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## Weierstrass coordinates

Fix a field $k$ with $2 \neq 0$.
Fix $a, b \in k$ with $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$.
Well-known fact:
The points of the "elliptic curve" $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ over $k$ form a commutative group $E(k)$.
"So the group is $\{(x, y) \in k \times k$ :
$\left.y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b\right\} ? "$
Not exactly! It's $\{(x, y) \in k \times k$ : $\left.y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

## Weierstrass coordinates

Fix a field $k$ with $2 \neq 0$.
Fix $a, b \in k$ with $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$.
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$\left.y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b\right\}$ ?"
Not exactly! It's $\{(x, y) \in k \times k$ : $\left.y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

To add $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in E(k)$ :
Define $x_{3}=\lambda^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2}$
and $y_{3}=\lambda\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}$
where $\lambda=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
Then $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \in E(k)$.
Geometric interpretation:
$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right),\left(x_{3},-y_{3}\right)$ are on the curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ and on a line;
$\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right),\left(x_{3},-y_{3}\right)$ are on a vertical line.
"So that's the group law?

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) ? "
$$
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$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ ?"

Not exactly! Definition of $\lambda$ assumes that $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$.

To add $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in E(k)$ :
Define $x_{3}=\lambda^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2}$
and $y_{3}=\lambda\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}$
where $\lambda=\left(3 x_{1}^{2}+a\right) / 2 y_{1}$.
Then $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right) \in E(k)$.
Geometric interpretation:
The curve's tangent line at $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ passes through $\left(x_{3},-y_{3}\right)$.
"So that's the group law?
One special case for doubling?"

Not exactly! Definition of $\lambda$ assumes that $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$.
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The curve's tangent line at $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ passes through $\left(x_{3},-y_{3}\right)$.
"So that's the group law?
One special case for doubling?"

Not exactly! More exceptions:
e.g., $y_{1}$ could be 0 .

Six cases overall: $\infty+\infty=\infty$;
$\infty+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) ;$
$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\infty=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$;
$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{1},-y_{1}\right)=\infty$;
for $y_{1} \neq 0,\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=$
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for $x_{1} \neq x_{2},\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=$
$\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ with $x_{3}=\lambda^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2}$,
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$\lambda=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
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e.g., $y_{1}$ could be 0 .
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$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\infty=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$;
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$\lambda=\left(3 x_{1}^{2}+a\right) / 2 y_{1}$;
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$E(k)$ is a commutative group:
Has neutral element $\infty$, and -:
$-\infty=\infty ;-(x, y)=(x,-y)$.
Commutativity: $P+Q=Q+P$.
Associativity:
$(P+Q)+R=P+(Q+R)$.
Straightforward but tedious:
use a computer-algebra system to check each possible case.
Or relate each $P+Q$ case to "ideal-class product."
Many other proofs, but can't escape case analysis.
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Or relate each $P+Q$ case
to "ideal-class product."
Many other proofs, but can't escape case analysis.

## Projective coordinates

Can eliminate some exceptions.
Define $(X: Y: Z)$, for
$(X, Y, Z) \in k \times k \times k-\{(0,0,0)\}$,
as $\{(r X, r Y, r Z): r \in k-\{0\}\}$.
Could split into cases:
$(X: Y: Z)=$
$(X / Z: Y / Z: 1)$ if $Z \neq 0$;
$(X: Y: 0)=$
$(X / Y: 1: 0)$ if $Y \neq 0$;
$(X: 0: 0)=(1: 0: 0)$.
But scaling unifies all cases.

