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#### Abstract

The $3 x+1$ map $T$ and the shift map $S$ are defined by $T(x)=(3 x+1) / 2$ for $x$ odd, $T(x)=x / 2$ for $x$ even, while $S(x)=(x-1) / 2$ for $x$ odd, $S(x)=x / 2$ for $x$ even. The $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$ on the 2-adic integers $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ conjugates $S$ to $T$, i.e., $\Phi \circ S \circ \Phi^{-1}=T$. The $\operatorname{map} \Phi \bmod 2^{n}$ induces a permutation $\Phi_{n}$ on $\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}$. We study the cycle structure of $\Phi_{n}$. In particular we show that it has order $2^{n-4}$ for $n \geq 6$. We also count 1-cycles of $\Phi_{n}$ for $n$ up to 1000 ; the results suggest that $\Phi$ has exactly two odd fixed points. The results generalize to the $a x+b$ map, where $a b$ is odd.


## 1. Introduction

The $3 x+1$ problem concerns iteration of the $3 x+1$ function

$$
T(x)= \begin{cases}(3 x+1) / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2)  \tag{1.1}\\ x / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

on the integers $\mathbf{Z}$. The well-known $3 x+1$ Conjecture asserts that, for each positive integer $n$, some iterate $T^{k}(n)$ equals 1, i.e., all orbits on the positive integers eventually reach the cycle $\{1,2\}$.

The $3 x+1$ function (1.1) is defined on the larger domain $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ of 2-adic integers. It is a measure-preserving map on $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ with respect to the 2-adic measure, and it is strongly mixing, so it is ergodic; see [8]. More is true. Let $S: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ be the 2-adic shift map defined by

$$
S(x)= \begin{cases}(x-1) / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2)  \tag{1.2}\\ x / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

i.e., $S\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} 2^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i+1} 2^{i}$, if each $b_{i}$ is 0 or 1 . Then $T$ is topologically conjugate to $S$ : there is a homeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \circ S \circ \Phi^{-1}=T . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact $T$ is metrically conjugate to $S$ : one map $\Phi$ satisfying (1.3) preserves the 2 -adic measure. Thus $T$ is Bernoulli.

The map $\Phi$ is determined by (1.3) up to multiplication on the right by an automorphism of the shift $S$. It is known that the automorphism group of $S$ is

[^0]isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$, with nontrivial element $V(x)=-1-x$. (See [6, Theorem 6.9] and the introduction to [3].) We obtain a unique function $\Phi$ by adding to (1.3) the side condition $\Phi(0)=0$. We call $\Phi$ the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map. This function has been constructed several times, apparently first in [8], where $\Phi^{-1}$ is denoted $Q_{\infty}$, and also in [1], [2].

An important property of $\Phi$ is that it is solenoidal. Here we say that a function $f$ on $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ is solenoidal if, for every $n$, it induces a function $\bmod 2^{n}$, i.e.,

$$
x \equiv y\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) \Longrightarrow f(x) \equiv f(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) .
$$

This solenoidal property, together with $\Phi(0)=0$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \equiv x(\bmod 2) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For completeness, we give a self-contained proof that $\Phi$ is unique. Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be two invertible functions satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). Write $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ for their inverses. Then $S \circ Q=Q \circ T$ and $S \circ Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} \circ T$, and (1.4) gives $Q \equiv Q^{\prime}(\bmod 2)$. If $Q \equiv Q^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$ then $Q \circ T=Q^{\prime} \circ T\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$, so $S \circ Q \equiv S \circ Q^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$. Now $S \circ Q$ and $S \circ Q^{\prime}$ agree in the bottom $k$ bits, and $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ agree in the bottom bit, so $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ agree in the bottom $k+1$ bits. Hence $Q \equiv Q^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{k+1}\right)$. By induction $Q \equiv Q^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)$ for every $k$, so $Q=Q^{\prime}$, so $\Phi=\Phi^{\prime}$.

There is an explicit formula for $\Phi^{-1}([8])$. Let $T^{m}$ denote the $m$ th iterate of $T$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(T^{i}(x) \bmod 2\right) 2^{i} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies (1.3) and (1.4), and also shows that $\Phi^{-1}$ is solenoidal.
There is also an explicit formula for $\Phi([2])$. For $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, expand $x$ as

$$
x=\sum_{l} 2^{d_{l}},
$$

in which $\left\{d_{l}\right\}$ is a finite or infinite sequence with $0 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=-\sum_{l} 3^{-l} 2^{d_{l}} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also implies (1.3) and (1.4), and shows that $\Phi$ is solenoidal.
Various properties of the $3 x+1$ map under iteration can be formulated in terms of properties of $\Phi$. The $3 x+1$ Conjecture is reformulated as follows ([2], [8]). Here $\mathbf{Z}^{+}$denotes the positive integers.
$3 x+1$ Conjecture. $\mathbf{Z}^{+} \subseteq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{Z}\right)$.
Furthermore, it is known that $\Phi\left(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. (This is easily proven from (1.6); see [2].) The following conjecture is proposed in [8].

Periodicity Conjecture. $\Phi\left(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}$.
This would imply that the $3 x+1$ function $T$ has no divergent trajectories on Z. Recall that a trajectory $\left\{T^{k}(n): k \geq 1\right\}$ is divergent if it contains an infinite number of distinct elements, so that $\left|T^{k}(n)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, if

$$
T_{3, k}(x)= \begin{cases}(3 x+k) / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2), \\ x / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\end{cases}
$$

then the Periodicity Conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that, for all $k \equiv$ $\pm 1(\bmod 6)$, the $3 x+k$ function has no divergent trajectories on $\mathbf{Z}$. (This follows from [9, Corollary 2.1b].)

This paper studies the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$ for its own sake. The function $\Phi$ is a solenoidal bijection; it induces permutations $\Phi_{n}$ of $\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}$. Our object is to determine properties of the cycle structure of the permutations $\Phi_{n}$. In effect, our results give information about the iterates $\Phi^{k}$ of $\Phi$. We prove in particular that $\Phi_{n}$ contains three "long" cycles of length $2^{n-4}$, for all $n \geq 6$.

