Privacy-Preserving Set Operations

Lea Kissner Dawn Song leak@cs.cmu.edu dawnsong@cmu.edu

Motivation (1)

- Many bodies of data can be represented as multisets
- The utility of data is greatly increased when shared, but there are often privacy and security concerns
- Do-not-fly list
 - Airlines must determine which passengers cannot fly
 - Government and airlines cannot disclose their lists



Motivation (2)

- Public welfare survey: how many welfare recipients are being treated for cancer?
 - Cancer patients and welfare rolls are confidential
 - To reveal the number of welfare recipients who have cancer, must compute private union and intersection operations
 Patient Lists
 Welfare Roll
 Union of Patient Lists
 Union of Patient Lists
 Number of Cancer Patients on

Welfare are Revealed

Motivation (3)

- Distributed network monitoring
 - Nodes in a network identify anomalous behaviors, and filter out uncommon elements
 - The nodes must privately compute element reduction and union operations
 - If an element a appears b times in S, a appears b-1 times in the reduction of S

Union of All Anomalous Behaviors

Behaviors That Appear ≥t Times Are Revealed

Outline

- Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Contributions
 - Related work
- Techniques for privacy-preserving operations
- General computation with multisets

5

Conclusion

Contributions

- Efficient, composable, privacy-preserving operations on multisets: intersection, union, element reduction
- We use these techniques to give efficient protocols (secure against HBC and malicious adversaries) for practical problems
- Other example applications:
 - General computation on multisets
 - Determining subset relations
 - Evaluating distributed boolean formulas

Carnegie Mellon University

6

Related Work

- Two-party intersection (and related problems): [AES03] [FNP04]
- Disjointness of sets: [KM05]
- Single-element intersection: [FNW96]
 [NP99] [BST01] [L03]
- For most of the problems we address, the most efficient previous work is general MPC [Y82] [BGW88]

Outline

- Introduction
- Techniques for privacy-preserving operations
 - Polynomial representation
 - Indistinguishable TTP security model
 - Multiset operations
 - Multiset operations without a TTP

8

- General computation with multisets
- Conclusion

Sets as Polynomials

- To represent multiset S as a polynomial over ring R, compute $\prod (x a)$
- The elements of the set represented by the polynomial f is the **roots of** f of a certain form $y \mid\mid h(y)$
 - Random elements are not of this form (with overwhelming probability)
 - Let elements of this form represent elements of P Elements not of the special form Elements that represent elements of P $y \parallel h(y)$ Carnegie Mellon University 9 August 16, 2005

Security for Techniques

- We define security (privacy-preservation) for the **techniques** we present as follows:
 - The output of a trusted third party (TTP) can be transformed in probabilistic polynomial time to be distributed identically to a TTP using our techniques



• This hides all information but the result

Multiset Union

- Let S,T be multisets represented by f, g
- We calculate $S \cup T$ as f^*g
- Theorem: There exists a PPT translation of the output of a TTP calculating S∪T, such that the translation is distributed identically to f*g.
- From this theorem we may conclude that our calculation of SUT is secure

• Correct

• Exposes no additional information

- Let S,T be multisets represented by f, g, Deg(f)=Deg(g)
- Let r,s be uniformly distributed polynomials from R^{Deg(f)}[x] (each coefficient chosen u.a.r. from R)
- We calculate S∩T as **f*r+g*s**
 - Polynomial addition preserves shared roots of f, g
 - The operation can use ≥2 multisets

Lemma:

If gcd(v,w)=I, Deg(v)=Deg(w), $y\geq Deg(v)$, $r,s\leftarrow R^{y}[x]$,

then v*r+w*s is uniformly distributed over R^{Deg(v)+y}[x]

- Theorem: There exists a PPT translation of the output of a TTP calculating S∩T, such that the translation is distributed identically to f*r+g*s.
 - By Lemma,
 f*r+g*s = gcd(f,g) * (v*r+w*s) = gcd(f,g)*u,
 where u is uniformly distributed
 - Note that gcd(f,g) is the polynomial representation of $S \cap T$

Multiset Reduction

- Let S be a multiset represented by f, r,s be uniformly distributed polynomials from R^{Deg(f)}[x], F be a public random polynomial Deg(F)=d
- We calculate $Rd_d(S)$ as $f^{(d)}*F*r + f*s$
 - According to standard lemma, desired result is obtained by calculating intersection of *f*, $f^{(d)}$
 - If $f(a) = 0, f^{(d)}(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (x a)^{d+1} \mid f$

Multiset Reduction

- Theorem: There exists a PPT translation of the output of a TTP calculating Rd_d(S), such that the translation is distributed identically to f^(d)*F*r+f*s.
 - By our earlier lemma, $f^{(d)}*F*r+f*s=gcd(f^{(d)},f)*u$ where u is uniformly distributed
 - Note that by standard lemma, $gcd(f^{(d)}, f)$ is the polynomial representation of $Rd_d(S)$

