

Generic attacks  
and index calculus

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago

The discrete-logarithm problem

Define  $p = 1000003$ .

Easy to prove:  $p$  is prime.

Can we find an integer

$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

Easy to prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

permutes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

So there *exists* an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Could find  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

attacks

ex calculus

ernstein

ty of Illinois at Chicago

## The discrete-logarithm problem

Define  $p = 1000003$ .

Easy to prove:  $p$  is prime.

Can we find an integer

$$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

Easy to prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

permutes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

So there *exists* an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Could find  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

Typical c

Imagine

in the D

User cho

published

Can atta

the discr

Given pu

quickly f

(Warning,

to attach

Maybe t

is at Chicago

## The discrete-logarithm problem

Define  $p = 1000003$ .

Easy to prove:  $p$  is prime.

Can we find an integer

$$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

Easy to prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

permutes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

So there *exists* an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Could find  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

Typical cryptanaly

Imagine standard

in the Diffie-Hellm

User chooses secre

publishes  $5^n \bmod$

Can attacker quick

the discrete-logarit

Given public key 5

quickly find secret

(Warning: This is

to attack the prot

Maybe there are b

## The discrete-logarithm problem

Define  $p = 1000003$ .

Easy to prove:  $p$  is prime.

Can we find an integer

$$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

Easy to prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

permutes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

So there *exists* an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Could find  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

Typical cryptanalytic applica

Imagine standard  $p = 10000$

in the Diffie-Hellman protoc

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,

publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 26268$

Can attacker quickly solve

the discrete-logarithm proble

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,

quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

(Warning: This is *one* way

to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways

## The discrete-logarithm problem

Define  $p = 1000003$ .

Easy to prove:  $p$  is prime.

Can we find an integer

$$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

Easy to prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

permutes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

So there *exists* an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Could find  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

Typical cryptanalytic application:

Imagine standard  $p = 1000003$   
in the Diffie-Hellman protocol.

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,  
publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Can attacker quickly solve  
the discrete-logarithm problem?

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,  
quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

(Warning: This is *one* way  
to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways.)

## Discrete-logarithm problem

$p = 1000003$ .

prove:  $p$  is prime.

find an integer

$\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ ?

prove:  $n \mapsto 5^n \bmod p$

is  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

Does *there* exist an  $n$

such that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

and  $n$  by brute force.

Is there a faster way?

Typical cryptanalytic application:

Imagine standard  $p = 1000003$   
in the Diffie-Hellman protocol.

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,  
publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Can attacker quickly solve  
the discrete-logarithm problem?

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,  
quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

(Warning: This is *one* way  
to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways.)

Relation

1. Some  
to elliptic

Use in e  
security

2. Some  
Use in e

advantage  
compare

3. Trick  
extra ap

See Tan  
on Weil

Discrete logarithm problem

03.

$p$  is prime.

integer

$\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$

$p = 262682$ ?

$\rightarrow 5^n \bmod p$

$\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .

$n$

$p = 262682$ .

brute force.

any?

Typical cryptanalytic application:

Imagine standard  $p = 1000003$   
in the Diffie-Hellman protocol.

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,  
publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Can attacker quickly solve  
the discrete-logarithm problem?

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,  
quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

(Warning: This is *one* way  
to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways.)

Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques  
relate to elliptic-curve DL.

Use in evaluating  
security of an elliptic

2. Some techniques

Use in evaluating  
advantages of elliptic

compared to multi

3. Tricky: Some techniques  
extra applications

See Tanja Lange's  
on Weil descent et

lem

Typical cryptanalytic application:

Imagine standard  $p = 1000003$   
in the Diffie-Hellman protocol.

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,  
publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

32?

Can attacker quickly solve  
the discrete-logarithm problem?

$p$

$\}$ .

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,  
quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

32.

(Warning: This is *one* way  
to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways.)

Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also  
to elliptic-curve DL problem

Use in evaluating  
security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply

Use in evaluating  
advantages of elliptic curves  
compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques  
extra applications to some c

See Tanja Lange's talk  
on Weil descent etc.



Typical cryptanalytic application:

Imagine standard  $p = 1000003$   
in the Diffie-Hellman protocol.

User chooses secret key  $n$ ,  
publishes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Can attacker quickly solve  
the discrete-logarithm problem?

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,  
quickly find secret key  $n$ ?

(Warning: This is *one* way  
to attack the protocol.

Maybe there are better ways.)

Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also apply  
to elliptic-curve DL problems.

Use in evaluating  
security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply.

Use in evaluating  
advantages of elliptic curves  
compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques have  
extra applications to some curves.

See Tanja Lange's talk  
on Weil descent etc.

cryptanalytic application:

standard  $p = 1000003$

Diffie-Hellman protocol.

chooses secret key  $n$ ,

computes  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Can a hacker quickly solve

discrete-logarithm problem?

Given public key  $5^n \bmod p$ ,

find secret key  $n$ ?

Answer: This is *one* way

to break the protocol.

(There are better ways.)

Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also apply to elliptic-curve DL problems.

Use in evaluating security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply.

Use in evaluating advantages of elliptic curves compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques have extra applications to some curves.

See Tanja Lange's talk on Weil descent etc.

Understand

Can compute

$5^1 \bmod p$

$5^2 \bmod p$

$5^3 \bmod p$

$5^8 \bmod p$

$5^9 \bmod p$

$5^{1000002}$

At some point

with  $5^n$

Maximum

$\leq p - 1$

$\leq p - 1$

that does

practical application:

$p = 1000003$

man protocol.

let key  $n$ ,

$p = 262682$ .

quickly solve

DL problem?

$5^n \bmod p$ ,

key  $n$ ?

one way

protocol.

(better ways.)

Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also apply to elliptic-curve DL problems.

Use in evaluating security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply.

Use in evaluating advantages of elliptic curves compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques have extra applications to some curves.

See Tanja Lange's talk on Weil descent etc.

Understanding brute

Can compute successive

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953125,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p =$$

At some point we're

with  $5^n \bmod p = 2$

Maximum cost of

$\leq p - 1$  mults by

$\leq p - 1$  nanoseconds

that does 1 mult/

## Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also apply to elliptic-curve DL problems.

Use in evaluating security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply.

Use in evaluating advantages of elliptic curves compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques have extra applications to some curves.

See Tanja Lange's talk on Weil descent etc.

## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$  with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation

$\leq p - 1$  mults by 5 mod  $p$ ;

$\leq p - 1$  nanoseconds on a C

that does 1 mult/nanosecon

## Relations to ECC:

1. Some DL techniques also apply to elliptic-curve DL problems.

Use in evaluating security of an elliptic curve.

2. Some techniques don't apply.

Use in evaluating advantages of elliptic curves compared to multiplication.

3. Tricky: Some techniques have extra applications to some curves.

See Tanja Lange's talk on Weil descent etc.

## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$  with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation:

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ mults by } 5 \bmod p;$$

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ nanoseconds on a CPU}$$

that does 1 mult/nanosecond.

s to ECC:

e DL techniques also apply  
c-curve DL problems.

valuating  
of an elliptic curve.

e techniques don't apply.

valuating  
ges of elliptic curves

d to multiplication.

y: Some techniques have  
lications to some curves.

ja Lange's talk  
descent etc.

## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$   
with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation:

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ mults by } 5 \bmod p;$$

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ nanoseconds on a CPU}$$

that does 1 mult/nanosecond.

This is  $n$   
for  $p \approx 2$

But user  
standard  
making

Attack c  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  m  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  r

(Not exa  
cost of r  
But this

## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$   
with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation:

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ mults by } 5 \bmod p;$$

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ nanoseconds on a CPU}$$

that does 1 mult/nanosecond.