We remark that the results we prove are not related to the $3 x+1$ Conjecture in any immediate way; indeed for the iterates $T^{k}$ the conjugacy (1.3) gives $\Phi \circ$ $S^{k} \circ \Phi^{-1}=T^{k}$, a relation which does not involve $\Phi^{k}$ for any $k \geq 2$. We do note that the Periodicity Conjecture is equivalent, for any $k \geq 1$, to the assertion that $\Phi^{k}\left(\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{Q} \cap \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Consequently information about $\bar{\Phi}^{k}$ may conceivably prove useful in resolving the Periodicity Conjecture.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In $\S 2$ we give a table of the cycle lengths of $\Phi_{n}$ for $n \leq 20$. This table motivated our results. We also give data on 1 -cycles of $\Phi_{n}$ for $n \leq 1000$. We conjecture that $\Phi$ has exactly two odd fixed points. In $\S 3$ we formulate results on the progressive stabilization of the "long" cycles of $\Phi_{n}$. In $\S 4$ we generalize these results to the conjugacy map for the $a x+b$ function

$$
T_{a, b}(x)= \begin{cases}(a x+b) / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\ x / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2),\end{cases}
$$

where $a b$ is odd. We prove all these results in $\S 5$. The proofs are based on Theorem 5.1, which keeps track of the highest-order significant bit in the orbit of $x \bmod 2^{n+2}$. In §6 we reconsider "short" cycles of $\Phi_{n}$, and present a heuristic argument that relates their asymptotics to the number of global periodic points. This heuristic is consistent with the data on 1 -cycles presented in $\S 2$.

There are two appendices on solenoidal maps. Appendix A shows the equivalence of "solenoidal bijection," "solenoidal homeomorphism," and "2-adic isometry." Appendix B shows that a wide class of functions $U$ generalizing the $3 x+1$ map $T$ are conjugate to the 2 -adic shift $S$ by a solenoidal conjugacy map $\Phi_{U}$.

Finally, we note that, for odd $k$, the map $Q(x)=k x$ conjugates the $3 x+1$ function to the $3 x+k$ function; i.e., $Q \circ T \circ Q^{-1}=T_{3, k}$. Thus the cycle structure of the permutations mod $2^{n}$ of all the conjugacy maps $\Phi_{3, k}$ are identical. Other properties of the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map appear in [2], [10], [11]. In particular, $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are nowhere differentiable on $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$; see [10], [2].

We thank Mike Boyle and Doug Lind for supplying references concerning the automorphism group of the one-sided shift, and the referee for helpful comments.

## 2. Empirical Data and Two Conjectures

By (1.4), $\Phi_{n}$ takes odd numbers to odd numbers. Let $\hat{\Phi}_{n}:\left(\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{*} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{*}$ denote its restriction. The properties of $\Phi_{n}$ are completely determined by $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$. Indeed, $\Phi\left(2^{j} x\right)=2^{j} \Phi(x)$ by (1.6), so the action of $\hat{\Phi}_{n-j}$ describes the action of $\Phi_{n}$ on odd numbers times $2^{j}$.

Each $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ consists of cycles of various lengths, all of which are powers of 2. (See $\S 3$ for a proof.) The exact form of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ for $n \leq 6$ appears in Table 2.1.

| $n$ | $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ | $\operatorname{order}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{n}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | identity | 1 |
| 3 | $\{1,5\}$ | 2 |
| 4 | $\{1,5\}\{9,13\}$ | 2 |
| 5 | $\{1,21\}\{5,17\}\{7,23\}\{9,29,25,13\}$ | 4 |
| 6 | $\{1,21\}\{3,35\}\{5,17,37,49\}\{7,23\}\{9,29,25,13\}$ |  |
|  | $\{19,51\}\{27,59\}\{33,53\}\{39,55\}\{41,61,57,45\}$ | 4 |

TABLE 2.1. Cycle structure of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}, n \leq 6$. 1-cycles are omitted.

Table 2.2 below lists the number of cycles of various lengths in $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ for $n \leq 20$. Let $X_{n, j}$ denote the set of cycles of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ of period $2^{j}$, and let $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ be the number of such cycles. From Table 2.2 we see, empirically, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{order}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{n}\right)=2^{n-4}, \quad n \geq 6 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also see a progressive stabilization of the number of "long" cycles in $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$. In $\S 3-\S 5$ we prove both these facts.

How does $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$, the number of cycles of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ of size $2^{j}$, behave as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for fixed $j$ ? We give data for the simplest case $\left|X_{n, 0}\right|$ of 1-cycles. Table 2.3 gives all values of $\left|X_{n, 0}\right|$ for $n \leq 100$, and Table 2.4 gives values of $\left|X_{n, 0}\right|$ at intervals of 10 for $n \leq 1000$. We computed the values $\left|X_{n, 0}\right|$ recursively for increasing $n$ by tracking each 1-cycle individually.

| $(k, j)$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  | 12 | 32 | 52 | 80 | 116 | 106 | 152 | 124 | 110 |
| 2 | 2 | 16 | 38 | 54 | 82 | 122 | 112 | 144 | 124 | 108 |
| 3 | 2 | 26 | 36 | 56 | 96 | 124 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 108 |
| 4 | 4 | 22 | 38 | 54 | 106 | 124 | 112 | 108 | 128 | 92 |
| 5 | 6 | 18 | 36 | 54 | 116 | 114 | 106 | 114 | 128 | 96 |
| 6 | 6 | 20 | 36 | 54 | 90 | 128 | 92 | 132 | 136 | 96 |
| 7 | 8 | 18 | 50 | 68 | 82 | 118 | 106 | 140 | 124 | 102 |
| 8 | 14 | 12 | 60 | 68 | 92 | 94 | 116 | 144 | 118 | 108 |
| 9 | 14 | 16 | 62 | 84 | 102 | 92 | 122 | 144 | 104 | 88 |
| 10 | 10 | 26 | 50 | 92 | 108 | 100 | 132 | 144 | 98 | 90 |

