

Distinguishing prime numbers
from composite numbers:
the state of the art

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago

Is it easy to determine whether a given integer is prime?

If “easy” means “computable” :
Yes, of course.

If “easy” means “computable
in polynomial time” : Yes.
(2002 Agrawal/Kayal/Saxena)

If “easy” means “computable
in essentially cubic time” :
Conjecturally yes!
See Williams talk tomorrow.

What about quadratic time?

What about linear time?

What if we want to determine
with proof whether a
given integer is prime?

Can results be verified
faster than they're computed?

What if we want
proven bounds on time?

Does randomness help?

Cost measure for this talk:
time on a serial computer.

Beyond scope of this talk:

use “ AT ” cost measure

to see communication, parallelism.

Helpful subroutines:

Can compute B -bit product,
quotient, gcd in time $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$.

(1963 Toom; 1966 Cook;

1971 Knuth)

Beyond scope of this talk:

time analyses more precise

than “ $\leq B^{\text{constant}+o(1)}$.”

Compositeness proofs

If n is prime and $w \in \mathbf{Z}$

then $w^n - w \in n\mathbf{Z}$

so n is “ w -sprp”:

the easy difference-of-squares

factorization of $w^n - w$,

depending on $\text{ord}_2(n - 1)$,

has at least one factor in $n\mathbf{Z}$.

e.g.: If $n \in 5 + 8\mathbf{Z}$ is prime

and $w \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $w \in n\mathbf{Z}$ or

$w^{(n-1)/2} + 1 \in n\mathbf{Z}$ or

$w^{(n-1)/4} + 1 \in n\mathbf{Z}$ or

$w^{(n-1)/4} - 1 \in n\mathbf{Z}$.

Given $n \geq 2$: Try random w .

If n is not w -sprp, have proven n composite. Otherwise keep trying.

Given composite n ,
this algorithm eventually finds
compositeness certificate w .

Each w has $\geq 75\%$ chance.

Random time $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$

to find certificate if $n < 2^B$.

Deterministic time $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$

to verify certificate.

Open: Is there a compositeness
certificate findable in time $B^{O(1)}$,
verifiable in time $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$?

Given prime n ,
this algorithm loops forever.
After many w 's we are
confident that n is prime . . .
but we don't have a proof.

Challenge to number theorists:
Prove n prime!

Side issue: Do users care?

Paranoid bankers: "Yes,
we demand primality proofs."

Competent cryptographers: "No,
but we have other uses for
the underlying tools."

Combinatorial primality proofs

If there are many elements of a particular subgroup of a prime cyclotomic extension of \mathbf{Z}/n then n is a power of a prime.
(2002 Agrawal/Kayal/Saxena)

Many primes r have prime divisors of $r - 1$ above $r^{2/3}$ (1985 Fouvry). Deduce that AKS algorithm takes time $\leq B^{12+o(1)}$ to prove primality of n .

Algorithm is *conjectured* to take time $\leq B^{6+o(1)}$.

Variant using *arbitrary* cyclotomic extensions takes time $\leq B^{8+o(1)}$.
(2002 Lenstra)

Variant with better bound on group structure takes time $\leq B^{7.5+o(1)}$. (2002 Macaj; same idea without credit in 2003 revision of AKS paper)

These variants are conjectured to take time $\leq B^{6+o(1)}$.

Variant using Gaussian periods is *proven* to take time $\leq B^{6+o(1)}$.
(2004 Lenstra/Pomerance)

What if n is composite?

Output of these algorithms
is a compositeness proof.

Time $\leq B^{4+o(1)}$ to verify proof.

Time $\leq B^{6+o(1)}$ to find proof.

For comparison, traditional
sprp compositeness proofs:

verify proof, $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$;

find proof, random $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$.

For comparison, factorization:

verify proof, $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$;

find proof, conjectured
 $\leq B^{(1.901\dots+o(1))}(B/\lg B)^{1/3}$.

Benefit from randomness?

Use random Kummer extensions;
twist. (2003.01 Bernstein,
and independently 2003.03

Mihăilescu/Avanzi;

2-power-degree case: 2002.12

Berrizbeitia; prime-degree case:
2003.01 Cheng)

Many divisors of $n^m - 1$ (overkill:
1983 Odlyzko/Pomerance).

Deduce: time $\leq B^{4+o(1)}$

to verify primality certificate.

Random time $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$

to find certificate.

Open: Primality proof with
proven deterministic time
 $\leq B^{5+o(1)}$ to find, verify?

Open: Primality proof with
proven random time
 $\leq B^{3+o(1)}$ to find, verify?

Open: Primality proof with
reasonably *conjectured* time
 $\leq B^{3+o(1)}$ to find, verify?

Prime-order primality proofs

If $w^{n-1} = 1$ in \mathbf{Z}/n , and $n - 1$ has a prime divisor $q \geq \sqrt{n}$ with $w^{(n-1)/q} \neq 1$ in $(\mathbf{Z}/n)^*$, then n is prime. (1876 Lucas, 1914 Pocklington, 1927 Lehmer)

Many generalizations.