This is negligible v  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a large  
making the attack

Attack cost scales  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p$   
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p$

(Not exactly linear  
cost of mults grow  
But this is a mino

## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$   
with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation:

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ mults by } 5 \bmod p;$$

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ nanoseconds on a CPU}$$

that does 1 mult/nanosecond.

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)



## Understanding brute force

Can compute successively

$$5^1 \bmod p = 5,$$

$$5^2 \bmod p = 25,$$

$$5^3 \bmod p = 125, \dots,$$

$$5^8 \bmod p = 390625,$$

$$5^9 \bmod p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000002} \bmod p = 1.$$

At some point we'll find  $n$   
with  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Maximum cost of computation:

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ mults by } 5 \bmod p;$$

$$\leq p - 1 \text{ nanoseconds on a CPU}$$

that does 1 mult/nanosecond.

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)

standing brute force

compute successively

$$p = 5,$$

$$p = 25,$$

$$p = 125, \dots,$$

$$p = 390625,$$

$$p = 953122, \dots,$$

$$\text{mod } p = 1.$$

point we'll find  $n$

$$\text{mod } p = 262682.$$

m cost of computation:

mults by 5 mod  $p$ ;

nanoseconds on a CPU

es 1 mult/nanosecond.

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)

Computa  
of finishi  
Chance  
1/2 char  
1/10 cha  
"So user  
That's p  
"random  
choose r  
compute  
compute  
(515040  
compute  
subtract

ate force

essively

...

25,

2, ...,

1.

ll find  $n$

262682.

computation:

$5 \bmod p$ ;

nds on a CPU

nanosecond.

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)

Computation has a  
of finishing earlier.

Chance scales line

1/2 chance of 1/2

1/10 chance of 1/

“So users should c

That’s pointless. V

“random self-reduc

choose random  $r$ ,

compute  $5^r \bmod p$

compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$

$(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p))$

compute discrete l

subtract  $r \bmod p$

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)

Computation has a good chance  
of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:  
1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;  
1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large

That’s pointless. We can apply  
“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 72637  
compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$   
compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as  
 $(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$   
compute discrete log;  
subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain

ion:

CPU  
d.

This is negligible work  
for  $p \approx 2^{20}$ .

But users can  
standardize a larger  $p$ ,  
making the attack slower.

Attack cost scales linearly:  
 $\approx 2^{50}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
 $\approx 2^{100}$  mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

(Not exactly linearly:  
cost of mults grows with  $p$ .  
But this is a minor effect.)

Computation has a good chance  
of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:  
1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;  
1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large  $n$ .”

That’s pointless. We can apply  
“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 726379;  
compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;  
compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as  
 $(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$ ;  
compute discrete log;  
subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

negligible work  
 $2^{20}$ .

ers can  
size a larger  $p$ ,  
the attack slower.

cost scales linearly:  
mults for  $p \approx 2^{50}$ ,  
mults for  $p \approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

actly linearly:  
mults grows with  $p$ .  
(is a minor effect.)

Computation has a good chance  
of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:

1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;  
1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large  $n$ .”

That’s pointless. We can apply  
“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 726379;

compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;

compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as

$(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$ ;

compute discrete log;

subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computa

One low

many pa

Example

$2^{10}$  core

each  $2^{30}$

Maybe;

for detai

Attacker

many pa

Example

so  $2^{34}$  c

so  $2^{64}$  m

so  $2^{89}$  m

work

er  $p$ ,

slower.

linearly:

$\approx 2^{50}$ ,

$\approx 2^{100}$ , etc.

ly:

vs with  $p$ .

r effect.)

Computation has a good chance of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:

1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;

1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large  $n$ .”

That’s pointless. We can apply

“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 726379;

compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;

compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as

$(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$ ;

compute discrete log;

subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computation can

One low-cost chip

many parallel search

Example,  $2^6$  €: on

$2^{10}$  cores on the c

each  $2^{30}$  mults/se

Maybe; see SHAR

for detailed cost a

Attacker can run

many parallel chip

Example,  $2^{30}$  €: 2

so  $2^{34}$  cores,

so  $2^{64}$  mults/second

so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

Computation has a good chance of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:

1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;

1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large  $n$ .”

That’s pointless. We can apply

“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 726379;

compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;

compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as

$(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$ ;

compute discrete log;

subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computation can be parallel

One low-cost chip can run many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  $2^{10}$  cores on the chip,

each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS worksh for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run

many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips,

so  $2^{34}$  cores,

so  $2^{64}$  mults/second,

so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.



Computation has a good chance of finishing earlier.

Chance scales linearly:

1/2 chance of 1/2 cost;

1/10 chance of 1/10 cost; etc.

“So users should choose large  $n$ .”

That’s pointless. We can apply

“random self-reduction”:

choose random  $r$ , say 726379;

compute  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;

compute  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as

$(515040 \cdot (5^n \bmod p)) \bmod p$ ;

compute discrete log;

subtract  $r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computation can be parallelized.

One low-cost chip can run many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  $2^{10}$  cores on the chip, each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS workshops for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips, so  $2^{34}$  cores, so  $2^{64}$  mults/second, so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

ation has a good chance  
ing earlier.

scales linearly:

nce of 1/2 cost;

ance of 1/10 cost; etc.

rs should choose large  $n$ ."

pointless. We can apply

n self-reduction":

random  $r$ , say 726379;

e  $5^r \bmod p = 515040$ ;

e  $5^r 5^n \bmod p$  as

$\cdot (5^n \bmod p) \bmod p$ ;

e discrete log;

$r \bmod p - 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computation can be parallelized.

One low-cost chip can run  
many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  
 $2^{10}$  cores on the chip,  
each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS workshops  
for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run  
many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips,  
so  $2^{34}$  cores,  
so  $2^{64}$  mults/second,  
so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

Multiple

Computa  
to many

Given 10  
 $5^{n_2} \bmod$

Can find  
with  $\leq p$

Simplest  
a sorted

$5^{n_1} \bmod$   
Then ch

$5^1 \bmod$

a good chance

early:

cost;

10 cost; etc.

choose large  $n$ ."

We can apply

ction":

say 726379;

$p = 515040$ ;

and  $p$  as

$(p)) \bmod p$ ;

og;

$- 1$ ; obtain  $n$ .

Computation can be parallelized.

One low-cost chip can run many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  $2^{10}$  cores on the chip, each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS workshops for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips, so  $2^{34}$  cores, so  $2^{64}$  mults/second, so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

Multiple targets and

Computation can to many targets at

Given 100 DL targ  
 $5^{n_2} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_1}$

Can find *all* of  $n_1$  with  $\leq p - 1$  mult

Simplest approach a sorted table con  
 $5^{n_1} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_2}$

Then check table  
 $5^1 \bmod p, 5^2 \bmod$

Computation can be parallelized.

One low-cost chip can run many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  $2^{10}$  cores on the chip, each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS workshops for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips, so  $2^{34}$  cores, so  $2^{64}$  mults/second, so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

Multiple targets and giant st

Computation can be applied to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p, 5^{n_2} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$   
Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$  with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build a sorted table containing  $5^{n_1} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$   
Then check table for  $5^1 \bmod p, 5^2 \bmod p, \dots$

Computation can be parallelized.

One low-cost chip can run many parallel searches.

Example,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  $2^{10}$  cores on the chip, each  $2^{30}$  mults/second?

Maybe; see SHARCS workshops for detailed cost analyses.