Table 2.3. Number of 1-cycles in $\hat{\Phi}_{10 j+k}$.

| $(n, j)$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 14 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | 35 | 24 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 12 | 40 | 37 | 18 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 16 | 48 | 70 | 23 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 26 | 53 | 79 | 60 | 24 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 22 | 63 | 111 | 98 | 50 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 18 | 81 | 129 | 153 | 84 | 40 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 20 | 96 | 179 | 186 | 137 | 78 | 31 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 18 | 91 | 242 | 236 | 207 | 131 | 61 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 12 | 104 | 305 | 308 | 312 | 192 | 105 | 56 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| 19 | 16 | 86 | 375 | 401 | 432 | 307 | 152 | 99 | 54 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 20 | 26 | 95 | 424 | 573 | 564 | 445 | 281 | 133 | 91 | 54 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 3 |

TABLE 2.2. Number of cycles $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ of order $2^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq n$.

| $(k, j)$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 96 | 380 | 700 | 844 | 1278 | 1078 | 1330 | 1944 | 2030 |
| 2 | 26 | 90 | 458 | 788 | 840 | 1176 | 1130 | 1142 | 2180 | 2162 |
| 3 | 50 | 116 | 452 | 916 | 1134 | 1000 | 1212 | 1170 | 2194 | 2230 |
| 4 | 92 | 156 | 544 | 780 | 942 | 914 | 1270 | 1240 | 2226 | 2128 |
| 5 | 108 | 240 | 574 | 678 | 874 | 998 | 1462 | 1346 | 2130 | 2206 |
| 6 | 100 | 278 | 588 | 908 | 910 | 1110 | 1476 | 1538 | 2294 | 2362 |
| 7 | 132 | 282 | 628 | 818 | 866 | 1172 | 1360 | 1562 | 2204 | 2354 |
| 8 | 144 | 320 | 634 | 784 | 932 | 1172 | 1358 | 1778 | 2184 | 2362 |
| 9 | 98 | 378 | 784 | 870 | 1060 | 1072 | 1190 | 1974 | 2114 | 2242 |
| 10 | 90 | 404 | 714 | 892 | 1150 | 1086 | 1208 | 1808 | 2056 | 2308 |

Table 2.4. Number of 1 -cycles in $\hat{\Phi}_{100 j+10 k}$.
The tables show that $\left|X_{n, 0}\right|$ behaves irregularly, but has a general tendency to increase. In $\S 6$ we present a heuristic model which suggests that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{n, 0}\right| \sim F_{0} n \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the number of odd fixed points of $\Phi$. Comparison with Table 2.4 suggests the following conjecture.
Fixed Point Conjecture. The $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$ has exactly two odd fixed points.

We searched for odd rational fixed points, and immediately found two: $x=-1$ and $x=1 / 3$. The conjecture thus asserts that these are the only odd fixed points
of $\Phi$. We do not know of any approach to determine the existence or non-existence of non-rational odd fixed points.

More generally we propose the following conjecture.
$3 x+1$ Conjugacy Finiteness Conjecture. For each $j \geq 0$, the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$ has finitely many odd periodic points of period $2^{j}$.

We have no idea whether the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$ has finitely many odd periodic points in total. There are examples of $a x+b$ conjugacy maps that have no odd periodic points; see $\S 4$.

## 3. Cycle structure of $\Phi_{n}$ : Inert Cycles and Stable Cycles

There is a simple relation between the cycles of $\Phi_{n}$ and those of $\Phi_{n+1}$ : For $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, the cycle $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ that $x$ belongs to in $\Phi_{n+1}$ has length $\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|$ either equal to or double the length of the cycle $\sigma_{n}(x)$ that $x$ belongs to in $\Phi_{n}$.

This follows from a more general fact. Call a function $f_{n+1}: \mathbf{Z} / m^{n+1} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{Z} / m^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}$ consistent $\bmod m^{n}$ if it induces a function $f_{n}$ from $\mathbf{Z} / m^{n} \mathbf{Z}$ to $\mathbf{Z} / m^{n} \mathbf{Z}$, i.e., if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \equiv x_{2}\left(\bmod m^{n}\right) \Longrightarrow f_{n+1}\left(x_{1}\right) \equiv f_{n+1}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(\bmod m^{n}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $f_{n+1}: \mathbf{Z} / m^{n+1} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / m^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}$ be a function which is consistent mod $m^{n}$. If $x$ is a purely periodic point of $f_{n+1}$ then $x$ is a purely periodic point of $f_{n}$ and

$$
\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=k\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|
$$

for some integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq m$.
Proof. The image of $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ under projection mod $m^{n}$ consists of $k$ copies of a purely periodic orbit $\sigma_{n}(x)$, for some $k \geq 1$. The bound $k \leq m$ follows because any element of $\mathbf{Z} / m^{n} \mathbf{Z}$ has only $m$ distinct preimages in $\mathbf{Z} / m^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1 applies to $\Phi_{n+1}$, because $\Phi$ is solenoidal. Since $m=2$ we have

$$
\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=k\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \quad \text { with } \quad k=1 \text { or } 2
$$

We call a cycle $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ split if $\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|$, because $\sigma_{n}(x)$ lifts to two cycles $\bmod 2^{n+1}$, namely $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ and $\sigma_{n+1}(x)+2^{n}$. If $\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=2\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|$ we call $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ inert, because $\sigma_{n}(x)$ has lifted to a single cycle. If $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ is an inert cycle, and $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|=p$, then $\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=2 p$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n+1}^{p}(x) \equiv x+2^{n}\left(\bmod 2^{n+1}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction on $n$, the length of any cycle $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|$ is a power of 2 .
We call a cycle $\sigma_{n}(x)$ stable if $\sigma_{m}(x)$ is an inert cycle for all $m \geq n$. If $\sigma_{n}(x)$ is a stable cycle, then

$$
\left|\sigma_{m}(x)\right|=2^{m-n+1}\left|\sigma_{n-1}(x)\right|, \quad m \geq n
$$

For a stable cycle $\sigma_{n}(x)$, Lemma 3.1 guarantees that the map $\Phi$ restricted to

$$
\left\{y \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}: y \equiv x_{i}\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) \text { for some } x_{i} \in \sigma_{n}(x)\right\}
$$

has no periodic points.
Our main result concerning $\Phi$ is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. For the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map $\Phi$, suppose that $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \geq 4$ and that $\sigma_{n}(x)$ and $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ are both inert cycles. Then $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$ is also an inert cycle. Consequently $\sigma_{n}(x)$ is a stable cycle.