Outline

- Introduction
- Techniques for privacy-preserving operations
 - Polynomial representation
 - Indistinguishable TTP security model
 - Multiset operations
 - Multiset operations without a TTP
- General computation with multisets
- Conclusion

Without TTP (I)

- Encrypt coefficients of polynomial using a threshold additively homomorphic cryptosystem
- We can perform the calculations needed for our techniques with encrypted polynomials (examples use Paillier cryptosystem)
 - Addition

$$h = f + g$$

$$h_i = f_i + g_i$$

$$E(h_i) = E(f_i) * E(g_i)$$

Without TTP (2)

• Formal derivative

$$h = f'$$

$$h_i = (i+1)f_{i+1}$$

$$E(h_i) = E(f_i)^{i+1}$$

Multiplication

$$h = f * g$$

$$h_i = \sum_{j=0}^k f_j * g_{i-j}$$

$$E(h_i) = \prod_{j=0}^k E(f_j)^{g_{i-j}}$$

Outline

- Introduction
- Techniques for privacy-preserving operations
- General computation with multisets
- Conclusion

General Functions

- Using our techniques, efficient protocols can be constructed for any function described by (let s be a privately held set):
 - $\gamma ::= s \mid Rd_d(\gamma) \mid \gamma \cap \gamma \mid s \cup \gamma \mid \gamma \cup s$
- Can less efficiently compute $\gamma ::= \gamma \cup \gamma$
- Additional tricks can be used with our techniques to solve additional problems
- All example protocols deferred to paper

Conclusion (I)

- Efficient, composable techniques for privacypreserving multiset intersection, union, and element reduction
- Protocols for $n \ge 2$ players, c < n dishonest
 - Multiset intersection
 - Cardinality of multiset intersection
 - Over-threshold multiset-union
 - Threshold multiset-union (and variants)

Conclusion (2)

- Protocols secure against malicious players
- Our protocols are fair, if fairness is enforced in threshold decryption
- Efficient computation of many functions over multisets
- General computation over multisets
- Determining subset relations
- Evaluating distributed boolean formulas

Thank you

http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~leak/

- Let each player *i* $(1 \le i \le n)$ hold an input multiset S_i
- Each player calculates the polynomial f_i representing S_i and broadcasts $E(f_i)$
- For each *i*, each player *j* ($1 \le j \le n$) chooses uniformly distributed polynomial $r_{i,j}$, and broadcasts $E(f_i * r_{i,j})$
- All players calculate and decrypt

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i * \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i,j}\right)\right) = E(p)$$

• Players determine the intersection multiset: if $(x - a)^b \mid p$ then *a* appears *b* times in the result

- Let each player *i* $(1 \le i \le n)$ hold an input multiset S_i
- Each player calculates the polynomial f_i representing S_i and broadcasts $E(f_i)$
- For each *i*, each player *j* ($1 \le j \le n$) chooses uniformly distributed polynomial $r_{i,j}$, and broadcasts $E(f_i * r_{i,j})$
- All players calculate and decrypt

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i * \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i,j}\right)\right) = E(p)$$

• Players determine the intersection multiset: if $(x - a)^b \mid p$ then *a* appears *b* times in the result

- Let each player *i* $(1 \le i \le n)$ hold an input multiset S_i
- Each player calculates the polynomial f_i representing S_i and broadcasts $E(f_i)$
- For each *i*, each player *j* ($1 \le j \le n$) chooses uniformly distributed polynomial $r_{i,j}$, and broadcasts $E(f_i * r_{i,j})$
- All players calculate and decrypt (n + 1)

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i * \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i,j}\right)\right) = E(p)$$

• Players determine the intersection multiset: if $(x - a)^b \mid p$ then *a* appears *b* times in the result

- Let each player *i* $(1 \le i \le n)$ hold an input multiset S_i
- Each player calculates the polynomial f_i representing S_i and broadcasts $E(f_i)$
- For each *i*, each player *j* ($1 \le j \le n$) chooses uniformly distributed polynomial $r_{i,j}$, and broadcasts $E(f_i * r_{i,j})$
- All players calculate and decrypt • $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} * \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i,j}\right)\right) = E(p)$
- Players determine the intersection multiset: if $(x a)^b \mid p$ then *a* appears *b* times in the result

- Let each player *i* $(1 \le i \le n)$ hold an input multiset S_i
- Each player calculates the polynomial f_i representing S_i and broadcasts $E(f_i)$
- For each *i*, each player *j* ($1 \le j \le n$) chooses uniformly distributed polynomial $r_{i,j}$, and broadcasts $E(f_i * r_{i,j})$
- All players calculate and decrypt

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i * \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i,j}\right)\right) = E(p)$$

• Players determine the intersection multiset: if $(x-a)^b | p$ then a appears b times in the result