Attacker can run many parallel chips.

Example,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips, so  $2^{34}$  cores, so  $2^{64}$  mults/second, so  $2^{89}$  mults/year.

## Multiple targets and giant steps

Computation can be applied to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  $5^{n_2} \bmod p$ , ...,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ :

Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$  with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build a sorted table containing  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ , ...,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .

Then check table for  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ , etc.

ation can be parallelized.

-cost chip can run  
parallel searches.

e,  $2^6$  €: one chip,  
s on the chip,  
mults/second?

see SHARCS workshops  
led cost analyses.

r can run

parallel chips.

e,  $2^{30}$  €:  $2^{24}$  chips,

cores,

mults/second,

mults/year.

## Multiple targets and giant steps

Computation can be applied  
to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{n_2} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ :

Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$   
with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build  
a sorted table containing

$5^{n_1} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .

Then check table for

$5^1 \bmod p, 5^2 \bmod p$ , etc.

Interesting

Solving

isn't mu

solving c

Interesting

Solving

out of 10

is much

solving c

When di

find its  $n$

Typically

be parallelized.

can run  
ches.

ne chip,

hip,

cond?

CS workshops

analyses.

s.

$2^{24}$  chips,

nd,

## Multiple targets and giant steps

Computation can be applied  
to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{n_2} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ :

Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$   
with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build  
a sorted table containing

$5^{n_1} \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .

Then check table for

$5^1 \bmod p, 5^2 \bmod p$ , etc.

Interesting consequ

Solving all 100 DL

isn't much harder

solving one DL pro

Interesting consequ

Solving *at least one*

out of 100 DL pro

is much easier tha

solving one DL pro

When did this com

find its *first*  $n_i$ ?

Typically  $\approx (p - 1)$

ized.

## Multiple targets and giant steps

Computation can be applied to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  $5^{n_2} \bmod p$ , ...,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ :

Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$  with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build a sorted table containing  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ , ...,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .

Then check table for  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ , etc.

nops

Interesting consequence #1:  
Solving all 100 DL problems isn't much harder than solving one DL problem.

Interesting consequence #2:  
Solving *at least one* out of 100 DL problems is much easier than solving one DL problem.

When did this computation find its *first*  $n_i$ ?

Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mu



## Multiple targets and giant steps

Computation can be applied to many targets at once.

Given 100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  $5^{n_2} \bmod p$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ :

Can find *all* of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$  with  $\leq p - 1$  mults mod  $p$ .

Simplest approach: First build a sorted table containing  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .

Then check table for  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ , etc.

Interesting consequence #1: Solving all 100 DL problems isn't much harder than solving one DL problem.

Interesting consequence #2: Solving *at least one* out of 100 DL problems is much easier than solving one DL problem.

When did this computation find its *first*  $n_i$ ?

Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

targets and giant steps

ation can be applied  
targets at once.

100 DL targets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p,$   
 $\dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p:$   
all of  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$   
 $p - 1$  mults mod  $p.$

approach: First build  
table containing  
 $1 \bmod p, \dots, 5^{n_{100}} \bmod p.$   
eck table for  
 $5^2 \bmod p,$  etc.

Interesting consequence #1:  
Solving all 100 DL problems  
isn't much harder than  
solving one DL problem.

Interesting consequence #2:  
Solving *at least one*  
out of 100 DL problems  
is much easier than  
solving one DL problem.

When did this computation  
find its *first*  $n_i$ ?

Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

Can use  
to turn a  
into mul

Given  $5^n$   
Choose  
Compute  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n$  m

Solve th  
Typically  
to find a  
 $r_i + n$  m  
immedia

and giant steps

be applied  
t once.

gets  $5^{n_1} \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{n_2} \bmod p$ :  
 $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{100}$   
s  $\bmod p$ .

: First build  
aining  
 $5^{n_{100}} \bmod p$ .  
for  
 $p$ , etc.

Interesting consequence #1:  
Solving all 100 DL problems  
isn't much harder than  
solving one DL problem.

Interesting consequence #2:  
Solving *at least one*  
out of 100 DL problems  
is much easier than  
solving one DL problem.

When did this computation  
find its *first*  $n_i$ ?  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

Can use random s  
to turn a single ta  
into multiple targe

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :  
Choose random  $r_1$   
Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 D  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)$   
to find *at least one*  
 $r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,  
immediately revea

steps

Interesting consequence #1:  
Solving all 100 DL problems  
isn't much harder than  
solving one DL problem.

mod  $p$ ,

$p$ :

$\approx 100$

ild

$p$ .

Interesting consequence #2:  
Solving *at least one*  
out of 100 DL problems  
is much easier than  
solving one DL problem.

When did this computation  
find its *first*  $n_i$ ?  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :

Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$

Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults  
to find *at least one*  
 $r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,  
immediately revealing  $n$ .

Interesting consequence #1:  
Solving all 100 DL problems  
isn't much harder than  
solving one DL problem.

Interesting consequence #2:  
Solving *at least one*  
out of 100 DL problems  
is much easier than  
solving one DL problem.

When did this computation  
find its *first*  $n_i$ ?  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :

Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems.  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults  
to find *at least one*  
 $r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,  
immediately revealing  $n$ .

ing consequence #1:  
all 100 DL problems  
ch harder than  
one DL problem.

ing consequence #2:  
*at least one*  
100 DL problems  
easier than  
one DL problem.

and this computation  
*first  $n_i$ ?*  
 $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults.

Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :  
Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .  
Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems.  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1)/100$  mults  
to find *at least one*  
 $r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,  
immediately revealing  $n$ .

Also spe  
to comp  
 $\approx \lg p$  m  
Faster:  
with  $r_1$   
Compute  
 $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$   
 $5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$   
 $5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$   
Just 1 m  
 $\approx 100 +$   
to find  $n$

Sequence #1:  
problems  
than  
problem.  
Sequence #2:  
ne  
blems  
n  
blem.  
putation  
) / 100 mults.

Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :  
Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .  
Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems.  
Typically  $\approx (p - 1) / 100$  mults  
to find *at least one*  
 $r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,  
immediately revealing  $n$ .

Also spent some n  
to compute each 5  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for ea  
Faster: Choose  $r_i$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p - 1)$ ,  
Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$   
 $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;  
 $5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;  
 $5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc  
Just 1 mult for ea  
 $\approx 100 + \lg p + (p$   
to find  $n$  given  $5^n$

Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :

Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems.

Typically  $\approx (p-1)/100$  mults  
to find *at least one*

$r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,

immediately revealing  $n$ .

Also spent some mults  
to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p-1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;  
 $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p-1)/100$   
to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Its.



Can use random self-reduction  
to turn a single target  
into multiple targets.

Given  $5^n \bmod p$ :

Choose random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

Solve these 100 DL problems.

Typically  $\approx (p-1)/100$  mults  
to find *at least one*

$r_i + n \bmod p - 1$ ,

immediately revealing  $n$ .

Also spent some mults  
to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p-1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

$5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p-1)/100$  mults  
to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

random self-reduction  
a single target  
multiple targets.

$5^n \bmod p$ :

random  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .

compute  $5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ,

$5^{r_2} 5^n \bmod p$ , etc.

These 100 DL problems.

$r_i \approx (p-1)/100$  mults

at least one

$\bmod p-1$ ,

efficiently revealing  $n$ .

Also spent some mults  
to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p-1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

$5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p-1)/100$  mults  
to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Faster:  
Only  $\approx$   
to solve

“Shanks  
discrete-

Example  
 $5^n \bmod$

Compute

Then co

$5^{1024} 5^n$

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

self-reduction

target

ets.

$r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{100}$ .

mod  $p$ ,

L problems.