Theorem 3.1 follows from Corollary 5.1 at the end of $\S 5$.
The hypothesis $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \geq 4$ is necessary in Theorem 3.1. For example, $\sigma_{5}(3)=$ $\{3\}$, so both $\sigma_{6}(3)=\{3,35\}$ and $\sigma_{7}(3)=\{3,99,67,35\}$ are inert, but $\sigma_{8}(3)=$ $\{3,227,195,163\}$ is split.

Corollary 3.1a. $\operatorname{order}\left(\hat{\Phi}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{order}\left(\Phi_{n}\right)=2^{n-4}$, for $n \geq 6$.
Proof. $\sigma_{6}(5)=\{5,17,37,49\}$ is stable.
We next consider Table 2.2 in light of Theorem 3.1. Again let $X_{n, j}$ denote the set of cycles of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ of period $2^{j}$. Call $X_{n, j}$ stabilized if it consists entirely of stable cycles.

Corollary 3.1b. Assume that all $X_{n, n-j}$ are stabilized for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, and that $\left|X_{n, n-k}\right|=\left|X_{n+1, n+1-k}\right|=\left|X_{n+2, n+2-k}\right|$. Then $X_{m, m-k}$ is stabilized for $m \geq n$, and $\left|X_{m, m-k}\right|=\left|X_{n, n-k}\right|$.

This criterion gives the stabilized region indicated in Table 2.2. For $n=20$ over $90 \%$ of all elements in $\left(\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{*}$ are in stable cycles.

## 4. The $a x+b$ Conjugacy Map

Consider now the $a x+b$ function

$$
T_{a, b}(x)= \begin{cases}(a x+b) / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2)  \tag{4.1}\\ x / 2 & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

where $a b$ is odd. See [4], [5], [7], and [12] for various properties of $T_{a, b}$ under iteration on $\mathbf{Z}$.

The 2-adic shift map $S$ is conjugate to the general $a x+b$ function $T_{a, b}$ by the $a x+b$ conjugacy map $\Phi_{a, b}: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$; i.e., $\Phi_{a, b} \circ S \circ \Phi_{a, b}^{-1}=T_{a, b}$. If $x=\sum_{l} 2^{d_{l}}$, where $\left\{d_{l}\right\}$ is a finite or infinite sequence with $0 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, b}(x)=-b \sum_{l} a^{-l} 2^{d_{l}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [2]. Associated to $\Phi_{a, b}$ are the permutations $\Phi_{a, b, n}$ on $\mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}$ obtained by reducing $\Phi_{a, b} \bmod 2^{n}$. The following result generalizes Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. For the $a x+b$ conjugacy map $\Phi_{a, b}$, suppose that a cycle $\sigma_{n}(x)$ of $\Phi_{a, b, n}$ has $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \geq 4$. (i) If $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and $\sigma_{n}(x)$ is an inert cycle, then $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ is an inert cycle. (ii) If $a \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and $\sigma_{n}(x)$ and $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ are both inert cycles, then $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$ is an inert cycle.

This theorem follows from Corollary 5.1 in $\S 5$. The proof actually shows that in case (i) the weaker hypothesis $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \geq 2$ suffices, when $b \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

There are examples of $a x+b$ conjugacy maps $\Phi_{a, b}$ for which all cycles eventually become stable. Such $\Phi_{a, b}$ then have no odd periodic points. Using Theorem 4.1 we easily check that the $25 x-3$ conjugacy map when taken mod 32 has an odd part consisting of two stable cycles of period 8 .

## 5. The Highest Order Bit

Throughout this section, $\Phi=\Phi_{a, b}$ is a general $a x+b$ conjugacy map, where $a$ and $b$ are odd. We analyze the high bit of the iterates of $\Phi \bmod 2^{n+2}$. All earlier results follow from Theorem 5.1 below.

For $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, expand $x$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{bit}_{k}(x) 2^{k} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{bit}_{k}(x)$ is either 0 or 1 . Define the bit sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pop}_{k}(x):=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \operatorname{bit}_{j}(x) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $a x+b$ conjugacy map is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a, b}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{-b}{a^{\operatorname{pop}_{k}(x)}} \operatorname{bit}_{k}(x) 2^{k} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (4.2).
Lemma 5.1. If $y, z \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ with $z \equiv y\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(z)-\Phi(y)-(z-y) \\
& \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(\frac{a b+1}{2}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(y)\right)\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)+\operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Expand $\Phi(z)-\Phi(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)$ using (5.3). We have $\operatorname{bit}_{k}(z)=\operatorname{bit}_{k}(y)$ and $\operatorname{pop}_{k}(z)=\operatorname{pop}_{k}(y)$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, so the first $n$ terms in $\Phi(z)-\Phi(y)$ cancel. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(z)-\Phi(y) \equiv 2^{n} & \left(\left(\frac{-b}{a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(z)}}\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)-\left(\frac{-b}{a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(y)}}\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)\right) \\
& +2^{n+1}\left(\left(\frac{-b}{a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n+1}(z)}}\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(z)-\left(\frac{-b}{a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n+1}(y)}}\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute $a^{-1} \equiv a(\bmod 4)$ in the coefficient of $2^{n}$, and $b \equiv a^{-1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ in the coefficient of $2^{n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(z)-\Phi(y) \equiv & 2^{n}\left(b a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(y)} \operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)-b a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(z)} \operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& +2^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(z)-\operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(y)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
z-y \equiv 2^{n}\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)-\operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)\right)+2^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(z)-\operatorname{bit}_{n+1}(y)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtract (5.6) from (5.5):
$\Phi(z)-\Phi(y)-(z-y) \equiv 2^{n}\left(\left(b a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(y)}+1\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)-\left(b a^{\operatorname{pop}_{n}(z)}+1\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)$.