Can extend \mathbf{Z}/n . (1876 Lucas, 1930 Lehmer, 1975 Morrison, 1975 Selfridge/Wunderlich, 1975 Brillhart/Lehmer/Selfridge, 1976 Williams/Judd, 1983 Adleman/Pomerance/Rumely)

Can prove arbitrary primes.

Proofs are fast to verify

but often very slow to find.

Replace unit group by

random elliptic-curve group.

(1986 Goldwasser/Kilian;

point counting: 1985 Schoof)

Use complex-multiplication

curves; faster point counting.

(1988 Atkin; special cases:

1985 Bosma, 1986

Chudnovsky/Chudnovsky)

Merge square-root computations.

(1990 Shallit)

Culmination of these ideas
is “fast elliptic-curve
primality proving” (FastECP):

Conjectured time $\leq B^{4+o(1)}$
to find certificate
proving primality of n .

Proven deterministic time
 $\leq B^{3+o(1)}$ to verify certificate.

For comparison, combinatorics:
proven random $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$ to find,
 $\leq B^{4+o(1)}$ to verify.

Variant using

genus-2 hyperelliptic curves:

Proven random time $B^{O(1)}$

to find certificate

proving primality of n .

(1992 Adleman/Huang)

Tools in proof: bounds on size of Jacobian (1948 Weil); many primes in interval of width $x^{3/4}$ around x (1979 Iwaniec/Jutila).

Proven deterministic time

$\leq B^{3+o(1)}$ to verify certificate.

Variant using elliptic curves
with large power-of-2 factors
(1987 Pomerance):

Proven existence of certificate
proving primality of n .

Proven deterministic time
 $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$ to verify certificate.

Open: Is there
a primality certificate
findable in time $B^{O(1)}$,
verifiable in time $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$?

Open: Is there
a primality certificate
verifiable in time $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$?

Verifying elliptic-curve proofs

Main theorem in a nutshell:

If an elliptic curve

$E(\mathbf{Z}/n)$ has a point

of prime order $q > (\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil + 1)^2$

then n is prime.

Proof in a nutshell:

If p is a prime divisor of n

then the same point mod p

has order q in $E(\mathbf{F}_p)$,

but $\#E(\mathbf{F}_p) \leq (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2$

(Hasse 1936), so $n^{1/2} < p$.

More concretely:

Given odd integer $n \geq 2$,

$a \in \{6, 10, 14, 18, \dots\}$, integer c ,

$$\gcd\{n, c^3 + ac^2 + c\} = 1,$$

$$\gcd\{n, a^2 - 4\} = 1,$$

prime $q > (\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil + 1)^2$:

Define $x_1 = c$, $z_1 = 1$,

$$x_{2i} = (x_i^2 - z_i^2)^2,$$

$$z_{2i} = 4x_i z_i (x_i^2 + ax_i z_i + z_i^2),$$

$$x_{2i+1} = 4(x_i x_{i+1} - z_i z_{i+1})^2,$$

$$z_{2i+1} = 4c(x_i z_{i+1} - z_i x_{i+1})^2.$$

If $z_q \in n\mathbf{Z}$ then n is prime.

For each prime p dividing n :

$$(a^2 - 4)(c^3 + ac^2 + c) \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{F}_p,$$

$$\text{so } (c^3 + ac^2 + c)y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + x$$

is an elliptic curve over \mathbf{F}_p ;

$(c, 1)$ is a point on curve.

On curve: $i(c, 1) = (x_i/z_i, \dots)$

generically. (1987 Montgomery)

Analyze exceptional cases, show

$$q(c, 1) = \infty. \text{ (2006 Bernstein)}$$

Many previous ECPP variants.

Trickier recursions,

typically testing coprimality.

Finding elliptic-curve proofs

To prove primality of n : Choose random E . Compute $\#E(\mathbf{Z}/n)$ by Schoof's algorithm.

Compute $q = \#E(\mathbf{Z}/n)/2$. If q doesn't seem prime, try new E .

If $q \geq n$ or $q \leq (\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil + 1)^2$:
 n is small; easy base case.

Otherwise:

Recursively prove primality of q .

Choose random point P on E .

If $2P = \infty$, try another P .

Now $2P$ has prime order q .

Schoof's algorithm:

time $B^{5+o(1)}$.

Conjecturally find prime q after $B^{1+o(1)}$ curves on average.

Reduce number of curves by allowing

smaller ratios $q/\#E(\mathbf{Z}/n)$.

Recursion involves

$B^{1+o(1)}$ levels.

Reduce number of levels

by allowing and demanding smaller ratios $q/\#E(\mathbf{Z}/n)$.

Overall time $B^{7+o(1)}$.

Faster way to generate curves
with known number of points:
generate curves with
small-discriminant
complex multiplication (CM).
Reduces conjectured time
to $B^{5+o(1)}$.