$(p-1)/100$  mults

e

ling  $n$ .

Also spent some mults

to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :

$\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$

with  $r_1 \approx (p-1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{4r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p-1)/100$  mults

to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Faster: Increase 100

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL pr

“Shanks baby-step

discrete-logarithm

Example:  $p = 1009$

$5^n \bmod p = 26268$

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p$

Then compute 100

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 9$

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 9$

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 9$

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 9$

Also spent some mults  
to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p-1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

$5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p-1)/100$  mults  
to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$   
Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults  
to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step  
discrete-logarithm algorithm”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,  
 $5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 585$

Then compute 1000 targets:

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849$ ,

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062$ ,

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 32170$

Also spent some mults  
to compute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :  
 $\approx \lg p$  mults for each  $i$ .

Faster: Choose  $r_i = ir_1$   
with  $r_1 \approx (p - 1)/100$ .

Compute  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

$5^{r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{2r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ;

$5^{3r_1} 5^n \bmod p$ ; etc.

Just 1 mult for each new  $i$ .

$\approx 100 + \lg p + (p - 1)/100$  mults  
to find  $n$  given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step  
discrete-logarithm algorithm.”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

Then compute 1000 targets:

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849$ ,

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots$ ,

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 321705$ .

ent some mults

ute each  $5^{r_i} \bmod p$ :

mults for each  $i$ .

Choose  $r_i = ir_1$

$\approx (p - 1)/100$ .

e  $5^{r_1} \bmod p$ ;

od  $p$ ;

mod  $p$ ;

mod  $p$ ; etc.

mult for each new  $i$ .

$\lg p + (p - 1)/100$  mults

z given  $5^n \bmod p$ .

Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step  
discrete-logarithm algorithm.”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

Then compute 1000 targets:

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849$ ,

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots$ ,

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 321705$ .

Build a s

2573 = 5

3371 = 5

3593 = 5

4960 = 5

5218 = 5

999675 =

Look up

$5^3 \bmod p$

$5^{755} \bmod p$

966603 =

in the ta

so 755 =

deduce  $n$

mults

$5^i \bmod p$ :

each  $i$ .

$= ir_1$

$/100$ .

$p$ ;

each new  $i$ .

$(i-1)/100$  mults  
 $\bmod p$ .

Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step  
discrete-logarithm algorithm.”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

Then compute 1000 targets:

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849$ ,

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots$ ,

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 321705$ .

Build a sorted table

$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

Look up  $5^1 \bmod p$

$5^3 \bmod p$ , etc. in table

$5^{755} \bmod p = 966603$

$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n$

in the table of targets

so  $755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n$

deduce  $n = 66078$

Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step discrete-logarithm algorithm.”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$$5^n \bmod p = 262682.$$

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

Then compute 1000 targets:

$$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849,$$

$$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277,$$

$$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots,$$

$$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 321705.$$

mults

Build a sorted table of targets

$$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p, \dots$$

$$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$$

Look up  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ ,

$5^3 \bmod p$ , etc. in this table.

$$5^{755} \bmod p = 966603; \text{ find}$$

$$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$$

in the table of targets;

$$\text{so } 755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \bmod p$$

deduce  $n = 660789$ .



Faster: Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

Only  $\approx 2\sqrt{p}$  mults

to solve one DL problem!

“Shanks baby-step-giant-step discrete-logarithm algorithm.”

Example:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$5^n \bmod p = 262682$ .

Compute  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

Then compute 1000 targets:

$5^{1024} 5^n \bmod p = 966849$ ,

$5^{2 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$5^{3 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots$ ,

$5^{1000 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p = 321705$ .

Build a sorted table of targets:

$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$ ,

$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$ ,

$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$ ,

$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$ ,

$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p, \dots$ ,

$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$ .

Look up  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^3 \bmod p$ , etc. in this table.

$5^{755} \bmod p = 966603$ ; find

$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$

in the table of targets;

so  $755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \bmod p - 1$ ;

deduce  $n = 660789$ .

Increase 100 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

$2\sqrt{p}$  mults

one DL problem!

“baby-step-giant-step  
logarithm algorithm.”

e:  $p = 1000003$ ,

$p = 262682$ .

e  $5^{1024} \bmod p = 58588$ .

compute 1000 targets:

$\bmod p = 966849$ ,

$^n \bmod p = 579277$ ,

$^n \bmod p = 579062, \dots$ ,

$^{24}5^n \bmod p = 321705$ .

Build a sorted table of targets:

$$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p,$$

$$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p, \dots,$$

$$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p.$$

Look up  $5^1 \bmod p$ ,  $5^2 \bmod p$ ,  
 $5^3 \bmod p$ , etc. in this table.

$$5^{755} \bmod p = 966603; \text{ find}$$

$$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \bmod p$$

in the table of targets;

$$\text{so } 755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \bmod p - 1;$$

$$\text{deduce } n = 660789.$$

Eliminat

Improved

$$x_{i+1} = 5$$

$$x_{i+1} = 3$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5$$

Then  $x_i$

where  $(a$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_i$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_i$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_i$$

Search f

$$x_1 = x_2$$

$$x_4 = x_8$$

Deduce

00 to  $\approx \sqrt{p}$ .

problem!

o-giant-step  
algorithm."

00003,

32.

nd  $p = 58588$ .

00 targets:

966849,

= 579277,

= 579062, ...,

$p = 321705$ .

Build a sorted table of targets:

$$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \dots,$$

$$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}.$$

Look up  $5^1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $5^2 \pmod{p}$ ,  
 $5^3 \pmod{p}$ , etc. in this table.

$$5^{755} \pmod{p} = 966603; \text{ find}$$

$$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}$$

in the table of targets;

$$\text{so } 755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \pmod{p-1};$$

$$\text{deduce } n = 660789.$$

Eliminating storage

Improved method:

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \pmod{p}$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \pmod{p}$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \pmod{p}$$

Then  $x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i}$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = ($

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i,$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i,$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i,$$

Search for a collision

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_1$$

Deduce linear equa

Build a sorted table of targets:

$$2573 = 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$3371 = 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$3593 = 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$4960 = 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p},$$

$$5218 = 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \dots,$$

$$999675 = 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}.$$

Look up  $5^1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $5^2 \pmod{p}$ ,  
 $5^3 \pmod{p}$ , etc. in this table.

$$5^{755} \pmod{p} = 966603; \text{ find}$$

$$966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}$$

in the table of targets;

$$\text{so } 755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \pmod{p-1};$$

$$\text{deduce } n = 660789.$$

## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_i$

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \pmod{p} \text{ if } x_i \in 3Z$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \pmod{p} \text{ if } x_i \in 2 + 3Z$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \pmod{p} \text{ otherwise}$$

$$\text{Then } x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \pmod{p}$$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i).$$

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6?$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \text{ etc.}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$

Build a sorted table of targets:

$$\begin{aligned}2573 &= 5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \\3371 &= 5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \\3593 &= 5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \\4960 &= 5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \\5218 &= 5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}, \dots, \\999675 &= 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}.\end{aligned}$$

Look up  $5^1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $5^2 \pmod{p}$ ,  
 $5^3 \pmod{p}$ , etc. in this table.

$5^{755} \pmod{p} = 966603$ ; find  
 $966603 = 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod{p}$   
in the table of targets;  
so  $755 = 332 \cdot 1024 + n \pmod{p-1}$ ;  
deduce  $n = 660789$ .

## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;  
 $x_{i+1} = 5x_i \pmod{p}$  if  $x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;  
 $x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \pmod{p}$  if  $x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;  
 $x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \pmod{p}$  otherwise.