Substitute $a^{k} \equiv 1+(a-1) k(\bmod 4), \operatorname{pop}_{k}(x) \operatorname{bit}_{k}(x)=\left(1+\operatorname{pop}_{k-1}(x)\right) \operatorname{bit}_{k}(x)$, and then $\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(z)=\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(y)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(z)- & \Phi(y)-(z-y) \\
\equiv & 2^{n}\left(\left(b\left(1+(a-1) \operatorname{pop}_{n}(y)\right)+1\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(b\left(1+(a-1) \operatorname{pop}_{n}(z)\right)+1\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right) \\
\equiv & 2^{n}\left(\left(a b+1+b(a-1) \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(y)\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(a b+1+b(a-1) \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(z)\right) \operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right) \\
\equiv & 2^{n}\left(\left(a b+1+b(a-1) \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(y)\right)\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)-\operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right)\right. \\
\equiv & 2^{n+1}\left(\frac{a b+1}{2}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}(y)\right)\left(\operatorname{bit}_{n}(y)-\operatorname{bit}_{n}(z)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to (5.4).
Now fix $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, and fix $n \geq 0$. Set $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|=2^{j}$ and assume from now on that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n+1}(x) \text { is inert } \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\left|\sigma_{n+1}(x)\right|=2^{j+1}$. We wish to determine whether or not $\sigma_{n+2}(x)$ is inert. According to (3.2) this occurs if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2^{j+1}}(x) \equiv x+2^{n+1}\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now introduce the quantities

$$
e_{k}[i]:=\operatorname{bit}_{k}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right)
$$

In terms of the $e_{k}[i]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n+2}(x) \text { is inert } \Longleftrightarrow e_{n+1}[0] \neq e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (5.8). We proceed to evaluate $e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0] \bmod 2$. The main theorems of this paper are deduced from the following formula.
Theorem 5.1. If $\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|=2^{j}$ and $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ is an inert cycle, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0] \equiv 1+\frac{a b+1}{2} 2^{j}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} N(\bmod 2), \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we define $X_{i}=\left(\Phi^{i+1+2^{j}}(x)-\Phi^{i+1}(x)\right)-\left(\Phi^{i+2^{j}}(x)-\Phi^{i}(x)\right)$. Since $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ is an inert cycle, $\Phi^{i+2^{j}}(x) \equiv \Phi^{i}(x)+2^{n}\left(\bmod 2^{n+1}\right)$, so, by Lemma 5.1,

$$
X_{i} \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(\frac{a b+1}{2}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} \operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)
$$

Adding up the $X_{i}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} X_{i} \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(\frac{a b+1}{2} 2^{j}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} N\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next define $Y_{i}=2^{n}\left(\left(e_{n}\left[i+1+2^{j}\right]-e_{n}[i+1]\right)-\left(e_{n}\left[i+2^{j}\right]-e_{n}[i]\right)\right)$. The sum of the $Y_{i}$ telescopes:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} Y_{i}=2^{n}\left(e_{n}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n}\left[2^{j}\right]-e_{n}\left[2^{j}\right]+e_{n}[0]\right)
$$

Since $\sigma_{n+1}(x)$ is an inert cycle, $e_{n}[0]=e_{n}\left[2^{j+1}\right] \neq e_{n}\left[2^{j}\right]$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} Y_{i}=2^{n}\left(2 e_{n}[0]-2 e_{n}\left[2^{j}\right]\right) \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i}-Y_{i} & \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(e_{n+1}\left[i+1+2^{j}\right]-e_{n+1}[i+1]-e_{n+1}\left[i+2^{j}\right]+e_{n+1}[i]\right) \\
& \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(e_{n+1}\left[i+1+2^{j}\right]+e_{n+1}[i+1]-e_{n+1}\left[i+2^{j}\right]-e_{n+1}[i]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this form the sum of $X_{i}-Y_{i}$ also telescopes:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1}\left(X_{i}-Y_{i}\right) \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0]\right)\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)
$$

Comparing this sum with (5.12) and (5.13), we get

$$
2^{n+1}\left(e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0]\right) \equiv 2^{n+1}\left(\frac{a b+1}{2} 2^{j}+\frac{b(a-1)}{2} N\right)-2^{n+1}\left(\bmod 2^{n+2}\right)
$$

which implies (5.10).
Corollary 5.1. (i) If $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0] \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1(\bmod 2) \text { if } b \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \text { or } j \geq 1 \\
0(\bmod 2) \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) If $a \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and $\sigma_{n}(x)$ is inert, then

$$
e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0] \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1(\bmod 2) \text { if } j \geq 2 \\
0(\bmod 2) \text { if } j=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that (i) proves Theorem 4.1(i), and (ii) proves Theorem 4.1(ii), using (5.9). Theorem 3.1 then follows as a special case of Theorem 4.1(ii).

Proof. (i) Here $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, so the term involving $N$ in (5.10) drops out.
(ii) Here $a \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, and $j \geq 1$, so (5.10) simplifies to

$$
e_{n+1}\left[2^{j+1}\right]-e_{n+1}[0] \equiv 1+N(\bmod 2)
$$

The inertness of $\sigma_{n}(x)$ gives

$$
\operatorname{bit}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)\right)=1-\operatorname{bit}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right)
$$

so

$$
\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)\right)+\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)
$$

Thus

$$
N=\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1}\left(\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i+2^{j-1}}(x)\right)+\operatorname{pop}_{n-1}\left(\Phi^{i}(x)\right)\right) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} 1=2^{j-1}(\bmod 2)
$$

Now (ii) follows.

