare curve points;
leaks secret data when the input
isn't a curve point; leaks secret
data through cache timing; etc.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against D

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled in
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps

But that's not our main point.

As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here's our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

If you use the NIST curves,
you're probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect
results for some rare curve points;
leaks secret data when the input
isn't a curve point; leaks secret
data through cache timing; etc.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

It's not our main point.

As we know today,

curve DLP is secure.

Our main point:

Curve ECC is much less secure than NIST-curve DLP.

Like the NIST curves,

probably doing it wrong.

It produces incorrect

output for some rare curve points;

leaks secret data when the input

is a curve point; leaks secret

data through cache timing; etc.

These problems are exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive; computes nP correctly; reveals only nP .

Real protocols handle attacker-controlled input; have failure cases; reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIS

Theoretic

highly fr

of limite

main point.

today,

is secure.

point:

**is much less
T-curve DLP.**

T curves,

being it wrong.

es incorrect

are curve points;

when the input

; leaks secret

e timing; etc.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve E

Theoretically, but

highly fragile; unin

of limited security

nt.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

less
DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

ng.

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

t

oints;

input

cret

etc.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now
(+ white paper coming soon):
[cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31
/slides-dan+tanja
-20130531-4x3.pdf](http://cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf)

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now
(+ white paper coming soon):
[cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31
/slides-dan+tanja
-20130531-4x3.pdf](http://cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf)

⇒ Use Curve25519.

These problems are exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive; computes nP correctly; reveals only nP .

Real protocols handle attacker-controlled input; have failure cases; reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe? Theoretically, but hard to do; highly fragile; unintelligent use of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering: **Design curves for ECC security, not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now (+ white paper coming soon):
cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf

\Rightarrow Use Curve25519. Or $x^2 + y^2 = 1 + 3617x^2y^2 \pmod{2^{414} - 17}$.