Then  $x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \pmod{p}$   
where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and  
 $(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1)$ , or  
 $(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i)$ , or  
 $(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i)$ .

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6? \\x_4 &= x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \quad \text{etc.}\end{aligned}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$ .

sorted table of targets:

$$\begin{aligned} &5^{430 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p, \\ &5^{192 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p, \\ &5^{626 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p, \\ &5^{663 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p, \\ &5^{376 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p, \dots, \\ &= 5^{344 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

$5^1 \pmod p, 5^2 \pmod p,$   
 $p$ , etc. in this table.

and  $p = 966603$ ; find

$$= 5^{332 \cdot 1024} 5^n \pmod p$$

table of targets;

$$= 332 \cdot 1024 + n \pmod{p-1};$$

$$n = 660789.$$

## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \pmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \pmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \pmod p \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\text{Then } x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \pmod p$$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i).$$

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6?$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \quad \text{etc.}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's

typically

Example

Modulo

$$x_1 = 5^n$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n}$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n}$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n}$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n}$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n}$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n}$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n}$$

etc.

le of targets:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 5^n \pmod{p}, \\
& 5^{2n} \pmod{p}, \\
& 5^{3n} \pmod{p}, \\
& 5^{4n} \pmod{p}, \\
& 5^{5n} \pmod{p}, \dots, \\
& 5^{4n} \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

,  $5^2 \pmod{p}$ ,  
this table.

603; find  
 $5^n \pmod{p}$

gets;

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4 + n \pmod{p} - 1; \\
& 39.
\end{aligned}$$

## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \pmod{p} \text{ if } x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \pmod{p} \text{ if } x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \pmod{p} \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\text{Then } x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \pmod{p}$$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i).$$

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6?$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \text{ etc.}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle  
typically within  $\approx$

Example: 1000003

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 26268$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 62$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 13$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 65$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 20$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 78$$

etc.

## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \bmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \bmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \bmod p \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\text{Then } x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \bmod p$$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i).$$

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6?$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \text{ etc.}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682.$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 626121$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 262682$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 9$$

etc.



## Eliminating storage

Improved method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;

$$x_{i+1} = 5x_i \bmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i^2 \bmod p \text{ if } x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z};$$

$$x_{i+1} = 5^n x_i \bmod p \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\text{Then } x_i = 5^{a_i n + b_i} \bmod p$$

where  $(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i), \text{ or}$$

$$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i).$$

Search for a collision in  $x_i$ :

$$x_1 = x_2? \quad x_2 = x_4? \quad x_3 = x_6?$$

$$x_4 = x_8? \quad x_5 = x_{10}? \quad \text{etc.}$$

Deduce linear equation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682.$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121.$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596.$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980.$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891.$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452.$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500.$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491.$$

etc.

ing storage

d method: Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;

$5x_i \bmod p$  if  $x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;

$x_i^2 \bmod p$  if  $x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;

$5^n x_i \bmod p$  otherwise.

$$= 5^{a_i n + b_i} \bmod p$$

$(a_0, b_0) = (0, 0)$  and

$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i, b_i + 1)$ , or

$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (2a_i, 2b_i)$ , or

$(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_i + 1, b_i)$ .

or a collision in  $x_i$ :

?  $x_2 = x_4$ ?  $x_3 = x_6$ ?

?  $x_5 = x_{10}$ ? etc.

linear equation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682.$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121.$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596.$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980.$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891.$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452.$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500.$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491.$$

etc.

$$x_{1785} =$$

$$x_{3570} =$$

(Cycle le

Conclud

$$249847n$$

$$388795n$$

so  $n \equiv 1$

Only 6 p

Try each

Find tha

for  $n =$

for  $n =$

$\underline{e}$

Define  $x_0 = 1$ ;  
 if  $x_i \in 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;  
 if  $x_i \in 2 + 3\mathbf{Z}$ ;  
 $p$  otherwise.

$i \pmod p$   
 $(0, 0)$  and  
 $(b_i + 1)$ , or  
 $(b_i, 2b_i)$ , or  
 $(b_i + 1, b_i)$ .

on in  $x_i$ :  
 ?  $x_3 = x_6$ ?  
 $x_0$ ? etc.  
 ation for  $n$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
 typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 5^n = 262682. \\ x_2 &= 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121. \\ x_3 &= 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596. \\ x_4 &= 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980. \\ x_5 &= 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891. \\ x_6 &= 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452. \\ x_7 &= 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500. \\ x_8 &= 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491. \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1785} &= 5^{249847n+} \\ x_{3570} &= 5^{388795n+} \end{aligned}$$

(Cycle length is 35)

Conclude that  
 $249847n + 759123$   
 $388795n + 632781$   
 so  $n \equiv 160788 \pmod{}$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's  
 Try each of them.  
 Find that  $5^n \pmod{}$   
 for  $n = 160788 +$   
 for  $n = 660789$ .

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682.$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121.$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596.$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980.$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891.$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452.$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500.$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491.$$

etc.

$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 5$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 5$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{p-1}$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

$$\text{Find that } 5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$$

$$\text{for } n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/$$

$$\text{for } n = 660789.$$

The  $x_i$ 's enter a cycle,  
typically within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

Example: 1000003, 262682.

Modulo 1000003:

$$x_1 = 5^n = 262682.$$

$$x_2 = 5^{2n} = 262682^2 = 626121.$$

$$x_3 = 5^{2n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596.$$

$$x_4 = 5^{2n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980.$$

$$x_5 = 5^{2n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891.$$

$$x_6 = 5^{2n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452.$$

$$x_7 = 5^{4n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500.$$

$$x_8 = 5^{4n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491.$$

etc.

$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

Find that  $5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$

for  $n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/6$ , i.e.,

for  $n = 660789$ .

enter a cycle,  
within  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  steps.

e: 1000003, 262682.

1000003:

= 262682.

$$x_n = 262682^2 = 626121.$$

$$x_{n+1} = 5 \cdot 626121 = 130596.$$

$$x_{n+2} = 5 \cdot 130596 = 652980.$$

$$x_{n+3} = 5 \cdot 652980 = 264891.$$

$$x_{n+4} = 5 \cdot 264891 = 324452.$$

$$x_{n+8} = 324452^2 = 784500.$$

$$x_{n+9} = 5 \cdot 784500 = 922491.$$

$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

Find that  $5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$

for  $n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/6$ , i.e.,

for  $n = 660789$ .

This is ‘

Optimize

Another

“Pollard

Can para

“van Oo

DL using

Bottom

distribut

have cha

of findin

With  $2^{90}$

have cha

Negligib

ycle,  
 $\sqrt{p}$  steps.

3, 262682.

2.  
 $2^2 = 626121$ .

$626121 = 130596$ .

$130596 = 652980$ .

$652980 = 264891$ .

$264891 = 324452$ .

$324452^2 = 784500$ .

$784500 = 922491$ .

$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

Find that  $5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$

for  $n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/6$ , i.e.,

for  $n = 660789$ .

This is "Pollard's

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$

Another method, s

"Pollard's kangaro

Can parallelize bot

"van Oorschot/W

DL using distingui

Bottom line: With

distributed across

have chance  $\approx c^2$ ,

of finding  $n$  from

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a

have chance  $\approx 2^{18}$

Negligible if, e.g.,

$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

Find that  $5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$

for  $n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/6$ , i.e.,

for  $n = 660789$ .

This is "Pollard's rho method"

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed

"Pollard's kangaroo method"

Can parallelize both methods

"van Oorschot/Wiener parallel"

DL using distinguished points

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults,

distributed across many cores

have chance  $\approx c^2/p$

of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \pmod{p}$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years)

have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .



$$x_{1785} = 5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$x_{3570} = 5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

(Cycle length is 357.)