## 6. Cycle Structure of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ : Short Cycles

We consider the behavior of "short" cycles of the $3 x+1$ conjugacy map; i.e., the behavior of $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $j$. We describe a heuristic model which relates the asymptotics of $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ to the number of global odd periodic points of $\Phi$.

We first note that the odd periodic points $\operatorname{Per}^{*}(\Phi)$ of $\Phi$ determine the entire set $\operatorname{Per}(\Phi)$ of periodic points of $\Phi$. The relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(2 x)=2 \Phi(x) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $x$ has period $2^{j}$ if and only if $2 x$ has period $2^{j}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Per}(\Phi)=\left\{2^{k} x: k \geq 0 \text { and } x \in \operatorname{Per}^{*}(\Phi)\right\} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F_{j}$ be the number of orbits of $\Phi$ containing an odd periodic point of minimal period $2^{j}$. The $3 x+1$ Conjugacy Finiteness Conjecture of $\S 2$ asserts that all $F_{j}$ are finite.

We obtain a simple heuristic model for the 1-cycles $X_{n, 1}$ of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ by classifying them into two types: those arising by reduction mod $2^{n}$ from an odd fixed point of $\Phi$, and all the rest. Call these "immortal" and "mortal" 1-cycles, respectively. Our heuristic model is to assume that each "mortal" 1-cycle has equal probability of splitting or remaining inert, independently of all other 1-cycles. When a "mortal" 1-cycle splits, both its progeny in $X_{n+1,1}$ are "mortal." An "immortal" 1-cycle in $X_{n, 1}$ always splits, and gives rise to two 1 -cycles in $X_{n+1,1}$, at least one of which is "immortal." We also assume that only $F_{0}$ "immortal" 1-cycles appear in total, i.e., for all large enough $n$ each "immortal" 1-cycle splits into one "immortal" 1-cycle and one "mortal" 1-cycle.

This model is a branching process model with two types of individuals. The expected number of individuals $Z_{n, 1}$ at step $n$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[Z_{n, 1}\right]=F_{0} n+c_{0} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a constant depending on the levels of the initial occurrences of the $F_{0}$ "immortal" 1-cycles. The empirical data in Tables 6.3 and 6.4 seem consistent with this model, with $F_{0}=2$. We know that $F_{0} \geq 2$ in any case. The two "immortal" 1 -cycles that we know of both appear at $n=1$, so that if $F_{0}=2$, then $c_{0}=0$ in (6.3).

To obtain a heuristic model for $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ when $j \geq 1$, we use a refined classification of cycles of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$. A step consists of passing from $\hat{\Phi}_{n-1}$ to $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$. For $0 \leq d \leq j \leq n$ let $X_{n, j, d}$ denote the set of cycles of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ of size $2^{j}$ which have remained inert for exactly $d$ steps. Let $Y_{n, j, d}$ denote the subset of $X_{n, j, d}$ that consists of cycles that split in going to $\hat{\Phi}_{n+1}$. Then we have

$$
\left|X_{n+1, j, 0}\right|=2 \sum_{d=0}^{n}\left|Y_{n, j, d}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|X_{n+1, j+1, d+1}\right|=\left|X_{n, j, d}\right|-\left|Y_{n, j, d}\right|
$$

We know the following facts about these quantities:
(1) If a cycle of length at least 8 has been inert for $d \geq 2$ steps, it remains inert. Thus $\left|Y_{n, j, d}\right|=0$ if $j \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$.
(2) Any cycle of length 4 which has been inert for $d=2$ steps must split; i.e., $\left|X_{n, 2,2}\right|=\left|Y_{n, 2,2}\right|$.
(3) Any odd periodic point $x$ of $\Phi$ of period $2^{j}$ gives rise to a cycle of period $2^{j}$ of $\hat{\Phi}_{n}$ for all sufficiently large $n$. This cycle always splits. Such cycles are in both $X_{n, j, 0}$ and $Y_{n, j, 0}$.
The quantity we are interested in is

$$
\left|X_{n, j}\right|=\sum_{d=0}^{n}\left|X_{n, j, d}\right|
$$

The facts above imply that $\left|X_{n, j}\right|$ is entirely determined by knowledge of $\left|X_{m, j, 0}\right|$, $\left|Y_{m, j, 0}\right|$, and $\left|Y_{m, j, 1}\right|$, for all $m \leq n$.

Our heuristic model is then to suppose the following:
(1) Each cycle in $X_{n, j, 1}$ has (independently) probability $1 / 2$ of falling in $Y_{n, j, 1}$.
(2) Each "mortal" cycle in $X_{n, j, 0}$ has (independently) probability $1 / 2$ of falling in $Y_{n, j, 0}$, and if so its two progeny in $X_{n+1, j, 0}$ are "mortal."
(3) Each "immortal" cycle in $X_{n, j, 0}$ lies in $Y_{n, j, 0}$, and one of its progeny in $X_{n+1, j, 0}$ is "immortal" and the other is "mortal," with finitely many exceptions.
This is a multi-type branching process model. If $Z_{n, j}$ denotes the total number of individuals in such a process, then one may calculate that, for large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[Z_{n, 1}\right]=\frac{1}{4} F_{0} n^{2}+\left(F_{1}+\frac{1}{4} F_{0}\right) n-F_{1}+\frac{1}{2} F_{0}+c_{1}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $c_{1}$ is a constant depending on the initial occurrence of "immortal" cycles. (We assume that $c_{0}=0$.) For $j \geq 2$, where stable cycles may occur, the formula for $E\left[Z_{n, j}\right]$ becomes quite complicated.

It might be interesting to further compare predictions of this model for $j \geq 1$ with actual data for $\Phi$. We know of one odd periodic cycle of $\Phi$ of length 2 , namely $\{1,-1 / 3\}$; i.e., $\Phi(1)=-1 / 3$ and $\Phi(-1 / 3)=1$. Thus $F_{1} \geq 1$.