## Projective coordinates
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Define $(X: Y: Z)$, for
$(X, Y, Z) \in k \times k \times k-\{(0,0,0)\}$,
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$(X: Y: 0)=$
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Write $\mathbf{P}^{2}(k)=\{(X: Y: Z)\}$.
Revised definition: $E(k)=$
$\left\{(X: Y: Z) \in \mathbf{P}^{2}(k):\right.$
$\left.Y^{2} Z=X^{3}+a X Z^{2}+b Z^{3}\right\}$.
Could split into cases:
If $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ and $Z \neq 0$ :
$(X: Y: Z)=(x: y: 1)$
where $x=X / Z, y=Y / Z$.
Note that $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.
Corresponds to previous $(x, y)$.
If $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ and $Z=0$ :
$X^{3}=0$ so $X=0$ so $Y \neq 0$
so $(X: Y: Z)=(0: 1: 0)$.
Corresponds to previous $\infty$.
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If $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ and $Z \neq 0$ :
$(X: Y: Z)=(x: y: 1)$
where $x=X / Z, y=Y / Z$.
Note that $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.
Corresponds to previous $(x, y)$.
If $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ and $Z=0$ :
$X^{3}=0$ so $X=0$ so $Y \neq 0$
so $(X: Y: Z)=(0: 1: 0)$.
Corresponds to previous $\infty$.
$\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)+\left(X_{2}: Y_{2}: Z_{2}\right)$
$=\left(X_{3}: Y_{3}: Z_{3}\right)$ where
$U=Y_{2} Z_{1}-Y_{1} Z_{2}$,
$V=X_{2} Z_{1}-X_{1} Z_{2}$,
$W=U^{2} Z_{1} Z_{2}-V^{3}-2 V^{2} X_{1} Z_{2}$,
$X_{3}=V W$,
$Y_{3}=U\left(V^{2} X_{1} Z_{2}-W\right)-V^{3} Y_{1} Z_{2}$,
$Z_{3}=V^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}$.
"Aha! No more divisions by 0 ."
Compare to previous formulas:
$x_{3}=\lambda^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2}$
and $y_{3}=\lambda\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}$
where $\lambda=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.
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$X_{3}=V W$,
$Y_{3}=U\left(V^{2} X_{1} Z_{2}-W\right)-V^{3} Y_{1} Z_{2}$,
$Z_{3}=V^{3} Z_{1} Z_{2}$.
"Aha! No more divisions by 0 ."
Compare to previous formulas:
$x_{3}=\lambda^{2}-x_{1}-x_{2}$
and $y_{3}=\lambda\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-y_{1}$
where $\lambda=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) /\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$.

Oops, still have exceptions!
Formulas give bogus
$\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}, Z_{3}\right)=(0,0,0)$
if $\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)=(0: 1: 0)$.
Same problem for doubling.
Formulas produce (0:1:0) for
$\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)+\left(X_{1}:-Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)$
if $Y_{1} \neq 0$ and $Z_{1} \neq 0$ but not if $Y_{1}=0$.

To define complete group law, use six cases as before.
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## Jacobian coordinates

"Weighted projective coordinates using weights $2,3,1$ ":

Redefine $(X: Y: Z)$ as $\left\{\left(r^{2} X, r^{3} Y, r Z\right): r \in k-\{0\}\right\}$.

Redefine $E(k)$
using $Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X Z^{4}+b Z^{6}$.
Could again split into cases for $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ :
if $Z \neq 0$ then $(X: Y: Z)=$ $\left(X / Z^{2}: Y / Z^{3}: 1\right)$; if $Z=0$ then $(X: Y: Z)=(1: 1: 0)$.

## Jacobian coordinates

"Weighted projective coordinates using weights $2,3,1$ ":

Redefine $(X: Y: Z)$ as $\left\{\left(r^{2} X, r^{3} Y, r Z\right): r \in k-\{0\}\right\}$.

Redefine $E(k)$ using $Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X Z^{4}+b Z^{6}$.