Conclude that

$$249847n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$388795n + 632781 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\text{so } n \equiv 160788 \pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

Only 6 possible  $n$ 's.

Try each of them.

Find that  $5^n \bmod p = 262682$

for  $n = 160788 + 3(p-1)/6$ , i.e.,

for  $n = 660789$ .

This is "Pollard's rho method."

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed:

"Pollard's kangaroo method."

Can parallelize both methods.

"van Oorschot/Wiener parallel DL using distinguished points."

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults, distributed across many cores, have chance  $\approx c^2/p$  of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \bmod p$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years?), have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

$$5^{249847n+759123} = 555013.$$

$$5^{388795n+632781} = 555013.$$

length is 357.)

e that

$$n + 759123 \equiv$$

$$n + 632781 \pmod{p - 1},$$

$$160788 \pmod{(p - 1)/6}.$$

possible  $n$ 's.

n of them.

$$\text{at } 5^n \pmod{p} = 262682$$

$$160788 + 3(p - 1)/6, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$660789.$$

This is "Pollard's rho method."

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed:

"Pollard's kangaroo method."

Can parallelize both methods.

"van Oorschot/Wiener parallel

DL using distinguished points."

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults,

distributed across many cores,

have chance  $\approx c^2/p$

of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \pmod{p}$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years?),

have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

Factors of

Assume

Given  $x$ ,

$5^a$  has o

$x^a$  is a p

Comput

$5^b$  has o

$x/5^l$  is a

Comput

Then  $x$ :

$$759123 = 555013.$$

$$632781 = 555013.$$

57.)

$3 \equiv$

$$1 \pmod{p-1},$$

$$\pmod{(p-1)/6}.$$

s.

$$p = 262682$$

$$3(p-1)/6, \text{ i.e.,}$$

This is "Pollard's rho method."

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed:

"Pollard's kangaroo method."

Can parallelize both methods.

"van Oorschot/Wiener parallel

DL using distinguished points."

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults,

distributed across many cores,

have chance  $\approx c^2/p$

of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \pmod{p}$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years?),

have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

Factors of the group

Assume 5 has order

Given  $x$ , a power of

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of 5

Compute  $\ell = \log_5$

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of

Compute  $m = \log$

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This is “Pollard’s rho method.”

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed:

“Pollard’s kangaroo method.”

Can parallelize both methods.

“van Oorschot/Wiener parallel DL using distinguished points.”

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults, distributed across many cores, have chance  $\approx c^2/p$  of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \bmod p$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years?), have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b}(x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This is “Pollard’s rho method.”

Optimized:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

Another method, similar speed:

“Pollard’s kangaroo method.”

Can parallelize both methods.

“van Oorschot/Wiener parallel DL using distinguished points.”

Bottom line: With  $c$  mults, distributed across many cores, have chance  $\approx c^2/p$  of finding  $n$  from  $5^n \bmod p$ .

With  $2^{90}$  mults (a few years?), have chance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

Negligible if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

## Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b}(x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

“Pollard’s rho method.”

ed:  $\approx \sqrt{p}$  mults.

method, similar speed:

’s kangaroo method.”

parallelize both methods.

orschot/Wiener parallel

g distinguished points.”

line: With  $c$  mults,

ed across many cores,

ance  $\approx c^2/p$

g  $n$  from  $5^n \bmod p$ .

$0$  mults (a few years?),

ance  $\approx 2^{180}/p$ .

le if, e.g.,  $p \approx 2^{256}$ .

Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b} (x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This “Po

converts

an order

and a fe

e.g.  $p =$

$p - 1 =$

Compute

Compute

Compute

Then  $x =$

Use rho:

Better if

apply Po

rho method.”

mults.

similar speed:

o method.”

th methods.

ener parallel

shed points.”

n  $c$  mults,

many cores,

$/p$

$5^n \bmod p$ .

(few years?),

$30/p$ .

$p \approx 2^{256}$ .

## Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b} (x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This “Pohlig-Hellm

converts an order-

an order- $a$  DL, an

and a few exponer

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,

$p - 1 = 6b$  where

Compute  $\log_{5^6} (x^6)$

Compute  $x/5^{16078}$

Compute  $\log_{5^6} 100$

Then  $x = 5^{160788-}$

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} +$

Better if  $ab$  factor

apply Pohlig-Hellm

## Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b} (x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This "Pohlig-Hellman method" converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 2626$

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166666$

Compute  $\log_{5^6} (x^6) = 160788$

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$

Compute  $\log_{5^6} 1000002 = 3$

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660}$

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recurs



## Factors of the group order

Assume 5 has order  $ab$ .

Given  $x$ , a power of 5:

$5^a$  has order  $b$ , and

$x^a$  is a power of  $5^a$ .

Compute  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

$5^b$  has order  $a$ , and

$x/5^\ell$  is a power of  $5^b$ .

Compute  $m = \log_{5^b} (x/5^\ell)$ .

Then  $x = 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This “Pohlig-Hellman method” converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^6} (x^6) = 160788$ .

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^b} 1000002 = 3$ .

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

of the group order

5 has order  $ab$ .

a power of 5:

order  $b$ , and

power of  $5^a$ .

Let  $\ell = \log_{5^a} x^a$ .

order  $a$ , and

a power of  $5^b$ .

Let  $m = \log_{5^b} (x/5^\ell)$ .

$= 5^{\ell+mb}$ .

This “Pohlig-Hellman method” converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^6} (x^6) = 160788$ .

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^b} 1000002 = 3$ .

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

All of the apply to

An elliptic has  $\approx q$

so can c

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  el

Need qu

If largest

of numb

is much

then Pol

compute

Need lar

or chang

up order

er  $ab$ .

of 5:

d

$a$ .

$x^a$ .

d

$5^b$ .

$5^b(x/5^\ell)$ .

This “Pohlig-Hellman method” converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^6}(x^6) = 160788$ .

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^b} 1000002 = 3$ .

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

All of the techniques apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$

has  $\approx q + 1$  points.

so can compute ECDL

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve

Need quite large  $q$

If largest prime divisor

of number of points

is much smaller than

then Pohlig-Hellman

computes ECDL much

Need larger  $q$ ;

or change choice of

This “Pohlig-Hellman method” converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^6}(x^6) = 160788$ .

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

Compute  $\log_{5^b} 1000002 = 3$ .

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

All of the techniques so far apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  has  $\approx q + 1$  points

so can compute ECDL using

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds.

Need quite large  $q$ .

If largest prime divisor of number of points

is much smaller than  $q$

then Pohlig-Hellman method

computes ECDL more quickly

Need larger  $q$ ;

or change choice of curve.

This “Pohlig-Hellman method” converts an order- $ab$  DL into an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL, and a few exponentiations.

e.g.  $p = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$p - 1 = 6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

Compute  $\log_{56}(x^6) = 160788$ .

Compute  $x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

Compute  $\log_{5b} 1000002 = 3$ .

Then  $x = 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

Use rho:  $\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

Better if  $ab$  factors further:

apply Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

All of the techniques so far apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$

has  $\approx q + 1$  points

so can compute ECDL using

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds.

Need quite large  $q$ .

If largest prime divisor

of number of points

is much smaller than  $q$

then Pohlig-Hellman method

computes ECDL more quickly.

Need larger  $q$ ;

or change choice of curve.

Pohlig-Hellman method"

an order- $ab$  DL into

an order- $a$  DL, an order- $b$  DL,

few exponentiations.

$x = 1000003$ ,  $x = 262682$ :

$6b$  where  $b = 166667$ .