## Appendix A. Solenoidal Maps

Call a map $F: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ solenoidal if, for all $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \equiv y\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) \Longrightarrow F(x) \equiv F(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right) . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An equivalent condition in terms of the 2 -adic metric $|\cdot|_{2}$ is that $F$ is nonexpanding; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x)-F(y)|_{2} \leq|x-y|_{2}, \quad \text { all } x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_{2} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are solenoidal maps, then so is $F_{1} \circ F_{2}$.
Call a family of functions $F_{n}: \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}$ compatible if $F_{n}$ agrees with $F_{n-1}$ under projection $\pi_{n}: \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n-1} \mathbf{Z}$; i.e., if $\pi_{n} \circ F_{n}=F_{n-1} \circ \pi_{n}$. A compatible family $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ has an inverse limit $F: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \equiv F_{n}(x)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right), \quad \text { for all } n . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term "solenoidal" is justified by the following lemma.
Lemma A.1. $F$ is solenoidal if and only if $F$ is the inverse limit of a compatible family $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. If $F$ is solenoidal, then $F \bmod 2^{n}$ induces a function $F_{n}: \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / 2^{n} \mathbf{Z}$, for each $n$; and $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is a compatible family. The reverse implication follows from (A.3).

Lemma A.2. Let $U$ be the inverse limit of a compatible family $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$. Then the following are equivalent. (i) $U$ is a bijection. (ii) For each $n, U_{n}$ is a permutation. (iii) For each $n$, if $U(x) \equiv U(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$ then $x \equiv y\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). $U$ is surjective, so $U_{n}$ is surjective.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Write $V_{n}=U_{n}^{-1}$. Then $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ is a compatible family. Let $V$ be its inverse limit. By construction $U \circ V$ is the inverse limit of identity functions, so $U \circ V$ is the identity. Similarly $V \circ U$ is the identity. Hence $U$ is a bijection.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii). If $U(x) \equiv U(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$ then $U_{n}\left(x \bmod 2^{n}\right)=U_{n}\left(y \bmod 2^{n}\right)$ so $x \bmod 2^{n}=y \bmod 2^{n}$.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $U_{n}(a)=U_{n}(b)$. Select $x$ and $y$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ such that $a=x \bmod 2^{n}, b=y \bmod 2^{n}$. Then $U_{n}\left(x \bmod 2^{n}\right)=U_{n}\left(y \bmod 2^{n}\right)$, so $U(x) \equiv$ $U(y)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$, so $x \equiv y\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$, so $a=b$.
Corollary A.3. The following are equivalent. (i) $U$ is a solenoidal bijection. (ii) $U$ is a solenoidal homeomorphism. (iii) $U$ is a 2-adic isometry.
$U$ is a 2-adic isometry if $|U(x)-U(y)|_{2}=|x-y|_{2}$.
Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii). $U$ is solenoidal so $|U(x)-U(y)|_{2} \leq|x-y|_{2}$. On the other hand, by Lemma A.1, $U$ is an inverse limit; and $U$ is a bijection, so $|U(x)-U(y)|_{2} \geq$ $|x-y|_{2}$ by Lemma A.2(i $\left.\Longrightarrow \mathrm{iii}\right)$.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Since $|U(x)-U(y)|_{2} \leq|x-y|_{2}, U$ is solenoidal. By Lemma A.1, $U$ is an inverse limit; by Lemma A.2(iii $\Longrightarrow \mathrm{i}$ ), $U$ is a bijection. Since $|U(x)-U(y)|_{2} \geq|x-y|_{2}, U^{-1}$ is solenoidal. Finally, solenoidal implies continuous.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Immediate.

## Appendix B. Functions Solenoidally Conjugate to the Shift

For any two solenoidal bijections $V_{0}, V_{1}$ define $U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}: \mathbf{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ by

$$
U(x)= \begin{cases}V_{0}(x / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2), \\ V_{1}((x-1) / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2) .\end{cases}
$$

For example, take $V_{0}(x)=x$ and $V_{1}(x)=a x+(a+b) / 2$; then $U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$ is the $a x+b$ function.

In this appendix we show that a map is solenoidally conjugate to the 2 -adic shift map $S$-i.e., conjugate to $S$ by a solenoidal bijection-if and only if it is of the form $U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$.
Lemma B.1. Let $V$ be a solenoidal bijection. If $z \equiv w\left(\bmod 2^{m-1}\right)$ then $V(z) \equiv$ $V(w)+z-w\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$.

Proof. If $z \equiv w\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ then $V(z) \equiv V(w)\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$.
If $z \equiv w+2^{m-1}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ then still $V(z) \equiv V(w)\left(\bmod 2^{m-1}\right)$. By Corollary A.3, $V$ is an isometry, so if $V(z) \equiv V(w)\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ then $z \equiv w\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$, contradiction. Thus $V(z) \equiv V(w)+2^{m-1}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$.
Lemma B.2. Set $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$. Fix $m \geq 1$. If $y \equiv x+2^{m} e\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$ then $U(y) \equiv U(x)+2^{m-1} e\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$.
Proof. Put $b=x \bmod 2$; then $U(x)=V_{b}(S(x))$. Also $U(y)=V_{b}(S(y))$, since $y \equiv$ $x(\bmod 2)$. We have $S(y) \equiv S(x)+2^{m-1} e\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$; by Lemma B.1, $V_{b}(S(y)) \equiv$ $V_{b}(S(x))+2^{m-1} e\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$.
Lemma B.3. Set $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$. Fix $m \geq j \geq 1$. If $y \equiv x+2^{m} e\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$ then
$U^{j}(y) \equiv U^{j}(x)+2^{m-j} e\left(\bmod 2^{m-j+1}\right)$.
Proof. Lemma B. 2 and induction on $j$.
Lemma B.4. Set $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$. Fix $m \geq 1$. If $y \equiv x+2^{m} e\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$ then $U^{m}(y) \equiv U^{m}(x)+e(\bmod 2)$.