Could again split into cases for $(X: Y: Z) \in E(k)$ : if $Z \neq 0$ then $(X: Y: Z)=$ $\left(X / Z^{2}: Y / Z^{3}: 1\right)$; if $Z=0$ then $(X: Y: Z)=(1: 1: 0)$.
$\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)+\left(X_{2}: Y_{2}: Z_{2}\right)$
$=\left(X_{3}: Y_{3}: Z_{3}\right)$ where
$U_{1}=X_{1} Z_{2}^{2}, U_{2}=X_{2} Z_{1}^{2}$,
$S_{1}=Y_{1} Z_{2}^{3}, S_{2}=Y_{2} Z_{1}^{3}$,
$H=U_{2}-U_{1}, J=S_{2}-S_{1}$,
$X_{3}=-H^{3}-2 U_{1} H^{2}+J^{2}$,
$Y_{3}=-S_{1} H^{3}+J\left(U_{1} H^{2}-X_{3}\right)$,
$Z_{3}=Z_{1} Z_{2} H$.
Streamlined algorithm uses 16 multiplications, of which 4 are squarings.
(1986 Chudnovsky/Chudnovsky)
5 squarings. (2001 Bernstein)
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Still need all six cases.
Why use Jacobian coordinates?
8 mults (including 5 squarings) for Jacobian-coordinate doubling if $a=-3$ (e.g. NIST's curves):

If $Y_{1} \neq 0$ then
$\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)+\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)$
$=\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}, Z_{3}\right)$ where
$T=Z_{1}^{2}, U=Y_{1}^{2}, V=X_{1} U$,
$W=3\left(X_{1}-T\right)\left(X_{1}+T\right)$,
$X_{3}=W^{2}-8 V$,
$Z_{3}=\left(Y_{1}+Z_{1}\right)^{2}-U-T$,
$Y_{3}=W\left(4 V-X_{3}\right)-8 U^{2}$.

Still need all six cases.
Why use Jacobian coordinates?
8 mults (including 5 squarings) for Jacobian-coordinate doubling if $a=-3$ (e.g. NIST's curves):

If $Y_{1} \neq 0$ then
$\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)+\left(X_{1}: Y_{1}: Z_{1}\right)$
$=\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}, Z_{3}\right)$ where
$T=Z_{1}^{2}, U=Y_{1}^{2}, V=X_{1} U$,
$W=3\left(X_{1}-T\right)\left(X_{1}+T\right)$,
$X_{3}=W^{2}-8 V$,
$Z_{3}=\left(Y_{1}+Z_{1}\right)^{2}-U-T$,
$Y_{3}=W\left(4 V-X_{3}\right)-8 U^{2}$.

## Unified addition laws

Do addition laws
have to fail for doublings?
Not necessarily!
Example: "Jacobi intersection" $s^{2}+c^{2}=t^{2}, a s^{2}+d^{2}=t^{2}$ has 17 -multiplication addition formula that works for doublings. (1986 Chudnovsky/Chudnovsky)

## 16. (2001 Liardet/Smart)

Many more "unified formulas."
But always find exceptions: points not added by formulas.
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Do we need 6 cases? No!
Can cover $E(k) \times E(k)$ using 3 addition laws. (1985 H. Lange/Ruppert)

How about just one law that covers $E(k) \times E(k)$ ?
One complete addition law?
Bad news: "Theorem 1.
The smallest cardinality of a
complete system of addition laws on $E$ equals two."
(1995 Bosma/H. Lenstra)

Do we need 6 cases? No!
Can cover $E(k) \times E(k)$ using 3 addition laws. (1985 H. Lange/Ruppert)

How about just one law
that covers $E(k) \times E(k)$ ?
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complete system of addition laws on $E$ equals two."
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## Interlude: The circle

Fix a field $k$ with $2 \neq 0$.
Fix $c \in k$ with $c \neq 0$.
$\left\{(x, y) \in k \times k: x^{2}+y^{2}=c^{2}\right\}$ is a commutative group with
$\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ where $x_{3}=\left(x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}\right) / c$ and $y_{3}=\left(y_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}\right) / c$.

Exercise: on curve.
Exercise: associative.
Look, a complete addition law! But it's not elliptic.
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Exercise: on curve.
Exercise: associative.
Look, a complete addition law! But it's not elliptic.