$\log_{56}(x^6) = 160788$ .

$x/5^{160788} = 1000002$ .

$\log_{5b} 1000002 = 3$ .

$= 5^{160788+3b} = 5^{660789}$ .

$\approx \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$  mults.

$ab$  factors further:

Pohlig-Hellman recursively.

All of the techniques so far  
apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$

has  $\approx q + 1$  points

so can compute ECDL using

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds.

Need quite large  $q$ .

If largest prime divisor

of number of points

is much smaller than  $q$

then Pohlig-Hellman method

computes ECDL more quickly.

Need larger  $q$ ;

or change choice of curve.

Index ca

Have ge

group el

Deduced

from ran

Index ca

discrete-

in a diffe

Example

Can com

$-3/(p -$

so  $-3^1 \equiv$

so  $\log_5(\cdot)$

$6 \log_5 2 -$

man method”  
 $ab$  DL into  
order- $b$  DL,  
ations.  
 $x = 262682$ :  
 $b = 166667$ .  
 $) = 160788$ .  
 $8 = 1000002$ .  
 $00002 = 3$ .  
 $+3b = 5^{660789}$ .  
 $\sqrt{b}$  mults.  
s further:  
man recursively.

All of the techniques so far  
apply to elliptic curves.  
An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$   
has  $\approx q + 1$  points  
so can compute ECDL using  
 $\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds.  
Need quite large  $q$ .  
If largest prime divisor  
of number of points  
is much smaller than  $q$   
then Pohlig-Hellman method  
computes ECDL more quickly.  
Need larger  $q$ ;  
or change choice of curve.

## Index calculus

Have generated many  
group elements  $5^a$   
Deduced equations  
from random collisions  
Index calculus obtains  
discrete-logarithm  
in a different way.  
Example for  $p = 1$   
Can completely factor  
 $-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3$   
so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^6 5^6 \pmod{p}$  (  
so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 5$   
 $6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5$

All of the techniques so far apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$

has  $\approx q + 1$  points

so can compute ECDL using

$\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds.

Need quite large  $q$ .

If largest prime divisor

of number of points

is much smaller than  $q$

then Pohlig-Hellman method

computes ECDL more quickly.

Need larger  $q$ ;

or change choice of curve.

## Index calculus

Have generated many

group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$

Deduced equations for  $n$

from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains

discrete-logarithm equations

in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor

$-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^65^6$  in

so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^65^6 \pmod p$

so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv$

$6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod p$



All of the techniques so far apply to elliptic curves.

An elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  has  $\approx q + 1$  points so can compute ECDL using  $\approx \sqrt{q}$  elliptic-curve adds. Need quite large  $q$ .

If largest prime divisor of number of points is much smaller than  $q$  then Pohlig-Hellman method computes ECDL more quickly. Need larger  $q$ ; or change choice of curve.

## Index calculus

Have generated many group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod{p}$ . Deduced equations for  $n$  from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains discrete-logarithm equations in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor  $-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^65^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$  so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^65^6 \pmod{p}$  so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv 6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

the techniques so far  
elliptic curves.

elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{F}_q$

$+ 1$  points

compute ECDL using

elliptic-curve adds.

quite large  $q$ .

small prime divisor

number of points

smaller than  $q$

Pollard-Hellman method

computes ECDL more quickly.

smaller  $q$ ;

careful choice of curve.

## Index calculus

Have generated many

group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$ .

Deduced equations for  $n$

from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains

discrete-logarithm equations

in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor

$-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^65^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$

so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^65^6 \pmod p$

so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv$

$6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Can compute

as  $2^13^1$

so  $\log_5 2$

$\log_5 3 +$

$\log_5 19 -$

Try to compute

$1/(p+1)$

Find factors

as products

2, 3, 5, 7

for each

-5100,

-403, -

62, 957,

## Index calculus

Have generated many  
group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$ .

Deduced equations for  $n$   
from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains  
discrete-logarithm equations  
in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor  
 $-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^65^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$   
so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^65^6 \pmod p$

so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv$   
 $6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Can completely factor  
as  $2^13^1/3^15^111^2$   
so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29$

Try to completely  
 $1/(p+1), 2/(p+1)$   
Find factorization  
as product of powers  
2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17  
for each of the following  
 $-5100, -4675, -$   
 $-403, -368, -14$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 385$

## Index calculus

Have generated many group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$ .

Deduced equations for  $n$  from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains discrete-logarithm equations in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor  $-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^65^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$   
so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^65^6 \pmod p$   
so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv$   
 $6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Can completely factor  $62/(p-1)$  as  $2^131^1/3^15^111^219^129^1$

so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p-1}$

Try to completely factor  $1/(p+1)$ ,  $2/(p+2)$ , etc.

Find factorization of  $a/(p+1)$  as product of powers of  $-1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$  for each of the following  $a$ 's  
 $-5100, -4675, -3128,$   
 $-403, -368, -147, -3,$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

## Index calculus

Have generated many group elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$ .

Deduced equations for  $n$  from random collisions.

Index calculus obtains discrete-logarithm equations in a different way.

Example for  $p = 1000003$ :

Can completely factor  $-3/(p-3)$  as  $-3^1/2^6 5^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$   
so  $-3^1 \equiv 2^6 5^6 \pmod p$   
so  $\log_5(-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv 6 \log_5 2 + 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Can completely factor  $62/(p+62)$  as  $2^1 31^1 / 3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$   
so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv \log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 + \log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Try to completely factor  $1/(p+1)$ ,  $2/(p+2)$ , etc.  
Find factorization of  $a/(p+a)$  as product of powers of  $-1$ ,  $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$  for each of the following  $a$ 's:  
 $-5100, -4675, -3128,$   
 $-403, -368, -147, -3,$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

# Alculus

generated many  
elements  $5^{an+b} \pmod p$ .  
equations for  $n$   
random collisions.

Alculus obtains  
logarithm equations  
different way.

for  $p = 1000003$ :  
completely factor  
 $-3$ ) as  $-3^1/2^6 5^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$   
 $\equiv 2^6 5^6 \pmod p$   
 $-1) + \log_5 3 \equiv$   
 $+ 6 \log_5 5 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Can completely factor  $62/(p+62)$   
as  $2^1 31^1 / 3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$   
so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Try to completely factor  
 $1/(p+1), 2/(p+2), \dots$   
Find factorization of  $a/(p+a)$   
as product of powers of  $-1,$   
 $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$   
for each of the following  $a$ 's:  
 $-5100, -4675, -3128,$   
 $-403, -368, -147, -3,$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

Each con  
produces  
Now hav  
for  $\log_5 2$   
Free equ  
 $\log_5(-1)$   
By linea  
 $\log_5 2, \log_5 3$   
(If this h  
could ha  
By simil  
discrete

any  
 $n+b \pmod p$ .  
 s for  $n$   
 sions.  
 ains  
 equations  
 000003:  
 ctor  
 $1/2^6 5^6$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$   
 $\pmod p$ )  
 $5^3 \equiv$   
 $\pmod{p-1}$ .

Can completely factor  $62/(p+62)$   
 as  $2^1 31^1 / 3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$   
 so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Try to completely factor  
 $1/(p+1), 2/(p+2),$  etc.  
 Find factorization of  $a/(p+a)$   
 as product of powers of  $-1,$   
 $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$   
 for each of the following  $a$ 's:  
 $-5100, -4675, -3128,$   
 $-403, -368, -147, -3,$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

Each complete fac  
 produces a log equ  
 Now have 12 linea  
 for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, .$   
 Free equations: lo  
 $\log_5(-1) = (p-1)$   
 By linear algebra o  
 $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, l$   
 (If this hadn't bee  
 could have searche  
 By similar techniq  
 discrete log of any

Can completely factor  $62/(p+62)$   
as  $2^1 31^1 / 3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$

so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p-1}$ .