With more work: $B^{4+o(1)}$.

CM has applications
beyond primality proofs:
e.g., can generate CM curves
with low embedding degree
for pairing-based cryptography.

Complex multiplication

Consider positive squarefree integers $D \in 3 + 4\mathbf{Z}$.

(Can allow some other D 's too.)

If prime n equals $(u^2 + Dv^2)/4$ then “CM with discriminant $-D$ ” produces curves over \mathbf{Z}/n with $n + 1 \pm u$ points.

Assuming $D \leq B^{2+o(1)}$:

Time $B^{2.5+o(1)}$.

Fancier algorithms: $B^{2+o(1)}$.

First step: Find all vectors

$(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ with

$$\gcd\{a, b, c\} = 1,$$

$$-D = b^2 - 4ac, \quad |b| \leq a \leq c,$$

$$\text{and } b \leq 0 \Rightarrow |b| < a < c.$$

How?

Try each integer b between

$$-\lfloor \sqrt{D/3} \rfloor \text{ and } \lfloor \sqrt{D/3} \rfloor.$$

Find all small factors of $b^2 + D$.

Find all factors $a \leq \lfloor \sqrt{D/3} \rfloor$.

For each (a, b) ,

find c and check conditions.

Second step: For each (a, b, c)
compute to high precision
 $j(-b/2a + \sqrt{-D}/2a) \in \mathbf{C}$.

Some wacky standard notations:

$$q(z) = \exp(2\pi iz).$$

$$\eta^{24} = q\left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k q^{k(3k-1)/2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2}\right)^{24}.$$

$$f_1^{24}(z) = \eta^{24}(z/2) / \eta^{24}(z).$$

$$j = (f_1^{24} + 16)^3 / f_1^{24}.$$

How much precision is needed?

Answer: $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$ bits;
 $\leq B^{0.5+o(1)}$ terms in sum;
 $\leq B^{1+o(1)}$ inputs (a, b, c) ;
total time $\leq B^{2.5+o(1)}$.

Don't need explicit
upper bound on error.

Start with low precision;
obtain interval around answer;
if precision is too small,
later steps will notice
that interval is too large,
so retry with double precision.

Third step: Compute product

$$H_{-D} \in \mathbf{C}[x]$$

of $x - j(-b/2a + \sqrt{-D}/2a)$

over all (a, b, c) .

Amazing fact: $H_{-D} \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$.

The j values are

algebraic integers

generating a class field.

$\leq B^{1+o(1)}$ factors.

Time $\leq B^{2+o(1)}$.

Fourth step: Find a root r of H_{-D} in \mathbf{Z}/n .

Easy since n is prime.

Amazing fact: the curve

$$y^2 = x^3 + (3x + 2)r / (1728 - r)$$

has $n + 1 + u$ points

for some (u, v) with

$$4n = u^2 + Dv^2.$$

FastECPP using CM

To prove primality of n :

Choose $y \in B^{1+o(1)}$.

For each odd prime $p \leq y$,

compute square root of p

in quadratic extension of \mathbf{Z}/n .

Also square root of -1 .

Each square root

costs $B^{2+o(1)}$.

Total time $B^{3+o(1)}$.

For each positive squarefree
 y -smooth $D \in 3 + 4\mathbf{Z}$
below $B^{2+o(1)}$,
compute square root of $-D$
in quadratic extension of \mathbf{Z}/n .

Each square root
costs $B^{1+o(1)}$:
multiply square roots of primes.
Total time $B^{3+o(1)}$.

For each D

having $\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbf{Z}/n$,

find u, v with $4n = u^2 + Dv^2$,

if possible.

This can be done by

a half-gcd computation.

Each D costs $B^{1+o(1)}$.

Total time $B^{3+o(1)}$.

Conjecturally there are $B^{1+o(1)}$ choices of (D, u, v) .

Look for $n + 1 \pm u$
having form $2q$ where q is prime.

More generally:

remove small factors

from $n + 1 \pm u$;

then look for primes.

Each compositeness proof
costs $B^{2+o(1)}$.

Total time $B^{3+o(1)}$.

Conjecturally have
several choices of (D, u, v, q) ,
when $o(1)$'s are large enough.

Use CM to construct curve
with order divisible by q .

Time $\leq B^{2.5+o(1)}$; negligible.

Problems can occur.

Might have $n + 1 + u$

when $n + 1 - u$ was desired,

or vice versa. Curve might not

be isomorphic to curve of desired

form $y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + x$.

Can work around problems,

or simply try next curve.

Recursively prove q prime.

Deduce that n is prime.

$\leq B^{1+o(1)}$ levels of recursion.

Total time $\leq B^{4+o(1)}$.

Verification time $\leq B^{3+o(1)}$.

Open: Can we quickly find (E, q)

with E an elliptic curve

(or another group scheme),

q prime, $q \in [n^{0.6}, n^{0.9}]$,

and $\#E(\mathbf{Z}/n) \in q\mathbf{Z}$?