## Edwards curves

Fix a field $k$ with $2 \neq 0$.
Fix $c, d \in k$ with $c d\left(1-d c^{4}\right) \neq 0$ and with $d$ not a square.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(x, y) \in k \times k: \\
& \left.\quad x^{2}+y^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x^{2} y^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a commutative group with $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ defined by Edwards addition law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)}, \\
& y_{3}=\frac{y_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1-d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Edwards curves

Fix a field $k$ with $2 \neq 0$.
Fix $c, d \in k$ with $c d\left(1-d c^{4}\right) \neq 0$ and with $d$ not a square.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(x, y) \in k \times k: \\
& \left.x^{2}+y^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x^{2} y^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a commutative group with $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ defined by Edwards addition law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3} & =\frac{x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)} \\
y_{3} & =\frac{y_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1-d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

"What if denominators are 0?"
Answer: They aren't!
If $x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)$
and $x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x_{2}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)$ then $d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}$ can't be $\pm 1$.

Outline of proof:
If $\left(d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{2}=1$ then
curve equation implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} y_{1}\right)^{2}= \\
& d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclude that $d$ is a square.
But $d$ is not a square! Q.E.D.
"What if denominators are 0?"
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If $x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)$
and $x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=c^{2}\left(1+d x_{2}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)$ then $d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}$ can't be $\pm 1$.
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If $\left(d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{2}=1$ then
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$\left(x_{1}+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} y_{1}\right)^{2}=$ $d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)^{2}$.
Conclude that $d$ is a square.
But $d$ is not a square! Q.E.D.

So $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)$ is always defined:
$x_{3}=\frac{x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)}$,
$y_{3}=\frac{y_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}}{c\left(1-d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)}$.
Neutral element ( $0, c$ ).
Commutative. $-(x, y)=(-x, y)$.
Exercise: on curve.
Exercise: associative.
Magma computer-algebra system solves both exercises in 20 secs.
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Neutral element ( $0, c$ ).
Commutative. $-(x, y)=(-x, y)$.
Exercise: on curve.
Exercise: associative.
Magma computer-algebra system solves both exercises in 20 secs.

Is this elliptic
(after desingularization)? Yes!
Transform to $z^{2}=$ quartic:
$y^{2}\left(1-d c^{2} x^{2}\right)=c^{2}-x^{2}$
so $z^{2}=\left(1-d c^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}-x^{2}\right)$
where $z=y\left(1-d c^{2} x^{2}\right)$.
Or transform to $v^{2}=$ cubic: $v^{2}=e u^{3}+(4-2 e) u^{2}+e u$
where $u=(c+y) /(c-y)$,
$v=2 c u / x, e=1-d c^{4}$.
Obtain every elliptic curve having a point of order 4 and a unique point of order 2 .
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$v^{2}=e u^{3}+(4-2 e) u^{2}+e u$
where $u=(c+y) /(c-y)$,
$v=2 c u / x, e=1-d c^{4}$.
Obtain every elliptic curve having a point of order 4 and a unique point of order 2 .

So many elliptic curves have a complete addition law.

What about Bosma/Lenstra?
Recall "Theorem 1.
The smallest cardinality of a complete system of addition laws on $E$ equals two."
"Complete" in the theorem means "covers $E(\bar{k}) \times E(\bar{k})$ "; $\bar{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $k$.

The Edwards addition law has exceptions defined over $k(\sqrt{d})$, but no exceptions defined over $k$.
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on the addition law:
Euler/Gauss: $c=1, d=-1$ over field with $\sqrt{-1}$.

2007 Edwards: $d=1$, general $c$. Theorem: over $\bar{k}$, obtain all elliptic curves.

2007 Bernstein/Lange: general $d$. In particular: complete for non-square $d$. Also streamlined formulas, coming next!
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## Computations on Edwards curves

Take $c=1$ for simplicity, speed; no loss of generality.

To avoid divisions, use $(X: Y: Z)$ with $Z \neq 0$ and $\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) Z^{2}=Z^{4}+d X^{2} Y^{2}$ to represent $(X / Z, Y / Z)$ on Edwards curve $x^{2}+y^{2}=1+d x^{2} y^{2}$.