Proof. Lemma B. 3 with $j=m$.
Lemma B.5. Set $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$. Fix $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots \in\{0,1\}$. Define $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{m+1}=x_{m}+2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$. Then $y \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ if and only if $U^{i}(y) \equiv$ $b_{i}(\bmod 2)$ for $0 \leq i<m$.

Proof. We induct on $m$. For $m=0$ there is nothing to prove.
Say $y \equiv x_{m+1}\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$. Then $y \equiv x_{m}+2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$; by Lemma B. $4, U^{m}(y) \equiv U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)+b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)=b_{m}(\bmod 2)$. Also $y \equiv$ $x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$, so by the inductive hypothesis $U^{i}(y) \equiv b_{i}(\bmod 2)$ for $0 \leq i<m$.

Conversely, say $U^{i}(y) \equiv b_{i}(\bmod 2)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$. By the inductive hypothesis $y \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$. Write $y=x_{m}+2^{m} e$. Then $b_{m} \equiv U^{m}(y) \equiv U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)+e(\bmod 2)$ by Lemma B.4. Thus $y \equiv x_{m}+2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=x_{m+1}\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$.

Theorem B.1. Set $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$. Define $Q(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(U^{m}(x) \bmod 2\right) 2^{m}$. Then $Q$ is a solenoidal bijection, and $U=Q^{-1} \circ S \circ Q$.

Thus any map of the form $U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$ is solenoidally conjugate to $S$. (See Theorem B. 2 below for the converse.) $Q^{-1}$ generalizes the $a x+b$ conjugacy map.

Proof. Injective: Say $Q(y)=Q(x)$. Define $b_{m}=U^{m}(x) \bmod 2$; then $U^{m}(y) \equiv$ $U^{m}(x) \equiv b_{m}(\bmod 2)$. Next define $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{m+1}=x_{m}+2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$. By Lemma B.5, $y \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ and $x \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$. Thus $y \equiv x\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ for every $m$; i.e., $y=x$.

Solenoidal: Say $y \equiv x\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$. Define $b_{m}=U^{m}(x) \bmod 2, x_{0}=0$, and $x_{m+1}=x_{m}+2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$. Then $x \equiv x_{n}\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$ by Lemma B.5, so $y \equiv x_{n}\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$; by Lemma B. 5 again, $U^{m}(y) \equiv b_{m}(\bmod 2)$ for $0 \leq m<n$. Thus $Q(y) \equiv Q(x)\left(\bmod 2^{n}\right)$.

Surjective: Given $b=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} 2^{i}$ with $b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, define $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{m+1}=x_{m}+$ $2^{m}\left(b_{m}-U^{m}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$. Since $x_{m+1} \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$ the sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ converges to a 2-adic limit $y$, with $y \equiv x_{m}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$. By Lemma B.5, $U^{m}(y) \equiv b_{m}(\bmod 2)$ for all $m$. Thus $Q(y)=b$.

Finally, it is immediate from the definition of $Q$ that $Q \circ U=S \circ Q$.
Theorem B.2. Let $Q$ be a solenoidal bijection. Define $U=Q^{-1} \circ S \circ Q$. Then $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$ for some solenoidal bijections $V_{0}, V_{1}$.
Proof. If $Q(0)$ is even then $Q^{-1}(x) \equiv x(\bmod 2)$ for all $x$; so write

$$
Q^{-1}(x)= \begin{cases}2 W_{0}(x / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\ 1+2 W_{1}((x-1) / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Then $W_{0}, W_{1}$ are solenoidal bijections, and $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$ where $V_{i}=Q \circ W_{i}$.
Similarly, if $Q(0)$ is odd then $Q^{-1}(x) \equiv-1-x(\bmod 2)$ for all $x$; so write

$$
Q^{-1}(x)= \begin{cases}1+2 W_{0}(x / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\ 2 W_{1}((x-1) / 2) & \text { if } x \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Again $W_{0}, W_{1}$ are solenoidal bijections, and $U=U_{V_{0}, V_{1}}$ where $V_{i}=Q \circ W_{i}$.

## References

1. E. Akin, Why is the $3 x+1$ problem so hard?, to appear, Math. Magazine.
2. D. J. Bernstein, A non-iterative 2-adic statement of the $3 x+1$ conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 405-408.
3. M. Boyle, J. Franks, B. Kitchens, Automorphisms of one-sided subshifts of finite type, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. 10 (1990), 421-449.
4. R. E. Crandall, On the ' $3 x+1$ ' problem, Math. Comp. 32 (1978), 1281-1292.
5. Z. Franco, C. Pomerance, On a conjecture of Crandall concerning the $Q X+1$ problem, to appear, Math. Comp. 49 (1995).
6. G. Hedlund, Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system, Math. Systems Theory 3 (1969), 320-375.
7. E. Heppner, Eine Bemerkung zum Hasse-Syracuse Algorithmus, Arch. Math. 31 (1978), 317320.
8. J. C. Lagarias, The $3 x+1$ problem and its generalizations, Amer. Math. Monthly 92 (1985), 3-23.
9. J. C. Lagarias, The set of rational cycles for the $3 x+1$ problem, Acta Arithmetica 56 (1990), 33-53.
10. H. Müller, Das ' $3 n+1$ ' Problem, Mitteilungen der Math. Ges. Hamburg 12 (1991), 231-251.
11. H. Müller, Über eine Klasse 2-adischer Funktionen im Zussamenhang mit dem " $3 x+1$ "Problem, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 64 (1994), 293-302.
12. R. P. Steiner, On the " $Q X+1$ problem," $Q$ odd, I, II, Fibonacci Quart. 19 (1981), 285-288, 293-296.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
Current address: Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, The University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607-7045

E-mail address: djb@math.uic.edu

AT\&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974
E-mail address: jcl@research.att.com


[^0]:    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B75.