Try to completely factor  
 $1/(p+1)$ ,  $2/(p+2)$ , etc.  
Find factorization of  $a/(p+a)$   
as product of powers of  $-1$ ,  
 $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$   
for each of the following  $a$ 's:

$-5100, -4675, -3128,$   
 $-403, -368, -147, -3,$   
 $62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

Each complete factorization  
produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations  
for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .  
Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1$ ,  
 $\log_5(-1) = (p-1)/2$ .

By linear algebra compute  
 $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .

(If this hadn't been enough,  
could have searched more  $a$ 's)

By similar technique obtain  
discrete log of any target.



Can completely factor  $62/(p + 62)$   
as  $2^1 31^1 / 3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$

so  $\log_5 2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 3 + \log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $\log_5 19 + \log_5 29 \pmod{p - 1}.$

Try to completely factor

$1/(p + 1), 2/(p + 2),$  etc.

Find factorization of  $a/(p + a)$

as product of powers of  $-1,$

$2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$

for each of the following  $a$ 's:

$-5100, -4675, -3128,$

$-403, -368, -147, -3,$

$62, 957, 2912, 3857, 6877.$

Each complete factorization  
produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations  
for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1,$

$\log_5(-1) = (p - 1)/2.$

By linear algebra compute

$\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

(If this hadn't been enough,  
could have searched more  $a$ 's.)

By similar technique obtain  
discrete log of any target.

completely factor  $62/(p + 62)$   
 $-/3^1 5^1 11^2 19^1 29^1$   
 $2 + \log_5 31 \equiv$   
 $\log_5 5 + 2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $+ \log_5 29 \pmod{p - 1}.$

completely factor  
 $1), 2/(p + 2), \text{ etc.}$   
 factorization of  $a/(p + a)$   
 product of powers of  $-1,$   
 $11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31$   
 of the following  $a$ 's:  
 $-4675, -3128,$   
 $-368, -147, -3,$   
 $2912, 3857, 6877.$

Each complete factorization produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1,$   
 $\log_5(-1) = (p - 1)/2.$

By linear algebra compute  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

(If this hadn't been enough, could have searched more  $a$ 's.)

By similar technique obtain discrete log of any target.

For  $p \rightarrow$   
 scales su  
 cost  $p^\epsilon$

Compare

Specifica  
 $a \in \{1, 2,$

$\lg y \in O$   
 finds  $y$  c

into prim  
 and com

(Assumi

Have ex

factor  $62/(p + 62)$   
 $19^1 29^1$   
 $\equiv$   
 $2 \log_5 11 +$   
 $(\text{mod } p - 1).$   
 factor  
 2), etc.  
 of  $a/(p + a)$   
 ers of  $-1,$   
 $7, 19, 23, 29, 31$   
 lowing  $a$ 's:  
 3128,  
 $7, -3,$   
 $57, 6877.$

Each complete factorization produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1,$   
 $\log_5(-1) = (p - 1)/2.$

By linear algebra compute  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

(If this hadn't been enough, could have searched more  $a$ 's.)

By similar technique obtain discrete log of any target.

For  $p \rightarrow \infty,$  index scales surprisingly cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow$

Compare to rho:  $\rho$

Specifically: search  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$

$\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$

finds  $y$  complete f

into primes  $\leq y,$

and computes disc

(Assuming standar

Have extensive evi

$p + 62)$

Each complete factorization produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .

$- 1).$

Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1,$   
 $\log_5(-1) = (p - 1)/2.$

$a)$

By linear algebra compute  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .

$9, 31$

(If this hadn't been enough, could have searched more  $a$ 's.)

$:$

By similar technique obtain discrete log of any target.

For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus scales surprisingly well: cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}$ .

Specifically: searching  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$ , with  $\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$ , finds  $y$  complete factorization into primes  $\leq y$ , and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures. Have extensive evidence.)

Each complete factorization produces a log equation.

Now have 12 linear equations for  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .

Free equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1$ ,  
 $\log_5(-1) = (p - 1)/2$ .

By linear algebra compute  $\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31$ .

(If this hadn't been enough, could have searched more  $a$ 's.)

By similar technique obtain discrete log of any target.

For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus scales surprisingly well:

cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}$ .

Specifically: searching

$a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$ , with

$\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$ ,

finds  $y$  complete factorizations into primes  $\leq y$ ,

and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures. Have extensive evidence.)

complete factorization  
is a log equation.

we have 12 linear equations

$\log_5 2, \log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

Equations:  $\log_5 5 = 1,$

$\log_5 p = (p - 1)/2.$

Linear algebra compute

$\log_5 3, \dots, \log_5 31.$

That hadn't been enough,

(we've searched more  $a$ 's.)

Linear technique obtain

log of any target.

For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus  
scales surprisingly well:

cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0.$

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}.$

Specifically: searching

$a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\},$  with

$\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p}),$

finds  $y$  complete factorizations

into primes  $\leq y,$

and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures.

Have extensive evidence.)

Latest in

use the

and the

To comp

$\lg \text{cost} \in$

$O((\lg q)^2)$

For secu

$q \approx 2^{256}$

$q \approx 2^{204}$

We don't

index-ca

... exce

Factorization  
equation.

for equations

...,  $\log_5 31$ .

$\log_5 5 = 1$ ,

...)/2.

compute

$\log_5 31$ .

n enough,

ed more  $a$ 's.)

ue obtain

y target.

For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus  
scales surprisingly well:

cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}$ .

Specifically: searching

$a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$ , with

$\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$ ,

finds  $y$  complete factorizations

into primes  $\leq y$ ,

and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures.

Have extensive evidence.)

Latest index-calculus  
use the “number-field”  
and the “function-field”

To compute discrete

$\lg \text{cost} \in$

$O((\lg q)^{1/3} (\lg \lg q))$

For security:

$q \approx 2^{256}$  to stop r

$q \approx 2^{2048}$  to stop

We don't know an

index-calculus met

... except for som

For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus  
scales surprisingly well:  
cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}$ .

Specifically: searching  
 $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$ , with  
 $\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$ ,  
finds  $y$  complete factorizations  
into primes  $\leq y$ ,  
and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures.  
Have extensive evidence.)

Latest index-calculus variant  
use the “number-field sieve”  
and the “function-field sieve”

To compute discrete logs in  
 $\lg \text{cost} \in$   
 $O((\lg q)^{1/3} (\lg \lg q)^{2/3})$ .

For security:

$q \approx 2^{256}$  to stop rho;  
 $q \approx 2^{2048}$  to stop NFS.

We don't know any  
index-calculus methods for E  
... except for some curves.



For  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , index calculus scales surprisingly well:  
cost  $p^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compare to rho:  $\approx p^{1/2}$ .

Specifically: searching  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, y^2\}$ , with  $\lg y \in O(\sqrt{\lg p \lg \lg p})$ , finds  $y$  complete factorizations into primes  $\leq y$ , and computes discrete logs.

(Assuming standard conjectures. Have extensive evidence.)

Latest index-calculus variants use the “number-field sieve” and the “function-field sieve.”

To compute discrete logs in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ :

$\lg \text{cost} \in O((\lg q)^{1/3} (\lg \lg q)^{2/3})$ .

For security:

$q \approx 2^{256}$  to stop rho;

$q \approx 2^{2048}$  to stop NFS.

We don't know any index-calculus methods for ECDL! ... except for some curves.