Edwards addition law (for $c=1$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}}{1+d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}}, \\
& y_{3}=\frac{y_{1} y_{2}-x_{1} x_{2}}{1-d x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Clear denominators:
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\begin{aligned}
X_{3}= & Z_{1} Z_{2}\left(X_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{1} X_{2}\right) \\
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Rewrite $x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}$ as
$\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)-x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}$, exploit common subexpressions.

12 multiplications (one by $d$, one a squaring), 7 additions. Still complete.
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Comparison of addition costs if curve parameters are small:

| System | Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jacobian | $11 M+5 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi intersection | $13 M+2 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Projective | $12 M+2 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Chudnovsky caching | $10 M+4 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi quartic | $10 M+3 S$ |
| Hessian | $12 M$ |
| Edwards | $10 M+1 S$ |
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Can save time in doubling: rewrite $1+d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}$ as $x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}$ (as suggested by Marc Joye); rewrite $1-d x_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{2}$ as $2-x_{1}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}$; exploit common subexpressions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left(X_{1}+Y_{1}\right)^{2}, C=X_{1}^{2}, D=Y_{1}^{2} \\
& E=C+D, H=Z_{1}^{2} \\
& J=E-2 H, X_{3}=(B-E) J \\
& Y_{3}=E(C-D), Z_{3}=E J
\end{aligned}
$$

7 multiplications
(4 of which are squarings),
6 additions.
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Comparison of doubling costs if curve parameters are small:

| System | Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Projective | $5 \mathbf{M}+6 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Projective if $a=-3$ | $7 \mathbf{M}+3 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Hessian | $6 \mathbf{M}+3 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi quartic | $1 \mathbf{M}+9 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobian | $1 \mathbf{M}+8 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobian if $a=-3$ | $3 \mathbf{M}+5 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi intersection | $3 \mathbf{M}+4 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Edwards | $3 \mathbf{M}+4 \mathbf{S}$ |

Comparison of doubling costs if curve parameters are small:

| System | Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Projective | $5 \mathbf{M}+6 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Projective if $a=-3$ | $7 \mathbf{M}+3 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Hessian | $6 \mathbf{M}+3 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi quartic | $1 \mathbf{M}+9 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobian | $1 \mathbf{M}+8 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobian if $a=-3$ | $3 \mathbf{M}+5 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Jacobi intersection | $3 \mathbf{M}+4 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Edwards | $3 \mathbf{M}+4 \mathbf{S}$ |

## A cryptographic example

"Curve25519":
$v^{2}=u^{3}+486662 u^{2}+u$
over the field $k=\mathbf{Z} /\left(2^{255}-19\right)$.
Software speed records for elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellman. (2005 Bernstein)
$n, P \mapsto n P$ is very fast using Montgomery coordinates.
(1987 Montgomery)
$n_{0}, n_{1}, P_{0}, P_{1} \mapsto n_{0} P_{0}+n_{1} P_{1}$ ?
Critical for digital signatures.
Batch verification: many $n_{i}$ 's.
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Multi-scalar multiplication: Montgomery isn't very fast. Jacobian is faster.
Edwards is the new winner!
Curve25519 is equivalent over $k$ to the Edwards curve $x^{2}+y^{2}=1+(1-1 / 121666) x^{2} y^{2}$.

Transformation is easy:
$x=\sqrt{486664} u / v$,
$y=(u-1) /(u+1)$.
Map points to Edwards curve. Use Edwards addition law.
Map back to Curve25519or use Edwards everywhere!
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What about $n \mapsto n Q$
using standard $Q=(9, \ldots)$ ?
Faster than $n, P \mapsto n P$ ?
If $n=n_{0}+2^{16} n_{1}+\cdots$
then $n Q=n_{0} Q+2^{16} n_{1} Q+\cdots$.
Precompute $2^{16} Q$ etc.
Use multi-scalar multiplication.
Edwards curves work well for all of these applications.
Very fast doublings.
Very fast additions.
Complete addition law
helps stop secrets from
leaking through side channels.
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More on Edwards curves:
http://cr.yp.to /newelliptic.html

